

been done; and where is our warrant to suppose a change has occurred in the laws of mind from that day to this? If, then, there is, more than ever do we need a new dispensation. fitted for these laws of mind.

The best "scientific" (?) explanation of the raps yet written is the following, from Parker's American Journal.

The only true and legitimate mode of accounting for the taps is the physiological effect of the membranous system. The obtuseness of the abdominal indication causes the cartilaginous compressor to coagulate into the diaphragm, and depresses the duodenum into the fandango. Now, if the taps were caused by the roagation of the electricity from the extremities, the tympanum would also dissolve into spiritual rinctum, and the olfactory would forment, and become identical with the pigmentum. Now this is not the case. In order to produce the taps the spiritual rotundum must be elevated down to the spiritual spero. But, as I said before, the inferior ligament must not subtend over the dignitorium sufficiently to disorganize the stericletum.

WHO ARE INFIDELS? — SEPARATE THE SHEEP FROM THE GOATS.

RICHMOND, DODS, ROGERS, RELIGIOUS (?) ANTI-SPIRITUALISTS, AND AVOWED INFIDELS, *versus* CHRISTIANITY, S. B. BRITTON, W. S. COURTNEY, AND MODERN SPIRITUALISM.

Dods was a Universalist minister; his hobbies are mesmerism and electricity; so he wants to make them the cause of spiritualism. "Misfortune brings us acquainted with strange bed-fellows;" accordingly, the clerical and orthodox opponents of spirit intercourse, in their dire calamity, after attributing the phenomena to Universalist contrivance, are glad to avail themselves of the aid of a Universalist preacher to assist in "putting it down" (?); he is the most successful opponent because he nearly adopts the spiritual theory. Dr. Richmond consistently denies the spiritual origin both of the Bible and modern spiritual intercourse; while S. B. Britton his opponent, editor Spiritual Telegraph, contends for the