

*Canada Deposit Insurance Corporation Act*

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski):** The question is on Motion No. 1 standing in the name of the Hon. Member for Hamilton Mountain (Mr. Deans) for Mr. de Jong. Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

**Some Hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Some Hon. Members:** No.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski):** All those in favour will please say yea.

**Some Hon. Members:** Yea.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski):** All those opposed will please say nay.

**Some Hon. Members:** Nay.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski):** In my opinion, the nays have it.

*And more than five Members having risen:*

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski):** Pursuant to Standing Order 114(11), the recorded division of the proposed motion stands deferred.

The next question is on Motion No. 2 standing in the name of the Hon. Member for Hamilton Mountain (Mr. Deans) for Mr. Riis. Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

**Some Hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Some Hon. Members:** No.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski):** All those in favour will please say yea.

**Some Hon. Members:** Yea.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski):** All those opposed will please say nay.

**Some Hon. Members:** Nay.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski):** In my opinion, the nays have it.

*And more than five Members having risen:*

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski):** Pursuant to Standing Order 114(11), the recorded division on the proposed motion stands deferred.

According to Mr. Speaker's earlier ruling, an affirmative vote on Motion No. 2 obviates the question being put on Motion No. 3. A negative vote on Motion No. 2 requires the question to be put on Motion No. 3.

Therefore, the next question is on Motion No. 5 standing in the name of the Hon. Member for Hamilton Mountain (Mr. Deans) for Mr. de Jong. Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

**Some Hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Some Hon. Members:** No.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski):** All those in favour will please say yea.

**Some Hon. Members:** Yea.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski):** All those opposed will please say nay.

**Some Hon. Members:** Nay.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski):** In my opinion, the nays have it.

*And more than five Members having risen:*

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski):** Pursuant to Standing Order 114(11), the recorded division on the proposed motion stands deferred.

**Miss Aileen Nicholson (Trinity) moves:**

Motion No. 6.

That Bill C-86, be amended in Clause 5 by striking out lines 24 and 25 at page 5 and substituting the following therefor:

"5. Section 4 shall come into force on a day to be fixed by proclamation."

**Mr. Vincent:** Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. You said that a negative vote on Motion No. 2 requires the question to be put on Motion No. 3. You went directly to Motion No. 5, Mr. Speaker.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski):** I said that an affirmative vote on Motion No. 2 obviates the question being put on Motion No. 3. A negative vote on Motion No. 2 requires the question to be put on Motion No. 3. Therefore, we cannot put the question at this time on Motion No. 3. If the Hon. Member would like more information, he can come to the table and we will explain it to him while we carry on with debate. I will recognize the Hon. Member for Trinity (Miss Nicholson).

● (1630)

**Miss Aileen Nicholson (Trinity):** Mr. Speaker, as a history to the amendment which I have placed before the House, Bill C-86, as originally tabled, would have come into force in the usual way on a date to be fixed by proclamation. At legislative committee it was amended to come into force retroactively as of February 1. I am having difficulty now, as I had then, with understanding the need for retroactivity.

The chronology is that in November, 1985, the Minister tabled draft legislation to which she said she attached a very high priority. Yet the Bill was not called for second reading until January 27. The Opposition responded to the request for fast passage, and it was dealt with in one day. The Bill went to committee where there were two hearings. It was reported out of committee on February 5. It was brought forward for report stage only today. I have great difficulty in understanding the