

The Catholic Record

"Christianus nomen est Catholicus vero Cognomen."—(Christian is my Name, but Catholic my Surname)—St. Paclan, 4th Century.

VOLUME XXXII.

LONDON, ONTARIO, SATURDAY, DECEMBER 24, 1910

1679

The Catholic Record

LONDON, SATURDAY, DECEMBER 24, 1910

The Rosary of My Years

By Father Ambrose Ryan

Some reckon their age by years, Some measure their life by art, But some of their days by the flow of their tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

The dials of earth may show The length, not the depth of years— Few or many may come, few or many may go.

But our time is best measured by tears.

And of their life by the means of their heart.

me that no more lovable man lived than Ingersoll. Mack professed a firm belief in the eternal life, and could not understand how a man of Ingersoll's temperament and brain power could be a crude materialist. In fact, he thought it a pity; and once asked Ingersoll, in the privacy of the orator's home, if he had really come to a fixed conclusion on the mortality of the soul. Ingersoll, impressed by the sincerity of the question, walked about the room for a few minutes before replying. Then he answered solemnly that, to him, there was no life for man beyond the grave. Even then Mack hesitated to accept the statement as final; but Ingersoll re-affirmed it so often that there could be no reasonable doubt of his belief.

In 1875, the agnostic movement had sprung into prominence in England. Tyndall and Huxley, the scientists of the hour, were telling their audiences, humbly, how useless in argument, change the course of nature, in sickness or in drought, or in any other physical distress. The revolt against religion was general among one set of scientists, and the demagogues began to proclaim the destruction of Christianity, and all other like myths, by the peaceful, irresistible power of science. On this side of the water, Prof. Henry Draper wrote books illustrating this thesis; and the literary critic who admired Matthew Arnold adopted the agnostic pose. The press opposed the movement by ridicule of Arnold and Huxley and Tyndall, but the crowd welcomed these leaders as the heralds of emancipation, and their popularity grew until they were the most popular of the day. The agnostic crowd, the savants, desired a leader, elegant, witty, and by accident Ingersoll got the position.

He had a few of the qualifications for leadership—such as eloquence, audacity, wit, humor, sentimentality. Crowds flocked to hear him. A fine presence and a fine voice, perfect confidence in himself, perfect ignorance of his subject, utter recklessness in his assertions, were his characteristics. It was sickening to study his audiences. They were for the most part the young men and women of the day, the product of the secular religion, of secret ridicule of doctrine and minister. Every denunciation of Ingersoll, every jibe at the Church, every false statement, was received with rapturous applause. Agnosticism of the popular kind hailed him as its prophet, and boasted that the most eloquent speaker of the day upheld its tenets or attitude.

The harm done by Ingersoll must have been immense. His lectures were heard by hundreds of thousands. The "hubbub" made by him and over him reached the ears of the remotest hamlet. His books, written for the masses, became, in fact, the bibles of unbelievers. I recall the astonishment with which in 1885, I read one entitled "The Gods." From the outside world, I had judged that the agnostic Daniel had come to judgment, and that for the first time in centuries our theologians would have an antagonist really worth while. Expectation, the book became more laughable than Anstey's "Vice Versa." Such a hodge-podge of lies, errors, misstatements, false quotations, fallacious arguments, and English, Latin, oratory, had never appeared in the English tongue. It was an oratorical stew, whose ancient and patristic savants were supposed to be concealed by a perfect American perfume. It afforded me my first view of modern American journalism, in the departments of criticism and editorial writing. The man who could so sincerely praise such stuff as Ingersoll put forth in lectures and books must have been the original for the slang phrase "bingo passing emineence." Lloyd Brice was editor of the North American Review about this time, and, taking advantage of the popular ferment, he started in the Review a mild controversy between Christian and infidel. Mr. Ingersoll was asked to write a statement of the agnostic position; and Judge Jeremiah Black, the most eminent jurist of the day, was chosen to follow him with a statement of the Christian argument. The controversy ended lamely. Ingersoll's absurd article appeared in due time, and Judge Black's followed it. In the number which contained the Black article there also appeared a reply to it, apt and witty, written by Ingersoll. The article accused Mr. Brice of unprofessional conduct in showing his article to Mr. Ingersoll, and refused to carry on the argument in the pages of the North American.

