

**COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH—MONTREAL.\***

*Séparation de corps—Grounds for separation—  
Ill treatment.*

*Held*:—That isolated acts of ill treatment and insulting expressions applied to a wife by her husband (a carter) are not sufficient to justify a *séparation de corps*, where it appears that the wife, on the occasions complained of, provoked the anger of her husband by her light behaviour and disobedience to his reasonable commands.—*Bonneau & Circé*, Dorion, Ch. J., Cross, Baby, Bossé, JJ., June 19, 1890.

*Partnership—Association to provide water supply—Action to dissolve partnership—Partition—Arts. 689, 1499, C.C.*

*Held*:—1. Where several persons, owners of lands in the vicinity of the River Richelieu, associated themselves by deed before notary, for the purpose of providing a water supply for their respective dwellings, that an ordinary partnership was not thereby created, and that an action for dissolution of partnership, brought by one of the associates who had ceased to have property there and had left the neighborhood, could not be maintained.

2. That Art 689, C. C., is not applicable to the state of things existing under the deed of association above mentioned, and that a partition could not be demanded by one of the associates, inasmuch as the association had no common property susceptible of partition, the water works being merely an accessory of the real property and designed for its perpetual use.—*Michon & Leduc et al.*, Dorion, Ch. J., Tessier, Baby, Bossé, Doherty, JJ., June 19, 1890.

**APPEAL REGISTER—MONTREAL.**

Monday, March 16.

*Poulin & Flatt, & Bradley*.—Cause re-instated on the roll by consent.

*Berger & Morin*.—Settled out of court.

*McGreevy & Beaucauge*.—Motion for leave to appeal from interlocutory judgment.—C. A. V.

*Bank of B. N. A. & Stewart*.—Heard on appeal from interlocutory judgment.—C. A. V.  
*Montreal Elevating Co. & St. Lawrence Grain Co.*—Heard. C. A. V.

*Stanton & Canada Atlantic Ry. Co.*—Part heard.

Tuesday, March 17.

*Stanton & Canada Atlantic R. Co.*—Hearing continued.

Wednesday, March 18.

*The Queen v. Labrie*.—Reserved case. Conviction quashed.

*Ontario & Quebec R. Co. & Curé et Marguilliers de l'Œuvre et Fabrique de Ste. Anne de Bellevue*.—Heard. C. A. V.

*Stanton & Canada Atlantic R. Co.*—Hearing continued.

Thursday, March 19.

*Royal Institution & Scottish Union Ins. Co.*—Motion for leave to appeal to Privy Council. C. A. V.

*Citizens Insurance Co. & Lefrançois*.—Motion for leave to appeal from interlocutory judgment.—C. A. V.

*Stanton & Canada Atlantic R. Co.*—Hearing continued.

Friday, March 20.

*Brady & Cochrane*.—Motion for leave to appeal from interlocutory judgment dismissing an exception to the form.—C. A. V.

*Rowe & Leahy*.—Heard. C. A. V.

273. *Cie. de chemin de fer de Jonction de Beauharnois & Brunet*; 274. *The same & Brunet*; 275. *The same & Huinault*; 276. *The same & Brunet*.—Four appeals. Heard. C. A. V.

Saturday, March 21.

*McGreevy & Beaucauge*.—Motion for leave to appeal from an interlocutory judgment dismissing an exception to the form; granted, costs to follow suit.

*Citizens Insurance Co. & Lefrançois*.—Motion for leave to appeal from an interlocutory judgment rejected.

*Royal Institution & Scottish Union Ins. Co.*—Motion for leave to appeal to Privy Council rejected.

*Brady & Corcoran*.—Motion for leave to appeal from an interlocutory judgment rejected.

*Wineberg & Hampson*.—Judgment of Supe-

\* To appear in Montreal Law Reports, 6 Q. B.