

ing to, and in
l hereinafore
to the Cham-
nk passed the
ly to the said
diately sent to
other persons
s and Hincks.
s and Hincks
ents of nearly
aid Hincks for
sum of nearly
e said Bowes
in that behalf
of the firm of
ncipal partner,
That the said
is own use and
id Corporation
decree of this

he said Defen-
uence he had,
ise, to procure
of the Council
ts and proceed-
taken on the
foresaid: in all
the making of
e of the said
er the several
ce, was kept
he Mayor had
ears, or had or
n the negotia-
in the profit
ved that, in the
ne gave to the

Council, and the members thereof, and upon which they acted, or by which they were influenced, and in the active part he took from time to time in reference to the same matters, he was wholly disinterested, except as he had an interest in common with all the other inhabitants and Rate-payers of the City, and that in fact the said agreement with the Contractors, and the said By-law and Act of Parliament were all shaped, framed, and carried out through his means in such a way as might enable him, and under the hope that he would be enabled, to possess himself of the said £5,000, without any discovery being ever made thereof by any of the parties interested therein, or entitled to call him to account therefor.

That the said Contractors have not and do not pretend to have any claim to the said sum of £5,000, or any part thereof. That the said sum hath been wrongfully and illegally diverted from the funds and uses of the said City, and that since the discovery thereof the said Mayor frequently and solemnly denied that he had any concern therein.

To the end, therefore, that the said John G. Bowes may be ordered to restore and repay to the said Corporation, to be applied to the proper uses and purposes of the funds so diverted and misappropriated by him as aforesaid; and that an account of the said funds may be taken and all proper directions in respect of the said accounts and funds respectively given. And that your Complainants may have such further and other relief in the premises as shall seem meet. Your Complainants pray that a subpoena may issue under the seal of this Honorable Court directed to the said John G. Bowes calling upon him to appear to this Bill and observe what this Honorable Court shall direct in that behalf.

And your complainants will ever pray, &c.

O. MOWAT.

NOTE:—The original Bill was filed on the 18th day of March, A.D. 1853, and the Plaintiffs were, David Patterson, Arthur Lepper, Hugh Miller and Robert Sargeant, all of the City of Toronto, Merchants, and Jonathan Watson of the same place, Leather Dealer, they being also inhabitants of the said City of Toronto, and suing on behalf