

The Toronto World.

Daily World, in advance, \$3 per year.
Sunday World, in advance, \$2 per year.
Telephone: 232, 233, 234. Private Branch
Exchange connecting all departments.
Hamilton Office: W. P. Smith, Agent.
Arlene, James Street, North.
London, England, Office: F. W. Large,
Agent, 145 Fleet Street, London, E. C.

THE WORLD OUTSIDE.

The World can be had at the following
New Stands:
Windsor Hotel, Montreal.
St. Lawrence Hotel, Montreal.
McCormick & Jones, Buffalo.
Ellicott-square News Stand, Buffalo.
Voltaire News Co., Detroit, Mich.
Agency and Messenger Co., Ottawa.
St. Denis Hotel, New York.
P. O. News Co., 217 Dearborn-st., Chicago.
John McDonald, Winnipeg.
E. A. Mcintosh, Winnipeg.
McKay & Southern, N. Westminster, B.C.
Raymond & Doherty, St. John, N.B.
All Railway News Stands and Trains.

ADVERTISING RATES.

15 cents per line—with discount on ad-
vance orders of 20 or more insertions, or for
orders of 1000 or more lines, the price
within a year.
Positions may be contracted for subject
to earlier contracts with other advertisers.
Positions are never guaranteed in any ad-
vertisements of less than \$100.00.
An advertiser contracting for \$1000 worth
of space, to be published in a selected position
without extra cost.
Reduced prices will be charged at
20 per cent. advance on regular rates.
All advertisements must be approved
as to character, wording and display.
Advertisers are free to examine the sub-
scription lists at any time.
Want advertisements, one cent a word
each insertion.

GIBSON TO THE RESCUE.

Attorney-General Gibson showed a
really touching solicitude for the inter-
ests of the citizens of Toronto in
his opposition to the city's bill pro-
viding for the expenditure of \$100,000
for certain civic improvements. He
was called to note a tendency on the
part of the City of Toronto to apply to
the legislature for debentures instead
of securing the sanction of the rate-
payers. Because of his anxiety for the
welfare of Toronto ratepayers, he ad-
vised the committee to eliminate the
clause in question and the committee
accepted his advice.

The merits of raising money by de-
bentures instead of by bill are not
in question. The city's representatives
chose to raise money by debenture
rather than increase the tax rate. There
was no outcry against this proposal.
No civic deputation appeared before
the committee to offer objection. But
the watchdog of the people's interests
was there. While the citizens of To-
ronto pursued their peaceful ways all
unmindful of the hideous devil Mayor
Touhant and members of the city coun-
cil were resorting to in Queen's Park,
Attorney-General Gibson loomed up as
the Horatius of the Ontario govern-
ment. He held the bridge against the
majority and city council and came out
victor on a score of 9 to 7.

Things are taking a new turn up in
the Ontario legislature. Hon. J. M.
Gibson was not always the proud
champion of the people's rights. The
records of the private bills committee
will be searched in vain for a case where
in Mr. Gibson upheld the rights of the
people as against a franchise-holding
corporation. Electric railways, electric
light companies, gas companies, power
companies, have been given free
right of way thru the private bills
committee. Deputations from munici-
palities by the score have appeared be-
fore the committee to ask that their in-
terests be protected.

When was Hon. J. M. Gibson ever
known to take up the cause of one of
these municipalities? His policy has
been to give franchise-holding corpora-
tions what they want. They may cap-
italize their companies as they please,
apply for more extensive powers of
capitalization and cut all kinds of cir-
cles in the way of financing their enter-
prises without a word of protest from
Attorney-General Gibson. When a
municipality makes application for
perfectly legitimate means of financing
certain municipal improvements, the
attorney-general sits on his armor and
sternly calls a halt.

JUDICIAL APPOINTMENTS.

The new division of the high court
justice will require three new judges,
and there are also some vacant county
court judgeships. The strength of the
Ontario bench will depend largely on
the choice that will be made, and there
is a good deal of anxious discussion
among members of the bar. The min-
ister of justice and his colleagues have
a great responsibility cast upon them,
and it is to be hoped that they will
not treat these appointments as ordi-
nary pieces of political patronage, or
allow themselves to be influenced by
"pull" and the energetic presentation of
"claims."

