

SCM LIKES KEMP

. . . dislikes tenure

Ted Kemp's supporters plan attack on tenure priorities

By Bob Blair

Students meeting Thursday in SUB to discuss Ted Kemp's failure to receive tenure decided that Mr. Kemp should be used as a lever for changing priorities in criteria for tenure.

The students questioned why student representatives on the review board refused to reveal how they voted on Mr. Kemp's tenure. It was explained that the representatives did not feel that they should reveal their votes as the meetings were closed and revealing these votes would give a better indication of how the other members had voted. The fact that the meetings were kept closed then came under attack.

Some students recommended that the philosophy undergrads "de-elect" their representatives, who had been elected by a meeting of 14 students, and replace them with others who would be willing to reveal how they voted. However, the concensus reached was that it is doubtful that this could be done.

Organizers explained that the main thing that has to be done so far is simply to draw students out and get their ideas on strategy. Also a minor poster campaign has been started, but this has run into difficulties because someone has been tearing down the posters. Some students suggested that this was because the posters had not been approved. Most students believed that no approval was needed for placing the posters in Tory or the Rutherford Library (the buildings from which the posters had been removed). Nevertheless, it was decided that someone should discuss this with the building managers. It was further decided that should it be found that there was no legal way of placing posters in these buildings, all other posters there should also be removed. In the words of Gaye Lounsbury, they would "drop our poster campaign and drop all other poster campaigns."

Miss Lounsbury also announced that she and David Leadbeater have an appointment with Dean Smith next Wednesday in order to discuss the Kemp matter. It was initially recommended that as many students as possible show up at this appointment to show student support for Mr. Kemp. However it was pointed out that this would conflict with Women's Day activities to be held on campus. Also, it was generally believed that Dean Smith is already aware of student sympathies in this matter. The idea was dropped.

Several students were concerned as to whether this was being done to help Mr. Kemp or to change priorities of criteria for tenure. Many of the students felt that Mr. Kemp should be used as a lever for changing priorities. Since he is more popular among students than Fisher or Whiteside it is believed that his case will be more effective than either of theirs. Some objection was raised to this goal; however, since changing priorities of criteria for tenure and helping Mr. Kemp go hand in hand, these objections were dismissed.

'Dream child" dies as council lives

OTTAWA (CUP)-The remnants of Carleton University's student council decided to remain in business Wednesday, despite a student mandate to dissolve itself.

During a two-day referendum Jan. 19 and 20, students voted 744 to 457 to abolish the council and replace it with two new bodies: one controlling services, and one taking charge of "political" functions of student government.

But the referendum turn-out only amounted to 19 per cent of the full-time student population of Carleton-less than the onethird of Carleton's 6,200 students necessary to make the decision

The proposal to split the duties of the council—consigning service functions to a five-man board necessary," Schmidt said. "I think of directors, and political functions to a "grand council" composed of student representatives to the university's decision-making bodies-was originally forwarded by members of the student council executive Jan. 6.

At the same time they made the proposal, seven members of the eight-man executive resigned.

Wednesday, the remains of the council rejected a proposal by former student council president Lorenz Schmidt asking that the council dissolve itself anyway, even though members were not bound by the referendum results.

"A valid political comment to draw out of the referendum is that a majority of the people who bothered to vote think changes are this council should recognize that fact-and act accordingly."

The councillors voted 7-1 to defeat the motion.

"You want us to dissolve ourselves so your dream child will come through," arts representative Dave Egan told Schmidt. "But right now we don't have enough participation. Let's wait until we get people at Carleton who will make this sort of change possible."

The council appointed Brian Hamilton, former finance commissioner, as interim president of the group; five other executive positions left vacant by the Jan. 6 resignations will not be filled until new council elections take place Feb. 16.

e Gateway

VOL. LX, No. 53

FRIDAY, JANUARY 23, 1970, SIXTEEN PAGES

Sociology dept. vetoes prospective professor

Grad students protest 'undemocratic' decision

By Dan Jamieson

Graduate sociology students here want to know why their department has refused to hire the vice-president of the Western Association of Sociologists and Anthropologists.

The students have circulated a petition to re-open hiring proceedings for Dr. Richard Ossenberg, an associate professor at the University of Calgary now on sabbatical here.

Dr. Ossenberg, a Canadian with a background in research on Canadian topics is also the former program director for the Canadian Sociological Association.

Department head Dr. Gordon Hirabayashi told The Gateway in November the department was not taking on new staff unless they had been very closely screened to avoid events such as those which surrounded the Whiteside-Fisher tenure dispute last year. At that time, serious rifts appeared among faculty and graduate students and several demonstrations were held to question departmental policies.

Apparently, Dr. Ossenberg did not meet the department's standards in this regard. While the staff selection committee voted unanimously to accept Dr. Ossenberg's application for an associate professorship and the department executive committee voted three to two in favor, the dean's selection committee which makes final

hiring decisions, turned him down

The committee, on which Dr. Hirabayashi sits, voted unanimously against hiring.

Dr. Hirabayashi said Thursday the application was rejected by the dean's committee because there was a "lack of departmental force behind the appointment."

He pointed out the closeness of the vote in the executive committee and the practise in other departments of refusing to forward recommendations for hiring without at least two-thirds of the committee voting in favor of the applicant.

Dr. Ossenberg said Thursday he had received no direct communications about reasons for the refusal, but added that "any questions raised here which might lead to something new or different would be automatically suspect."

The professor was one of the majority of the members of the sociology department at Calgary who called for an investigation of the department administration there.

His desire for a position here now is "certainly less" than before but he still has some hope the situation will be clarified even if no appointment is made.

He terms the petition from the grad students "very gratifying and quite a courageous move on their part."

However, even though one graduate said the proceedings were undemocratic in spite of the facade of a democratic structure, he added that no further action will probably be taken for fear of reprisals.

Paul Tietzen, a sociology grad student, said a few students had already been "blasted" for their views.

"What will happen is that Ossenberg will not get an offer, and a bunch of rather gutless graduate students will stand by while this department once again rejects a potential faculty member whose services are drastically needed and clearly relevant to both the undergraduate and graduate programs," he said.

Other graduate students declined comment.

The weak eyes have it

The Gateway has today undergone a change in format. For the convenience of our weary-eyed readers, we have adopted a new style of body type which is larger, more suited to a newspaper and thus easier to read. In addition the print shop sneakily sneaked a whiter newsprint past the bleary eyes of our proofreaders and so now we come to you in living color, at least sharper black and