

Hon. Jean-Pierre Goyer (Minister of Supply and Services): 1. The Advertising campaign has ended and the cost were identified as follows: British Columbia, \$24,109.94; Alberta, \$13,951.97; Saskatchewan, \$5,447.53; Manitoba, \$9,701.70; Ontario, \$109,429.86; Quebec, \$69,503.35; New Brunswick, \$6,435.63; Nova Scotia, \$9,572.41; Prince Edward Island, \$2,836.14; Newfoundland, \$2,801.78; Total \$253,790.32.

2. One of the goals of the Department of Supply and Services advertising campaign was to ensure that every Canadian businessman across the country was aware of how to do business with the Department and to assure all taxpayers that it is not a privilege but their right to do business with the federal government. Some 20,000 copies of the brochure "How to Do Business with the Department of Supply and Services" were distributed. The advertisements drew approximately 7,000 responses. Some 800 firms provided positive information which resulted in additions or improvement to our lists of suppliers. Approximately 250 additional firms enquiring about specialized activities in government were referred to the responsible departments. No estimate of the financial benefit accruing from this broader understanding has been possible.

SUPPLY AND SERVICES—SOURCE LIST OF RADIOSONDES

Question No. 1,959—Mr. Reynolds:

1. How many companies are listed on the Department of Supply and Services product supply list for the prospective procurement of radiosondes and what are their names?

2. Did the Department aid Sangamo Company Limited, Toronto, Ontario financially or otherwise in their initiative to market radiosondes?

3. Did the Department expect a return share in profits as a result of this endeavour to create another supplier?

4. Did the Department collect a returned revenue from such investment?

Hon. Jean-Pierre Goyer (Minister of Supply and Services): 1. Seven companies. Aviation Electric Ltd., St-Laurent, P.Q.; Leeds and Northrup (Canada) Ltd., Rexdale, Ontario; Electrodesign Ltd., Montreal, P.Q.; Topping Electronics Ltd., Scarborough, Ontario; General Instrument of Canada Ltd., Toronto, Ontario; Conway Electronic Enterprises Ltd., Weston, Ontario; George Kelk Ltd., Don Mills, Ontario.

2. No.

3. Not applicable.

4. Not applicable.

WATER-CHILLER UNITS

Question No. 1,960—Mr. Reynolds:

1. What is the difference between water-chiller units as contracted from the Trane Company, Calgary, Alberta on August 15, 1974 and December 11, 1974?

2. What was the difference in cost per unit?

Hon. Jean-Pierre Goyer (Minister of Supply and Services): 1. The two procurements are separate parts of the heating/diagonal cooling system of the new Calgary Airport Project. The first covers two central water chillers required to chill a continuous supply of cooling water

Order Paper Questions

which is then distributed throughout the project for use in heat exchangers purchased under the second contract in question. The second contract is for a number of heat exchangers, each consisting of a blower and a liquid-to-air heat exchanger to transfer heat from hot water to the air in a local position, or to chill the air in that same position.

2. The water chillers were two in number at \$63,338 per unit. The heat exchangers were thirty-one in total number of four models at unit prices of \$35,206 (4), \$22,846 (12), \$15,507 (10) and \$10,373 (5). These contracts were awarded on behalf of the Ministry of Transport.

CONTRACT AWARDED TO SPAR AEROSPACE PRODUCTS LTD— COST EVALUATION BREAKDOWN

Question No. 1,969—Mr. Reynolds:

What is the cost evaluation breakdown relating to the contract awarded July 9, 1974 to Spar Aerospace Products Ltd., Toronto, Ontario for a total value of \$7,604,000?

Hon. Jean-Pierre Goyer (Minister of Supply and Services): The contract concerns the acquisition of spare parts for the constant-speed accessory drive, overhauled for DND by Spar for a number of years. It was designed specifically for DND's Argus Aircraft by Sundstrand Aviation Corporation, Rockford, Illinois and this arrangement is not used on any other aircraft. This contract covers the first year of a two year acquisition program to provide maintenance spares sufficient for a period of four years commencing 1 April 1975 at an estimate cost of \$14,560,000. The cost is based on unit prices established by Sundstrand and negotiated downward to reflect the advantages available from volume manufacture. This bulk procurement program was initiated and negotiated with Spar to avoid the large cost escalation which began to be experienced under annual procurements. The contract was issued in July 1974 to authorize purchase of raw material required for this new provisioning program. Under DSS guidance, the agreement drawn up between Spar and Sundstrand provides for a quarter share of the manufacturing to be performed in Canada and limits the allowable cost escalation to 6 per cent annually over the program period.

SUPPLY AND SERVICES—INFORMATION DISPLAY SYSTEMS

Question No. 1,971—Mr. Reynolds:

1. What are the distinguishable characteristics within two contracts awarded for information display systems to Leigh Instruments Ltd. and I.P. Sharp Associates, Ltd., Carleton Place, Ontario?

2. What is the difference in design and cost of the two systems?

Hon. Jean-Pierre Goyer (Minister of Supply and Services): 1. Leigh Instruments Ltd.—Flight Information and Display System: This is a computer-based system, with multiple displays, for use in the new Montreal International Airport. It will provide the travelling public with information on flight arrivals and departures and baggage claim information; I.P. Sharp Associates Limited—Operational Information Display System: This is an Air Traffic Controller Operational Information Display System. Systems will be installed in Air Traffic Control Centres across Canada. The Controllers will be provided information on meteorological conditions and other data concerning the runway status.