median vein straight, and the fork at the hind margin wanting. You will see in Osten Siacken's Catalogue that the museums do not possess this type for Ciciddomyid. I have gone through the literature, and find till now your species is not described. The harva is shrivelled up, therefore the trophi are not visible.
" Your other insect is $J^{\prime}$ syl/a rethusta, (). Sacken, raised by him, and described with its galls on Ciltis dccidentalis.- Fettiner Entom. Zeit., r86r, p. 422. ."

With regard to the /sy/ha. Mr. Fletcher's note in the February number reminds me that I ought to have mentioned that I found Celtis occidentalis at Como, in \audreuil Co., in the grounds of Mr. I. J. Gibb, with whom I spent some time last year. I have not met with the tree at Cowansville.

In Mr. Ashmead's list of described Psyllide, on page 222, vol. xiii., there is no Celtidis-mamma. And the doubt remains whether the Celtidismamma of Prof. Riley is not the insect previously described by Osten Sacken under the name arenusta. Professor Riley seems satisfied that they are distinct species; and it would be pleasing if we could regard him as infallible.

Where does the Professor oltain the word Celtidis! The generic term Celtis is obtained from the name of the African lote-tree, mentioned by Pliny, H. N. xiii., 17 in $\$ 32$ : "Africa arborem loton gignit quam vocant celtin et ipsam Italiex familiarem." Celtin indicates Celtis as the genitive, aud not Celtidis.

## MR. JOHN B. SMITH'S PAPER UN N. AM. HELIOTHINE.

Bi A. R. GROTE, A. M.
For my part, I am very glad that a plate of tibial structure has at last been published, and by Mr. Smith. It illustrates characters upon which I have long insisted, and is a valuable addition to the present "Synopsis." The second plate might well have been omitted; it hardly assists the student, and is badly drawn. The "Synopsis" itself is a gratification to me; it is scientifically written so far as the characters it discusses are concerned. Its difference from my own work in its conclusions are more apparem than real. Mr. Smith writes with a critical eye to my shortcomings, and really finds very little to say.

