- 3. How much was spent for this purpose (a) in the Province of Quebec (b) in the Constituency of Richmond?
- 4. How much does the government intend to grant in subsidies during 1969 (a) to each province (b) for the Constituency of Richmond?

Mr. Forest, Parliamentary Secretary to the President of the Privy Council, presented,—Returns to the foregoing Orders.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion of Mr. Turner (Ottawa-Carleton), seconded by Mr. Greene,—That Bill C-150, An Act to amend the Criminal Code, the Parole Act, the Penitentiary Act, the Prisons and Reformatories Act and to make certain consequential amendments to the Combines Investigation Act, the Customs Tariff and the National Defence Act, be now read a second time and referred to the Standing Committee on Justice and Legal Affairs.

And debate continuing;

[At 5.00 o'clock p.m., Private Members' Business was called pursuant to Standing Order 15(4)]

(Notices of Motions)

Item numbered 21 was allowed to stand at the request of the government.

Mr. Allmand, seconded by Mr. Breau, moved,—That, in the opinion of this House, the government should consider the advisability of amending the Income Tax Act so that the additional \$500.00 exemption presently granted to Canadian taxpayers under section 26 subsection (e) when they reach 70 years of age be granted at 65 years of age and that section 26 subsection (f) be repealed.—(Notice of motion No. 22).

And debate arising thereon;

The hour for Private Members' Business expired.

Debate was resumed on the motion of Mr. Turner (Ottawa-Carleton), seconded by Mr. Greene,—That Bill C-150, An Act to amend the Criminal Code, the Parole Act, the Penitentiary Act, the Prisons and Reformatories Act and to make certain consequential amendments to the Combines Investigation Act, the Customs Tariff and the National Defence Act be now read a second time and referred to the Standing Committee on Justice and Legal Affairs.

And debate continuing;

## (Proceedings on Adjournment Motion)

At ten o'clock p.m., the question "That this House do now adjourn" was deemed to have been proposed pursuant to Standing Order 40(1);

After debate the said question was deemed to have been adopted.