"HE CALLED ME HIS DARLING ALL THE TIME, AND SAID

# ... 0 00 " 1 05 ed) 0 00 " 0 43 .... 0 00 " 0 95 **FLOCKING** BEULAH

... 0 00 " 0 18½ ... 0 00 " 0 60 ... 0 00 " 0 63

## nsacted Swiftly and -StrongResolution

June 28.-Alliance usiness at Beulah swiftly and in peray a large number autiful. The rooms dings embraced a th as follows:

and alarming greed polles and corporaion, and believing extent destroyed id boats for mercen-Il as all other ways their rest. ves us as a church record our disapth day excursions

street parades or rs of our church o help all organizaord's Day Alliance, aim the better ob ng that in the near

government may observance of quiet such as God abbath to keep it H. H. Crossman. EMPERANCE. ously passed the

the old flag of propeople up to it. ne past and would d's kingdom. t evil, and our min-iould do all in their e the use and sale

rafton, Mrs. W. B.

Beulah and Riverext year. re also made for le this year. alliance as lead-

rts and the passing

appointed to look

nks of this alliance O. inspector, for ing to us daily

absent brethren rong recommendarial association. ught in the folnich was passed by

its appreciation of al interest in Beuso much to its

Bro. A. L. Bubar are the minutes ir publication and or, together with

W. B. Wiggins, B. ally commend him

alliance are per brotherly kindbusiness is rapant business

## CHATHAM

the Sun. 2.—The excurnearly four hunto Burnt Church d upwards of a

of Mrs. Pipes, of Mrs. Jas. Miller is a party were er's new steam in the river, and refore, called off. was hurt in the Dieu Hospital.

fould you like to

minutes to spare, tell it to you."

shortly after ten o'clock the defend-ent entered. Mr. Rowley is a man well glong in years, with grey beard and gilver hair. The plaintiff appeared shortly before the opening of court ac-companied by her father and sister. Miss Gibbon gave her evidence in a straightforward manner, only hesitating slightly and naturally when asked her and the defendant. Her voice being somewhat weak, however, it was not Dr. L. A. Currey appeared for the plaintiff and J. B. M. Baxter for the de-

showed that there were two counts in the declaration. He would prove that there had been a definite agree-ment of marriage, and that the date had been set for Thursday, 16th of Nov. last; that the minister had been engaged for that date and that the defendant had failed to appear. He would prove that in September, 1904, the defendant had first proposed to plaintiff at the home of her brother-in-law on Duke street. He came back

Miss Ethel Grace Gibbon. She said she was a daughter of Capt. Chas. Gibbon of Bayswater, where she formerly lived. She was a trained nurse erly lived. She was a trained nurse would live through the night. He told her then he could not think of being married, as he did not feel as though he would live through the night. He told would live through the night. He told by profession, and had been engaged of late in a Boston hospital. She was getting \$21 a week at the hospital last October and November. She had known the defendant for years. She first met him on Main street, St. John, at the home of her aunt. She had gone there to visit and was introduced to him by her aunt. She next met him to him by her aunt. She next met him at the home of her sister, Mrs. Wm. Baxter of St. John. On that occasion Mr. Rowley asked her to marry him. He told her if she would remain here and marry him he would write to the beautiful and say she couldn't are beautiful. and marry him he would write to the hospital and say she couldn't go back. She told him she couldn't do that, as she must be back to the hospital by Oct. 1st. Next morning he came back to her sister's house. He again asked her to marry him, and she gave him the same answer. That morning she left for the hospital, after promising

### WANTED TO GIVE HER HIS MONEY.

She kept up a corres him after going away. She next re-turned to St. John in the following September. She went to her father at Bayswater. The defendant cam up to her father's. He said he cam

wanted to have his money more than

CALLED HER HIS DARLING.

Witness said he addressed her as Grace. He told her how much money he had, and where it was placed. The defendant remained at Bayswater about seven days. He spent much time in her company.

"He called me his darling all the live and said he loved me hetter than

witness remained a couple of months at Bayswater, and spent about a week at her sister's on Adelaide road. St. John. When she left for the hospital Rowley accompanied her to the boat. Once he took her for a drive, and talk-

TOLD HER HE WAS VERY LONELY.

He had often told her how lonely was his life. She asked him why he didn't go and live with his relatives. In answer to his proposal of marriage she told him she would think it over

Mr. Rowley's visits were all paid to her at the homes of her relatives. She never had any secret meetings with him. When she left he told her he didn't want her address because some of his friends would be asking him where she had gone. She wrote him several letters and received a good many from him. She had some of his letters. These of 1904 were destroyed. On the 7th of November, on Tuesday. In the 7th of November, on Tuesday vitness returned to St. John.

Went with her to her sister's on Adelalde street. She came home for the purpose of marrying defendant.