There was a great "hubbub" at the time. Many thought it beneath the Judge's dignity to enter into serious argument with an opponent, able enough as a lawyer and talker, but a mere clown in philosophy and theology. Many others thought differently. Ingersoll had become an immense success in the field of religion, and the young were following him with enthusiasm. It has been said that he could get \$2,000 for a lecture any time in the great cities. His more thoughtful leaders held that the influence could not be too earnestly fought or too soon defeated. All guns were turned on him. Heads of universities, Bishops and clergy, writers and orators, thundered at him for months, and he enjoyed it. The arguments which followed him Mr. Ingersoll did not understand, did not know when he was beaten. He replied with a witicism, a funny story, a comic sketch, or threw his thoughts into spasm of laughter and admiration. He continued to make money while the "hubbub" grew. His disciples increased in numbers and audacity, and they imitated his audacity, and hid their ignorance under a sneer.

At this point, while the agnostic Goliath was rampaging on the platform, the new David appeared on the field. Father Lambert had edited in his parish of Waterloo, in the diocese of Rochester a paper which became merged in the Catholic Union of Buffalo, and has since been known as the Union and Times. He had agreed to furnish a weekly article for a certain length of time to the merged publication, and took up Ingersoll for his theme. Father Lambert was a true scholar, a voracious reader, a student of his times, and a man of letters. He knew, from the simple people around him, how deeply Ingersoll had bitten into their lives, how his poison had entered their blood. He had witnessed the efforts of scholars to discredit and to defeat Ingersoll; seeing what everyone saw—that heavy matters should know his own tongue, avoid another method. He trapped the flea, enclosed him in a glass case, and proceeded to analyze his antics for his own and the world's benefit. The "Notes" are simply the application of this method. He took up the blunders of Ingersoll in grammar, rhetoric, history, and science; described them briefly, laughed at them, sneered at the incompetency of the blunderer. He made former admirers ashamed of the prophet, exalted work; if it has no means of reaching the people, it is not worth the trouble of writing the damnable words and die on guard—how can it look to the rising generation to provide heroes; these young people are shrewd as well as ambitious. They must have models to imitate, honors to which they may aspire, and see the bronze statues of those who went before. But if they learn how Lambert passed away, how Orestes Brownson died, how John Gilmary Shea departed, amid the oppressive silence of the savants, desired a leader, elegant, witty, and by accident Ingersoll got the position.

He had a few of the qualifications for leadership—such as eloquence, audacity, wit, humor, sentimentality. Crowds flocked to hear him. A fine presence and a fine voice, perfect confidence in himself, perfect ignorance of his subject, utter recklessness in his assertions, were his characteristics. It was sickening to study his audiences. They were for the most part the young men and women of the day, the product of the secular religion, of secret ridicule of doctrine and minister. Every denunciation of Ingersoll, every jibe at the Church, every false statement, was received with rapturous applause. Agnosticism of the popular kind hailed him as its prophet, and boasted that the most eloquent speaker of the day upheld its tenets or attitude.

The harm done by Ingersoll must have been immense. His lectures were heard by hundreds of thousands. The "hubbub" made by him and over him reached the ears of the remotest hamlet. His books, written for the masses, became, in fact, the bibles of unbelievers. I recall the astonishment with which in 1885, I read one entitled "The Gods." From the outside world, I had judged that the agnostic Daniel had come to judgment, and that for the first time in centuries our theologians would have an antagonist really worth while. Expectation, the book became more laughable than Anstey's "Vice Versa." Such a hodge-podge of lies, errors, misstatements, false quotations, fallacious arguments, and English, Latin, oratory, had never appeared in the English tongue. It was an oratorical stew, whose ancient and patristic savants were supposed to be concealed by a perfect American perfume. It afforded me my first view of modern American journalism, in the departments of criticism and editorial writing. The man who could so sincerely praise such stuff as Ingersoll put forth in lectures and books must have been the original for the slang phrase "bingo passing emineence." Lloyd Brice was editor of the North American Review about this time, and, taking advantage of the popular ferment, he started in the Review a mild controversy between Christian and infidel. Mr. Ingersoll was asked to write a statement of the agnostic position; and Judge Jeremiah Black, the most eminent jurist of the day, was chosen to follow him with a statement of the Christian argument. The controversy ended lamely. Ingersoll's absurd article appeared in due time, and Judge Black's followed it. In the number which contained the Black article there also appeared a reply to it, apt and witty, written by Ingersoll. The article accused Mr. Brice of unprofessional conduct in showing his article to Mr. Ingersoll, and refused to carry on the argument in the pages of the North American.

