being able to see the proofs. This paper reviewed the main and first two groups, leaving the Fasciatre (Catocaliute Pack.) and the Deltoids unrevised. I would recognise five very unequal groups both in structural value and extent. Adopting Packard's nomenclature so far as he went (this author excluded the Deltoids at the time), we may call these groups subfamilies, but in Europe my Thyatiridce and the Breplidace are taken out of the Noctuidee as distinct families. We have thus the following classification, which can only be changed by throwing all five together as subfamilies, which does not alter the matter in reality. The larvæ of the Thyatiridee are, however, almost Notodontiform.
(1). Family Thyatiride.
(2). Family Noctuide.
(a) Sub-family Noctuince.
(b) Sub-family Catocalinte.
(c) Sub-family Deltoidinue.
(3). Family Brfphide.

The groups I have recognised and named may be regarded as tribal with the ending ini, as Hadenini, Orthosiini, Heliothini, Stiriinni, Scolecocampini, etc. The Deltoids contain two such tribes, viz, Herminiini and Hypenini. My object has been to bring the classificatory terms to correspond with those in Coleoptera as used by Leconte.

In my last descriptional paper in Can. Ent., the genus and species (S) Phiprosopus callitrichoides, is omitted accidentally on page 132, vol. xv. My work is now to bring our genera into closer agreement with European genera by comparison of types, as I say on page 13 I of the same volume, to which I direct the attention of students.

## CORRESPONDENCE.

## CALLIMORPHA.

Dear Sir: I am sure that Mr. Lyman's article on Callimorpha, with its excellent plate, has given an impetus to the study of this genus. It wili be no question with the Derivatists that these forms are all descended from a single species. The test by breeding from the egg must now decide whether these forms have each an independent cycle of its own or are interdependent still. The test for species remains to be applied to