There is growing dissatisfaction over
the manner in which judges are ap-
pointed by family ties. The matter has
not been much discussed in the press,
and lawyers do not care to refer to it
publicly, lest their remarks might be
regarded as offensive to men whom
they personally esteem. Nevertheless
it is a matter of public interest, and
the government ought to be warned
that the feeling existing, and ought not to
be aggravated. The Brantford Ex-
positor recently said:

The family relationships of the
judges are a different and more seri-
ous matter. In one of the divisions
of the high court all three judges
are related to one another by mar-
riage or otherwise, and two of them
are also near relatives of a promi-
nent member of the court of appeal.
In the other two divisions of the high
court two of the judges belong to the
same family. So that there are no
less than six occupants of the On-
tario superior court bench having
the intimate relationships mentioned.
The case is without precedent or
parallel in any self-governing coun-
try. In view of this significant
statement published in a Toronto
evening paper that another member
of the former family connection has
been slated for a high court judgeship,
J. S. Ewart, K.C., of Winnipeg is
opposed to judges sitting on commis-
sions accepting extra-judicial employ-
ment or using railway passes. Mr.
Justice Martin of British Columbia has
expressed similar opinions. He thinks
it should be said of every judge, as
it was of Hale, that he constantly
shunned not only corruption but every-
thing which had any appearance or
might afford the least suspicion of it.
On similar grounds the government
should avoid even the appearance of
strengthening family influence on the
bench.

IRISH SOCIETY SIDES WITH RUSSIA.

Resolutions passed at the Emmet
celebration held at New York last Sat-
urday night show that a strong effort is
being made by Irish leaders in the
United States to swing the sympathy of
that country to the side of Russia. "We,
Irish citizens of New York, assembled,"
take up a very hostile attitude to-
wards Mr. Hayes' foreign policy.
The main resolution discusses the situa-
tion under six heads. It is claimed:
(1) That entangling alliances with
powers of the old world should be
avoided.
(2) That John Hayes' policy of reck-
less adventure in the old world is
against the interests of the United
States.
(3) That an arbitration treaty with
England is not desirable since it would
be a thinly disguised alliance designed
to serve British interests in the far
east.
(4) That Mr. Hayes' demand for the
"open door" etc., was designed to an-
tagonize Russia and pit the United
States against interference by En-
glish interests should require it.
(5) That Russia is the traditional
friend of the United States, and per-
petrator of the recognition of the South
Confederacy by Britain and France, and
(6) That United States sympathy in
the Russo-Japanese war should be
with Russia.
Nothing emanated in these resolu-
tions touches the real question as to
which warring nation is the friend of
sympathy of civilized countries. Ex-
cluding the anti-British prejudices
which are the inspiration of each of the
six propositions, what is there in the
issue in the far east to incline Irish
sympathy to Russia? What is there in
the warm, generous freedom-loving
hearts of Ireland that finds commu-
nity of interest with the slave-driven
of Japan? "Freedom" is Ireland's
watchword. Robert Emmet fought for
what he conceived to be the cause of
freedom. That was something like a
hundred years ago. The society who
honored his memory at New York last
Saturday evening, and who endorsed a
resolution pledging sympathy to Rus-
sia have wandered far from the ideals
of their most cherished patriot mar-
tyr. How would a Robert Emmet fare
in the case of the dominions today? The
people of Finland and Poland know
what "freedom" means in Russia. In
this enlightened twentieth century, it is
freedom that Robert Emmet fought for
rather than for the freedom of the
Russian patriots finding in Siberia
and Russian dungeons to-day.

WOMEN IN MEDICINE.