There was a great "hubbub" at the time. Many thought it beneath the Judge's dignity to enter into serious argument with an opponent, able enough as a lawyer and talker, but a mere clown in philosophy and theology. Many others thought differently. Ingersoll had become an immense success in the field of religion, and the young were following him with enthusiasm. It has been said that he could get \$2,000 for a lecture any time in the great cities. His more thoughtful leaders held that the influence could not be too earnestly fought or too soon defeated. All guns were turned on him. Heads of universities, Bishops and clergy, writers and orators, thundered at him for months, and he enjoyed it. The arguments which followed him Mr. Ingersoll did not understand, did not know when he was beaten. He replied with a witicism, a funny story, a comic sketch, or threw his thoughts into spasm of laughter and admiration. He continued to make money while the "hubbub" grew. His disciples increased in numbers and audacity, and they imitated his audacity, and hid their ignorance under a sneer.

not his audiences failed. He had jested with Judge Black and other eminent persons in controversy, and had carried the public with him by his jokes and stories. He did not just with Father Lambert, never alluded to the "Notes" never replied to their amusing and effective charges, never mentioned the name of his adversary. This silence, in my view, is explicable and excusable. Ingersoll could not reply, could not even see his slayer, because Ingersoll was "as dead as a door-nail," agnostically.

It was a curious victory, and its slight hold on the memory of men is worth noting. Protestant fighters of Ingersoll used the "Notes" liberally; for their people were not aware of the influence of Ingersoll. They never paid their benefactor any honor. I heard of no resolutions of sympathy and recognition at the time of his death. Catholics had the honor of presenting the champion to the world very opportunely, but I have heard of no resolutions from Catholic bodies in praise of his services. While this vacant condition of mind among Christians affects in no way Father Lambert or his work, it must be regretted for the sake of those who are just coming out into the world of this generation. If the general body do not recognize true service, real merit, exalted work; if it has no means of reaching the people, it is not worth the trouble of writing the damnable words and die on guard—how can it look to the rising generation to provide heroes; these young people are shrewd as well as ambitious. They must have models to imitate, honors to which they may aspire, and see the bronze statues of those who went before. But if they learn how Lambert passed away, how Orestes Brownson died, how John Gilmary Shea departed, amid the oppressive silence of the savants, desired a leader, elegant, witty, and by accident Ingersoll got the position.

He had a few of the qualifications for leadership—such as eloquence, audacity, wit, humor, sentimentality. Crowds flocked to hear him. A fine presence and a fine voice, perfect confidence in himself, perfect ignorance of his subject, utter recklessness in his assertions, were his characteristics. It was sickening to study his audiences. They were for the most part the young men and women of the day, the product of the secular religion, of secret ridicule of doctrine and minister. Every denunciation of Ingersoll, every jibe at the Church, every false statement, was received with rapturous applause. Agnosticism of the popular kind hailed him as its prophet, and boasted that the most eloquent speaker of the day upheld its tenets or attitude.

The harm done by Ingersoll must have been immense. His lectures were heard by hundreds of thousands. The "hubbub" made by him and over him reached the ears of the remotest hamlet. His books, written for the masses, became, in fact, the bibles of unbelievers. I recall the astonishment with which in 1885, I read one entitled "The Gods." From the outside world, I had judged that the agnostic Daniel had come to judgment, and that for the first time in centuries our theologians would have an antagonist really worth while. Expectation, the book became more laughable than Anstey's "Vice Versa." Such a hodge-podge of lies, errors, misstatements, false quotations, fallacious arguments, and English, Latin, oratory, had never appeared in the English tongue. It was an oratorical stew, whose ancient and patristic savants were supposed to be concealed by a perfect American perfume. It afforded me my first view of modern American journalism, in the departments of criticism and editorial writing. The man who could so sincerely praise such stuff as Ingersoll put forth in lectures and books must have been the original for the slang phrase "bingo passing emineence." Lloyd Brice was editor of the North American Review about this time, and, taking advantage of the popular ferment, he started in the Review a mild controversy between Christian and infidel. Mr. Ingersoll was asked to write a statement of the agnostic position; and Judge Jeremiah Black, the most eminent jurist of the day, was chosen to follow him with a statement of the Christian argument. The controversy ended lamely. Ingersoll's absurd article appeared in due time, and Judge Black's followed it. In the number which contained the Black article there also appeared a reply to it, apt and witty, written by Ingersoll. The article accused Mr. Brice of unprofessional conduct in showing his article to Mr. Ingersoll, and refused to carry on the argument in the pages of the North American.

