them he was well known as a lecturer in the law faculty of McGill University, and to all he was uniformly kind and considerate. In all his professional relations he was a shining example of courtesy and generosity. His death is keenly regretted by the whole bar, and among those of equal years leaves a void which cannot be filled.

It is a striking illustration of the wildness of popular impressions as to the gains of advocacy that Mr. Laflamme, like most of the able lawyers who have departed in recent years, seems to have died a poor man. Messrs. Carter, Doutre, Kerr, and others who might be mentioned, were all successful lawyers and fully occupied with important business during thirty or forty years. Yet in no case did the toil of the law bring them much more than the modest income which sufficed for the needs of their families.

Judges in England are not always remarkable for courtesy to counsel, but it is so short a time since Lord Justice Davey quitted the ranks of the profession that we are somewhat surprised to read in the *Law Journal*, of London, the following: "Lord Justice Davey to Mr. Oswald, Q.C.: 'What is the exact point of law which you are obscuring by your eloquence?'"

BELAIR v. LA VILLE DE MAISONNEUVE— INJUNCTION—RIGHTS OF RATEPAYER.

[Concluded from p. 370.]

But it was further contended that the article was not limitative, that the court might issue injunctions in other cases not therein specified, or that at all events the court at common law and irrespective of the special provisions regarding injunctions, had power to issue a provisional order, pending any suit, to ensure the parties being maintained in the respective positions occupied by them at the time of its institution until final judgment.