An interesting account of the diffi-
culties encountered by women desirous
of entering the medical profession is
given by Dr. Helen MacMurchy of this
city, in an article published in "Ameri-
can Medicine." It will surprise some
people to learn that Russia is very "be-
hind" in this matter. There are 700
women physicians there, and many of
them hold government appointments.
It is interesting also to know that the
question was fought out in Germany
150 years ago. Dorothea Laporin, the
daughter of a physician, who edu-
cated and encouraged her to follow
his profession. He had done a great
service for Frederick the Great, and the
king undertook to recommend Dorothea
to the medical faculty at Halle. Doro-
thea's ambitions were interrupted for
some years by a love-story of the old
"fashioned kind." She was married to
a young minister of the Church of St.
Nicholas in her native town, and for
thirteen years she lived a life that
ought to satisfy the wisest, who as-
sure us that "home is woman's sphere."
When she was nearly forty years of
age she reminded the king of his prom-
ise. The king kept his word, and the
dean of the faculty of Halle felt that
what occurred. He says that she stood
alone for two whole hours "exposed" to
the questions of the examiners, which
she received with admirable modesty
and cheerfulness, answering fully and
distinctly, solving doubts, and mat-
ters with extreme skill and using no free
and sweet sounding a Latin that we
seemed to be listening to some matron
from old Latium speaking in her native
tongue. The faculty hesitated, but the
king "summarily ordered that the de-
gree should be bestowed," and the
lady practiced her profession for fifty
years. We are afraid that this little
romance has led us away from the
weightier matters of Dr. MacMurchy's
paper, which contains an admir-
able summary of the conflict in Eng-
land, in Scotland, in the United States,
in Canada and elsewhere for, and
seems to be a very simple and obvious
act of justice to women.

SALE OF THE PATRONS OF IN-
DUSTRY.

Mr. Joseph Haycock is now an official
of the Dominion government, and an
increase has recently been made in his
emoluments. In 1894 Mr. Haycock was
a leader in the great popular move-
ment. The farmers of Ontario were
dissatisfied with both political parties,
and in many constituencies they put
up candidates of their own, under the
name of Patrons of Industry of North
America. This was their platform:
First—Maintenance of British connec-
tion.
Second—The reservation of the pub-
lic lands for the actual settler.
Third—Purity of administration and
absolute independence of parliament.
Fourth—Rigid economy in every de-
partment of the public service.
Fifth—Simplification of the laws and
a general education in the machinery
of government.
Sixth—The abolition of the Canadian
senate.
Seventh—A system of civil service re-
form that will give each county power
to appoint or elect all county officials
paid by them except county judges.
Eighth—Tariff for revenue only, and
so adjusted as to fall as far as possible
upon the luxuries and not upon the
necessaries of life.
Ninth—Reciprocal trade on fair and
equitable terms between Canada and
the world.
Tenth—Effective legislation that will
protect labor, and the results of labor,
from those combinations and monop-
olies which unduly enhance the price of
the articles produced by such combina-
tions or monopolies.
Eleventh—Prohibition of the bonus-
ing of railways by government grants
as contrary to the public interest.
Twelfth—Preparation of the Dominion
and provincial voters' lists by the mun-
icipal officers.
Thirteenth—Conformity of electoral

WILL PERMIT NO HOLD-UP

Continued From Page 1.

It was obliged to make the best
possible use of the publishers. It
seemed to him that if the schools are to
be supplied with books at the expense of
the taxpayers, the books should be per-
fect in the matter of selection.
The minister of education, respecting
the books, said he would not with-
draw his motion, as there was not one
of the counties represented in the copy-
right convention at Berlin that would
consent to any infringement of its
rights. He said there could be no copy-
right on many of the books used in
the schools. There could be no copy-
right on scientific works or of historical
facts, and even if the position of Dr.
Nesbitt were adopted, its range would
be narrowed to a very small area, only
in the case of books in the
Mr. Whitney: Then what harm could
there be?
The only books that could be effected
were two or three of the readers, Mr.
Harcourt went on. There was no need
of taking such action. As the two or
three readers that would be affected
had a wide scope in the selection of
matter used. The best of literature had
been selected.
Would it be well, for instance, if we
were to rob Mr. Kipling of the profits
of his books? Would it be well to hold
his pistol to the head of the author?
He referred to a similar motion in the
British parliament, by Mr. Arnold, and
said that a single member of the com-
mittee that dealt with the question
supported him as vigorously as he.

Mr. Harcourt said it was the federal
government that dealt with copyright
questions. Any motion to hold the
author to account would be a motion
to go to Ottawa. What influence
could a resolution passed by this legis-
lature have on the federal government?
It would be more effective to
induce our own Dominion house to
ask the British house to change its
law.

Whitney pointed out that many
resolutions had been passed by the
legislature asking the federal govern-
ment to do this and so. The minister
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But the people had been cheated and
betrayed, and an honest public opinion
had been stifled. Can they prevent a
repetition of the process? First, they
disappeared in the legislative. The
government acquired a comfortable
working majority. The anxieties of the
professional politicians disappeared.
But