"I would like to see our laboring men become in part capitalists, just as I would like to see our capitalists join the ranks of labor, and I would like to see that result attained, not by state interference, but by individual conditions. You can call it what you want, but co-partners or co-operators, I would like to see our so-called 'owners' of capital realize that while they may have a title to the capital, it is not a title that excludes all other claims or relations. There is a trusteeship in their ownership, and they are trustees not alone for their own welfare and emolument, but for the welfare and benefit of society at large."

Finally the Archbishop commended Leo XIII's encyclical on labor as the most advanced ideas yet advanced on the labor question all of which were based on the teachings of Christ.

THE CLOSING YEAR

The snow-covered ground and the frozen streams of the winter is indeed, at hand, and the dying year will soon pass the way of death, hard-blowing winds singing and sighing its mournful requiem. One grows meditative at the view of the year that has passed, and looks back at the more than three hundred that have passed and asks himself, "Have they been passed well and can I do a good account of my stewardship?" In the bustle and hurry of every day life we are wont to forget that there is to be one day an accounting. God is a just judge and he will render to every man according to his works. He will expect a return in proportion to the talents which he has given and the opportunities which he has afforded. To some he has given five talents, to others only three or two, and to some only one. Our Lord will look for the fruits that these talents would support. For the weak, for the over-sought, God will make allowance, but for the active and able-bodied, in strict justice, no allowance can be made. The obligation rests on us as individuals, each to do his part, and that part is as varied as there are different persons.

As there are no two blades of grass that are alike, so there are no two individuals similarly endowed and situated. God's law takes cognizance of the particular condition and circumstances of each man, and therefore are the standards for the rich that will not let the poor; likewise is it the case as regards the learned and the ignorant, the old and the young. There are standard rules in the divine mind for the various states of life, as otherwise for the different conditions in the different states.

ARCHBISHOP GLENNON TO LABOR DELEGATES

In St. Louis, November 20th, His Grace Archbishop Glennon spoke before the several hundred delegates to the Waterbury Convention, and in his address, these stirring and weighty words, "Pray not alone for the prosperity and progress of the laboring man, but pray for the welfare of our nation; pray for all those for whom Christ has died, who are, through Him, our brothers."

On the liquor question, the Archbishop gave a most judicious and timely address. He declared that the liquor traffic was a blot on the face of our nation, and that it was the duty of every citizen to do his best to preserve the little that he has. "Consequently, many of our laboring men swept away by these inflated ambitions, and by the desire to get the little that they have, become unable to create for themselves and their children a home, join the proletarian school with their so-called brothers in distress, and congregate the neighboring saloons, and there, over the fumes of the beer mug and the wine glass, create a philosophy that fills them with passion and class hatred, and turns them into wolfmen. The beer mug and the wine glass are the enemies of society; specially the enemies of the laboring man. If the only to Father Lambert, but almost immediately disappeared; and after a little the man who desired to be the great agitator also vanished. I heard him once in New York, when he spoke on Shakespeare to a crowded house. He read from manuscript in a sonorous voice, an interesting but not remarkable study of the dramatist. The audience did not care about his view of Shakespeare; they came expecting a diatribe against religion, as one could see by their wild applause whenever the orator took a dig at Christianity or its exponents. He disappointed them. He might have had much to say against Christianity, but his history was up to the mark, he preferred silence to another onslaught from Father Lambert. His day was over, and he knew it. Agnosticism has not died, but its leader had been slain, and none knew better than Ingersoll that he was dead. He remained agnostic to the end, never could understand anything else, and turned angry and irritable when pressed by the complex arguments of the new time.

