

DIARY—CONTENTS—EDITORIAL ITEM—CURIOSITIES AND LAW OF WILLS.

DIARY FOR AUGUST.

1. Wed. . . Abolition of slavery in British Empire, 1834.
4. SUN. . . 10th Sunday after Trinity.
12. SUN. . . 11th Sunday after Trinity. Disraeli made a peer, 1876.
13. Mon. . . Sir Peregrine Maitland, Lieut.-Governor, '18.
14. Tues. . . Law Society Primary examination
17. Fri. . . . General Hunter, Lieut.-Governor.
19. SUN. . . 12th Sunday after Trinity.
21. Tues. . . Long vacation ends. First intermediate examination.
22. Wed. . . Second intermediate examination.
23. Thur. . . Attorneys examination.
24. Fri. . . Examination for call.
25. Sat. . . Examination for call with honour.
26. SUN. . . 13th Sunday after Trinity.
27. Mon. . . Trinity term. Law Society Convocation meets
28. Tues. . . Law Society Convocation meets.
30. Rehearing term in Chancery.

CONTENTS.

EDITORIALS:	PAGE
Attacks on Judges.....	209
Curiosities and Law of Wills.....	209
Lord Abinger and the Northern Circuit.....	211
<b>SELECTIONS:</b>	
Curiosities of English Law.....	215
<b>CANADA REPORTS:</b>	
<b>ONTARIO:</b>	
<b>COMMON LAW CHAMBERS.</b>	
Snow v. Cole.	
<i>Judgment, setting aside—Alien—Service—</i>	
<i>Special endorsement</i> .....	223
Ballantyne v. Campbell—Ballantyne v. Martin.	224
Mitchell v. Mulholland.	
<i>Prohibition—Division Courts</i> .....	224
<b>CHANCERY CHAMBERS:</b>	
Alexander v. Watson.	
<i>Notice of motion—Admission of service—</i>	
<i>Time</i> .....	224
Ray v. Maas.	
<i>Service—Delay</i> .....	225
Seaton v. Fenwick.	
<i>Supplemental answer—Amendment—Lim-</i>	
<i>itations, Statute of</i> .....	225
Olmstead v. Rutherford.	
<i>Revision of Order—Next friend</i> .....	225
Re Warmington.	
<i>Executor—Guardian</i> .....	225
Thompson v. McCarthy.	
<i>Mortgage—Redemption</i> .....	226
Swan v. Adams.	
<i>Dismissing bill—Security for costs</i> .....	226
Yourex v. Alcombrack.	
<i>Taxes—Rents and profits—Purchase money,</i>	
<i>interest on—Possession</i> .....	226
• Jackson v. Robertson.	
<i>Amendment</i> .....	227
CORRESPONDENCE.....	227
REVIEWS.....	232
FLOTSAM AND JETSAM.....	232

THE  
**Canada Law Journal.**

Toronto, August, 1877.

It is only under very peculiar circumstances, and when public interests require it that the lay press should bring before the public for discussion the conduct of the Judges of the land. It was therefore with much regret that we read recently some uncalled for observations in a daily newspaper published in Toronto, alleging a breach of one of the provisions of the Dunkin Act, by a learned Vice-Chancellor. It is almost needless to say that the information on which the articles were founded did not shew that there had been any infraction of the law. The learned and hospitable Judge is not therefore in any way called upon to take any notice of the matter, even should he under any circumstances think proper to answer the charge. We only now allude to it to protest against the too common practice of dragging the judiciary before the public to try and make some point in some disputed question of political or public interest, thereby doing an immense harm to all and good to none.

CURIOSITIES AND LAW OF  
WILLS.

(Continued from page 186.)

Some judges, however, think that any stipulation in restraint of marriage is an unwarrantable interference with personal liberty. Hear what one in Pennsylvania says, "the principle of reproduction stands next in importance to its elder-born co-relative, self-preservation, and is equally a fundamental law of existence. Not man alone, but the whole animal and vegetable kingdoms, are under an imperious necessity to obey its mandates; from the lord of the