

*CBC Programming*

parliament. It was one moved on February 6 by the hon. member for Northumberland-Durham (Mr. Lawrence). I might think a reasoned amendment should take some other form, but I am smart enough to know that if I want it to be in order, I should follow the form of the one that was in order. It appears at page 2977 of *Hansard* for Thursday, February 6. The amendment I shall move will follow that amendment and it will be in these terms:

That all the words after the word "that" be struck out, and that the following be substituted therefore:

"this House declines to give second reading to Bill C-47 because it fails to limit the salary increases contained therein to the restraint limits proposed by the government, namely that executive and professional salaries should not be increased by more than 12 per cent or \$2,400 per year, whichever is the lesser."

As I say, I shall move that amendment at the end of my speech tonight, but I am sending a copy to you now, Mr. Speaker, so that you can look it over during the dinner hour, so that hon. members opposite can decide that this is the time to vote for their government's restraint proposals, and so that the Leader of the Opposition (Mr. Stanfield) can give it his best thought as well.

May I call it five o'clock, Mr. Speaker?

## PROCEEDINGS ON ADJOURNMENT MOTION

[English]

SUBJECT MATTER OF QUESTIONS TO BE DEBATED

**Mr. Speaker:** It is my duty, pursuant to Standing Order 40, to inform the House that the questions to be raised tonight at the time of adjournment are as follows: the hon. member for Elgin (Mr. Wise)—Agriculture—Fertilizer—Justification of price increases; the hon. member for Northwest Territories (Mr. Firth)—Housing—Native housing program—Reason for reduction in number of starts—Ability of native people to afford mortgage interest rates; the hon. member for Kootenay West (Mr. Brisco)—Bankruptcy—Society for the Study of the Heritage of Canada—Reason for permitting close alignment with office of Secretary of State.

It being five o'clock, the House will now proceed to the consideration of private members' business as listed on today's order paper, namely, notices of motions for the production of papers.

[Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre).]

● (1700)

## PRIVATE MEMBERS' MOTIONS FOR PAPERS

[English]

**Mr. Speaker:** The hon. member for New Westminster (Mr. Leggatt).

**Some hon. Members:** Stand.

**Mr. Speaker:** The hon. member for Esquimalt-Saanich (Mr. Munro).

**Some hon. Members:** Stand.

**Mr. Speaker:** The hon. member for Vegreville (Mr. Mazankowski).

**Some hon. Members:** Stand.

**Mr. Speaker:** The hon. member for Vegreville (Mr. Mazankowski).

**Some hon. Members:** Stand.

**Mr. Speaker:** The hon. member for Edmonton Centre (Mr. Paproski).

**Some hon. Members:** Stand.

**Mr. Speaker:** The hon. member for Brandon-Souris (Mr. Dinsdale).

### CORRESPONDENCE WITH CBC PERTAINING TO PROGRAM "BAPTIZING"

The House resumed, from Thursday April 24, consideration of the motion of Mr. Dinsdale:

That an order of the House do issue for a copy of all correspondence with CBC pertaining to the program "Baptizing", presented on the CBC TV network on Sunday evening, January 19, 1975.

**Mr. Speaker:** Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the said motion?

**Mr. C. Douglas (Bruce-Grey):** Mr. Speaker, first of all may I take this opportunity to commend the hon. member for Brandon-Souris (Mr. Dinsdale) for his concern and interest in this very important matter, a matter which I believe all hon. members have at one time or another mentioned or about which they have expressed some concern. I am referring to the quality and standard of drama presentations by and through our national network, the CBC.

I can say that while the hon. member and I share a number of opinions on transportation and its importance in the outlying areas of this great country, his request at this time for the production by the CBC of all the correspondence received by the corporation pertaining to the drama production entitled "Baptizing" presented on the CBC TV network on Sunday, January 19, 1975, is one which, I am afraid, I do not support. I do not doubt for a moment the hon. member's sincerity in his approach to the subject, but I have serious doubts and reservations about the outcome were such a practice allowed at this time.