It is not easy to measure the large value of the service rendered by Father Lambert to society in his suppression of Ingersoll. The priest himself never claimed for his book so fine a triumph. In later years he produced a second book, entitled "Tactics of Infidels," which was intended to reinforce the "Notes" by a scholar's study of the mean tricks resorted to by Ingersoll and his kind in their villainous war on religion. This book did not enjoy the vogue of the "Notes," simply because it appeals to a different audience; but it suppressed the most popular book for earnest readers, "shooked" by the disclosures of the "Notes," and curious to learn why the incompetent, conscientious, thoughtful teachers of infidelity, like Ingersoll, were listened to and applauded by the apparently respectable mob. In spite of his sentimental ingenuity, Ingersoll possessed the common sense instinct. He would not have given up the platform, with its revenue and its popularity, had

deavors are for God and for heaven; the things of this life are ever a secondary consideration with him. As the merchant rejoices when he can count his gains at the close of a year's work, so too, the faithful Christian can likewise find comfort. He can look back and see that the grace of God has attended him and kept him in the right path, that he has been enabled to persevere in the way to eternal life, and that he is coming nearer to the end of the journey, and nearer to his crown, and like the merchant, he takes heart, and strives for greater success in the year to come. So, too, the Christian with each succeeding year rises to greater efforts and works the harder for final success—remembering that only to him that shall persevere to the end is salvation promised.—Bishop Colton in Buffalo Union and Times.

THE BIGOTS' BOASTING

The Orangemen have been heard from. They tell a listening world that they will never submit to Irish Home Rule. They will swim the sea of slaughter and sink beneath the waves, rather than permit the Irish people to have the management of their own affairs. Who can doubt of their sincerity? Have they not proclaimed their intention to die in the streets, and who ever knew the Orangemen to fail in backing up their blustering and bluffing by deeds? Didn't they do it when the Protestant Church was disestablished in Ireland?

Forty years ago they also threatened that if the Irish people were relieved from the cruel injustice of supporting an alien Church, there would be an uprising of King William's followers. To day we have a rehearsal of the same old comedy. Last Monday, when it was announced that Parliament was dissolved, the Ulster Unionist Council held several meetings in Belfast, at which the usual Orange oratorical fireworks were set off to the delight of the assembly fanatics. American newspapers next day headed their accounts of the gathering with such flaming headlines as "Ulster Unionists to resist the trial-volt in Ireland threatened by the Ulstermen," etc., etc.

These captious are due to American ignorance of the Orangemen, who have no intention of blustering and boasting substitutes for acts. Keeping this in mind, one will be able to estimate at their true value the following statement made by the Orange demonstrators in Belfast last Monday: "It was agreed, according to Ulster papers, to draw up a solemn declaration refusing to support the Ulster Unionist Council, or to obey any decree issued by such a Parliament."

This seems to have been too tame for some Orangemen who were blood and plenty of it. A cable dispatch informs us that some of the speakers declared that "sterner methods than not paying taxes would be employed if necessary in resisting a Home Rule Parliament. Here is another cable which speaks for itself: "The meeting appointed an emergency committee to organize Ulster men into regiments. The sum of \$50,000 was subscribed for the purpose of buying arms." All this is exceedingly good opera for those who are in the habit of regarding the Orangemen as really is. It also furnishes an additional argument in favor of Home Rule which has not been given by the Orangemen when viewed aright, an additional argument in favor of Home Rule which has not been given by the Orangemen when viewed aright, an additional argument in favor of Home Rule which has not been given by the Orangemen when viewed aright.

INDULGENCES

Since much has been made recently in non-Catholic papers with regard to the use of indulgences in Spain and other Catholic countries, it might be well to state the Catholic practice on this point. The great objection to indulgences in the minds of many who have not grasped the meaning of what they would call the "sale" of indulgences, it is well to know that the Church neither nor nor at any other destination, and to use the equivalent in money for raw goods themselves. It is this kind which is meant when indulgences are granted for "sins-deeds," and it is never preferred to the means of prayer and fasting. The error arises from a false conception of the "good works" by which indulgences are gained. Such "good works" are prayer, fasting, alms-deeds and the like. Alms may be given either directly by bringing aid personally to the poor, or by means of persons who are dedicated to such service. Now, it frequently happened that one could more easily employ the officers of a Church or monastery to bring his blessings to their destination, and to use the equivalent in money for raw goods themselves. It is this kind which is meant when indulgences are granted for "sins-deeds," and it is never preferred to the means of prayer and fasting. The error arises from a false conception of the "good works" by which indulgences are gained. Such "good works" are prayer, fasting, alms-deeds and the like. Alms may be given either directly by bringing aid personally to the poor, or by means of persons who are dedicated to such service. Now, it frequently happened that one could more easily employ the officers of a Church or monastery to bring his blessings to their destination, and to use the equivalent in money for raw goods themselves.

