relations or affinities: and the second a peculiar system of technical names adopted as descriptive of the first. One, then, must be subservient to the other, yet in intimate relation to it. Again, classification should be an arrangement the most easily adapted to the demands of science, at the same time affording the best means of study and research ; in fact, should be the guide-board on the free road of science, instead of (as it too frequently is) the barrier and stumbling block to progress.
Nomenclature, too, is expected to serve the purpose of an aid to the examination and classification of objects in comnection with the laws by which they are governed, and as a means of investigating their structure, history, and llses. For this reason Latin or Greek names Here adopted as affording uniformity that could not be attained by the nse of common or vilgar designations, and as permitting scientists of all nations to meet upn a common leound, irrespective of profuse lingual knowledge. Whether nomenclature is serving such a purpose, or not, we shall see further on. Embracing so wide a scope as does natural
history, objects animate and inanimate, from the awe-inspiring celestial bodies in their multitude, to the most insignificant of earthly microcosms, and details so numerons that to ${ }^{10}$ ssess a knowledge of the smallest ${ }^{\text {nertions }}$ is ${ }^{\text {a }}$ competent task for a lifetime spent in study atd investigation, it is little wonder that errors are both numerous and constant. Yet this affords no excuse for their muremitting multiplication by individuals of less than two seore of years who insist on forcing them upon ins regardless of scientific truth or progress. They laugh, sneer, and pooh-pooh, the patiently acquired results of old, staid and carefnlly plodding and reasoning naturalists to scorn; and not satisfied with this, only too frequently resort to abusive epithets and vituperative
abuse. abuse. For what rights has either age or reason that are not subsed vient to Young America, When full of egotism, he steps upon the stage? $0_{\text {ur }}$ interest as an ascociation is centered
chiefly on those forms of ferce natura usually denominated game, with, perhaps a minor regard for the fur-bearing species. Individual animals, we feel, demand individual and at the same time appropriate names; names indicative somewhat of their character-such is the true rule of nomenclature and classification. The better to exhibit relationship, individuals are collected into groups that present the greatest number of characteristics in common such being called genert. Genera are further collected under the same seneral rule into families; families into orders; and orders in turn into classes.

Were it pessible to arrange all clasees in such a manner that the individuals of one genera of an order should be comected more nearly with that order than any other, little would the necessary to rember classification both simple and complete. But, unfortmately, it has been found that characters are not sutficiently uniform, and at the same time easily cognizable, to allow the arrangement of all groups of individuals into closely oronected families. Aware of this, the great Swedish Natmralist cmployed one system of organs as the basis of classification. Others have amed to classity only by the structure of individuals, as a whole, and this latter could it be carried into effect, would seem the most philosophical ; it has loeen fomm, however, that either system followed exclusively results in heterogenous combinations. It was like errors that cansed the famons controversy between Huxley and Owen a few yeare since, and which led to the re-elassification of mammals. $A$ combination of the two systems is mow in vogne as being the least objectionable, and affording the greatest facility in investigating the productions of nature.

The six primary orders of Limmens are now divided into rertelrates and incertebrates. Of the former, mammals, birds and tishes alone have special interest for us. Following classitication onward, we find mammals divided into classes in accordance with their marked physiological and anatomical peculiarities ; and the

