

Number of Voters.	NAMES OF VOTERS.	Legal addition.	Residence.	Proprietor.	Tenants.	Description and Number of Lot.	Concession.	CANDIDATES.	
								GEORGE B. LYON FELLOWES	J. W. LOUX.
388	Daniel O'Brien.....	Yeoman..	Rome	F.	N W 1/4 20	10	1	
389	Samuel Flecher....	do ..	do	F.	S E 1/4 21	10	1	
390	Nelson Church.....	do ..	do	F.	S W 1/4 21	10	1	
391	Clarksin Palmer....	do ..	do	F.	N E 1/4 21	10	1	
392	Lyman Fitch.....	do ..	do	F.	S E 1/4 2	9	1	
393	Isaac Gleeson.....	do ..	do	F.	S W 1/4 2	9	1	
394	Ambrose Starks....	do ..	do	F.	S E 1/4 3	9	1	
395	Samuel Otis.....	do ..	do	F.	N E 1/4 3	9	1	

That by the Census taken on the 12th January, 1852, there were 200 persons of all ages and both sexes resident in the said Township of *Cambridge*. That at the previous Election for the County of *Russell* (in 1854) the Township of *Cambridge* had not sufficient population to entitle it to a separate Poll, and the Electors of *Cambridge* recorded their votes at the same polling place as the Electors of *Clarence*, when but 39 votes were jointly recorded in all. That in the assessment roll of 1857 there were but 84 persons assessed in the said Township of *Cambridge*. That, notwithstanding, at the late Election, held on the 26th and 28th days of December, 1857, 380 votes were recorded for *G. B. L. Fellowes*, and 5 for Mr. *Loux*. That of the 380 names recorded for Mr. *Fellowes*, 38 are entered in the Poll Book as residents of the Township of *Cambridge*, 22 as residents of *Albany*, 148 as residents of *Troy*, and 172 as residents of *Rome*. That the 5 votes for Mr. *Loux* are all residents of *Cambridge*. That there are no places in *Canada* called *Albany* or *Rome*, and the only place in *Canada* called *Troy* is a small Village of 100 population, in the County of *Hastings*. That by the Poll Book it appears that several lots in the several Concessions were voted upon in a manner and with a regularity utterly unprecedented, and which could not possibly have occurred in fact; 3 persons voting on lot No. 14 in the 7th Concession, then 3 persons on lot 15 in the 7th, then 2 persons on lot 16 in the 7th, then 6 persons on lot 17 in the 7th, then 4 persons on lot 19 in the 7th, then 1 vote on lot 17 in the 7th, then 2 on lot 20 in the 7th, then 2 on 22 in the 7th, then 4 on 23 in the 7th, then 2 on 24 in the 7th, then 1 person on lot 1 in the 5th Concession, then 4 persons on lot 2 in the 5th, then 4 persons on lot 3 in the 5th, then 2 on lot 4 in the 5th, then 4 on lot 6 in the 5th, and so on in similar regular order throughout nearly the whole of the Poll Book. That from No. 169 to 200 on the Poll Book, or 32 voters, all but two are of names commencing with the letter M; from 229 to 231 are names commencing with A; from 232 to 247 are names, all but 4, commencing with B; from 248 to 252 are names commencing with C; from 253 to 254 names commencing with D; 255 commencing with E, 256 commencing with F; from 257 to 259 commencing with G, 260 and 261 commencing with H, 262 commencing with W, 263 and 264 commencing with A, 265 to 270 commencing with B, and 271 to 275 commencing with C. That from an official Government Return and a comparison of the Poll Book therewith, it appears that 14 of the 380 votes in favor of Mr. *Fellowes* were recorded by alleged freeholders on lands for which no Patent had ever issued; 14 on lots sold or leased, but on which arrears were due to the Crown; and 35 on lots unsold and unpatented. That further, of the said 380 votes given in favor of Mr. *Fellowes*, 117 votes were recorded as of freeholders on the lands of *M. S. Casselman*, as appears by the evidence of that person. That the Poll Book for the Township of *Cambridge* is a forgery or fraud to the extent of