The error is on a par with so many others of a like nature. Thus, some tell us that Catholics gain indulgences as a permit to sin, or as a pardon of sin. The fact is that an indulgence pre-supposes freedom from sin before it can be granted. The Catholic never finds difficulty with indulgences, because he understands them. It is clear, therefore, that those stories from writers who talk as glibly about the "evil" of indulgences and who go into hysterics at the mention of them, would do well and save their nerves if they should sit down and study the matter seriously, not according to the reports of those who know nothing of them, but rather from the field in which they are found, the Catholic Church.—Pilot.

CATHOLIC NOTES

"The reconstruction of the Briand Cabinet does not mean more justice for the Church and less persecution," writes the Paris correspondent of the Irish Catholic. "Of the seven new ministers, six are Freemasons, one of them the chief of the Grand Orient, and one is a Jew."

Rev. Richard Bell, S. J., head of the department of physics at Santa Clara College, has invented a machine that produces continuous oscillation in the wireless telephone, which has heretofore been seriously handicapped because the vibrations could not be made continuous or permanent.

Rev. Edward D. Kelly of Ann Arbor, Mich., has been named Auxiliary Bishop of the venerable Bishop Foley of Detroit. News of the appointment came through the Apostolic Delegation at Washington.

A telegram announcing the appointment of Father John Ward, pastor of St. Mary's church, Kansas City, Kan., as Bishop of Leavenworth, Kan., was received by Bishop Lillis recently.

Milwaukee with a population of 383,000, has 38 Catholic churches. Its Catholic population is variously estimated as between 125,000 and 150,000.

Who made the longest journey to attend the Eucharistic Congress? An exchange gives the palm to Most Rev. J. J. Ritsdonk, O. S. B., of Wellington, New Zealand, who covered a distance of 9,000 miles. Bishop Meschery of South Africa, however, has a record of 12,000 miles.

In the presence of several thousand people the bronze portrait bust of Orestes Augustus Brownson, philosopher and publicist, was unveiled by his granddaughter, Mrs. T. H. O'Connell, and Mrs. S. Ritsdonk, widow of the sculptor of the bust, in Riverside Park Thanksgiving afternoon. W. Bourke Cochrane delivered the oration of the day.

Most Rev. Father Sheehy, S. J., the oldest Jesuit Father in England, died the other day at Stonyhurst College. He reached the patriarchal age of ninety-one years. Father Sheehy belonged to the old Sherlock family of Carrigmoorna, County Waterford, Ireland, where he was born on November 25, 1819.

Archbishop Messmer of Milwaukee has issued a public letter which was read in all the churches on the feast of the Immaculate Conception, requesting all Catholics to remain away from the opera. "Salvatore" cost \$1,000,000.

A rumor was current in the newly-erected diocese of Toledo, Ohio, recently that the See of Detroit, now an Episcopal one, will shortly be made a Metropolitan See. The information was read in all the churches on the feast of the Immaculate Conception, requesting all Catholics to remain away from the opera. "Salvatore" cost \$1,000,000.

The shell of the new St. Louis cathedral will be completed within four months, weather permitting the continuance of work. "The outside of the building will cost \$1,000,000.

The news has just reached this country of the reception into the Catholic Church of Mrs. P. St. John Gaffney, wife of the American consul at Dresden. Mrs. Gaffney was previously an Episcopalian. Consul Gaffney gave a dinner at his official residence, and amongst the guests were Mr. Thackeray, the American consul at Berlin, and Mrs. G. R. Ryan of Limerick. Mr. Gaffney is a native of Limerick, his brother Joseph having been high sheriff of the city.

About three years ago a number of the Syrian Catholics of Buffalo, N. Y., were led astray by proselyters from one of the sects. They failed to find their new home, however, the true spirit of Christ. It was not the religion of their forefathers. Now they have come back—all returned to their original parish church, that of St. John Maron.