temporary privations it occasions; and are preparing with spirit to provide for themselves those comforts and conveniences of life, for which it would be unwise ever more to resort to distant countries." In another answer to an address, this sentiment is expressed, that the agriculturalist and manufacturer shall be planted down side by side, so as to receive, at our own doors, those comforts and conveniences of life, which we have been accustomed to seek on the ocean. No such effects could be produced by the embargo, nor can it answer any such purpose, unless made ferfetual, or continued for a great length of time.

The gentleman from Virginia has thought proper to go into a consideration of the commercial advantages which he imagines are enjoyed by the people inhabiting the northern in comparison with the southern states, under the constitution and laws of the United States, and has indulged himself in remarks respecting a disposition in the people of New England to insurrection, rebellion and disunion; but these are topics on which I shall say nothing, as I

do not think it expedient to discuss them at this time.

The intimations of the gentleman from Kentucky and the gentleman from Georgia, [Mr Crawford,] about amputation and military coercion, I shall also pass by without further notice, than to assure those gentlemen, that in New England they will produce no intimi-

dation, if they should excite any attention.

It was particularly unfortunate for the gentleman from Virginia, that he should feel himself under the necessity of making remarks that may be construed into a denunciation of a certain description of our fellow citizens as DEMAGOGUES, and as having attempted to excite sedition and rebellion, because they doubt the expediency of the embargo, and question the policy of some of the measures of the present administration. That gentieman, I imagine, will hardly consent that to doubt the policy and oppose the measures of an administration, shall be the criterion for deciding who are the DEMAcogues of our country; for surely, if that is to be the rule of decision, the conduct of that gentleman during the first administration under the constitution of the United States, and the ability and perseveratice with which he maintained his opposition to it, would give him a pre-eminent claim to rank high on the list of DEMA-GOGUES. I extremely regret those remarks on another account. I know many of the most venerable characters of cur country, men who were patriots of '76, men who made great sacrifices, and risqued their lives in our struggle for liberty and independence, men who have acquired a well earned fame, which has never been tarnished, who doubt the policy of the embargo, and decidedly disapprove the measure, as being altogether inefficient as it regards foreign powers, and ruinous to ourselves.

The gentleman from Virginia is peculiarly unfortunate also, in ascribing the failure of the embargo, to produce the desired effect on foreign nations, to party misrepresentations, and newspaper electioneering publications, describing the embargo as an unpopu-

, is an uestion of his But in ssion of ige, he pecially wledge, vessels permits safety, eturned. minister. emptyof their

done :

itizens e been

ountry

oreign

Many id, have country, sels have as much tly been

my obgland or assachuommerce manner tience of

at one oburpose of which my he gentlerty newsnt of the an answer New Engy England bargo, he son and reaction that the great ulness the