that the "suit may be entered, tried, and finally disposed of in the Court where the head office of the Noxon Company (Limited) is located." That is, of course, literally insensible; the head office is not in the Court, though it may be in the town and in the county in which the Court is held, whether Division or County Court.

I affirm the Master's order, but it will be right to express what is offered by the company, that the extra expense of trying at Woodstock, instead of Goderich, is to be borne by plaintiffs in any event.

Costs of appeal in the cause.

MACLENNAN, J.A. NOVEMBER 30TH, 1903

C.A.—CHAMBERS.

RE RAWDON VOTERS' LISTS.

Parliamentary Elections—Voters' Lists—Notice of Complaint—Mistake in—Amendment—Form—Sufficiency.

Reference under sec. 38 of the Ontario Voters' Lists Act upon a case stated by the Judge of the County Court of Hastings.

One Robert Tôtton, a duly qualified voter, filed with the clerk of the municipality six several notices of complaint, one in respect of voters in each of the several polling subdivisions of the township, for that purpose in each case using the form No. 6 prescribed by sec. 17 (1) of the Act.

In each of his notices the complainant made the mistake of placing in list No. 2 of the form, which was intended for cases of misnomer only, names which should have been placed in list No. 3, as being names which should, for various reasons, not have been inserted in the voters' list at all. It was conceded that all the names placed in list No. 2 were the true names of the persons, and there were no cases of misnomer. The ground of objection was stated after each name, most of them being by reason of non-residence, absence from the municipality or electoral division, or not being of age. There were a number of names properly placed in list No. 3, objected to on similar grounds to those specified in list No. 2.

The notice signed by the complainant referring to the several lists of names was "that the several persons whose names are mentioned in the first column of the subjoined list No. 2 are wrongly stated in the said voters' list as shewn in said list No. 2," and "that the several persons