Dr. Cursey next submitted to his honor a letter received by the plaintiff from the defendant, dated Oct. 19th, 1995. Several other letters were produced, from defendant to plaintiff and plaintiff to defendant and read by his

November, witness said she was met by the defendant, her father, and her first to greet her in the gangway at the boat. He went to her sister's home and remained there a couple of ours. While there the defendant intermed her sister that he and plainwere going to be married. He told ayings bank, which the course of the plaintiff to the defendant intermed her sister that he and plainwere going to be married. He told ayings bank, which home and remained there a couple of hours. While there the defendant informed her sister that he and plaintiff were going to be married. He told her he had between \$5,000 and \$6,000 in the sayings bank, which he was going to make over to her. There was elso \$300 in the house. He did not know exactly how much he was worth himself. He said he owed nobody a cent.

THEN SHE SAID SHE WOULD began in the circuit court Friday began in the circuit court Friday before His Honor Judge McLeod. This case, which its for breach of promise, in which the plaintiff sues the defendant for 100,000, promises to be somewhat interesting althquigh it did not given the friday and was willing to marry him. She promised to take good care of him. Witness had given up are position in the hospital presence of marrying defendant. Two days later he returned greater this nature generally do.

Shortly after ten o'clock the defendant was.

THEN SHE SAID SHE WOULD the letters began with, "I received your welcome letter," The remainder were produced and marked for identification, dated March 10th, March 25nd, April 7th, April 25th, May 14th, June 18th, June 18th,

DID NOT INTEND TO LIVE TO-GETHER.

They then talked about where they would live. She expressed a desire to live on this side of the harbor, and he said he would see what could be done. The day was not set for marriage then, but he said he wanted to be married as soon as possible. Two days later—on Saturday—he returned and asked her if she could not board with her sister through the winter often asked her if she could not board with her sister through the winter after they were married and let him live in his own house during the winter, as he had laid in provisions there for the winter. She agreed to this and her sister offered to board her. They set the date of the wedding for the following.

for the following Thursday, and de-fendant told her he had engaged Rev. Mr. Marr of west side to perform the ceremony. It was to take place at her sister's house.

ROWLEY FAILED TO APPEAR.

In the meantime defendant did not

in-law on Duke street. He came back the next day and renewed the proposals. When she went away there was considerable correspondence between them on the marriage question, and finally she agreed to marry him and came to St. John on 7th of Nowember last with that intention.

THE PLAINTIFF ON THE STAND.

The first witness was the plaintiff, Miss Ethel Grace Gibbon. She said the were going to faint. He told her show up. On the date set for marriage witness was ready and willing to be married and the minister came, but the groom did not arrive.

She waited all day thinking he might be ill, and in the evening witness and her brother-in-law went to Carleton and saw defendant at his home on Princess street. He was sitting in the window reading a paper. When he came to the door he walked as though he were going to faint. He told her "Yes."

A.—"Yes."

"Well," said Mr. Baxter, "he is decidedly December; I don't know whether you would call yourself May or not," to which no response came.

"Did the fact of his possession of money make any difference in your marrying or not marrying him?"

A.—"Yes."

A.—"Yes."

A.—"Yes."

"Well," said Mr. Baxter, "he is decidedly December; I don't know whether you would call yourself May or not," to which no response came.

"Did the fact of his possession of money make any difference in your marrying or not marrying him?"

A.—"It made no difference, except that he promised me an allowance."

While in the heaville provided the minister came, but the groom did not arrive.

She waited all day thinking he might be ill, and in the evening witness and her brother-in-law went to Carleton and saw defendant at his home on the fact of his possession of money make any difference in your marrying or not marrying or not marrying to the day of the fact of his possession of money make any difference in your marrying or not marrying him?"

When she went outside she looked in the window and saw him walk across the floor as if nothing were the matter with him. He had told her a few days years, and he appeared to be well.

He promised when she visited him in
Carleton that he would write her every
day and tell her how he felt. She only received one short letter from him af-ter that, in which he said he was no better. She wrote him asking him to come and explain to her why he had acted as he did but she never saw him acted as he did but she never saw him after, until he appeared in court. She had been willing to marry him after that if he had explained the matter satisfactorily. She did not remember having offered to release defendant from the contract subsequently.

LETTERS PRODUCED.

said Dr. Currey, by which deant hopes to be exonerated. Anr letter was produced written by
stiff to defendant on Dec. 11th. The
t was a post card dated Dec. 18th.
ember 29th was the date of the next etter, also from plaintiff to defendant.

GAVE HER ABOUT \$100 ALTO-

Continuing her evidence witness said defendant had made her a present of a watch chain on the Saturday on which they fixed the date of marriage. He they fixed the date of marriage. He sent her a Bible from St. John to Boston previous to that. In Sept., 1904, the morning she left for Boston, he gave her a \$5 gold piece. She did not want to take it, but he said he had lots of

had been made before there had been any talk of marriage.

ints received during their period of

His Honor remarked that he would not consider it very strong courtship before there was any question of mar-riage, unless courtship had a very dif-

The breach of promise case was re umed yesterday afternoon, and Miss portion of the time being occupied by Mr. Baxter in cross-examination. Miss Gibbons admitted that the defendant had agreed to make over all his money to her before the marriage. In one of the letters introduced other conditions somewhat unusual in marriage agreements were stipulated. She also in reply to a question by Mr. Paytor

that the action be brought.

The case will be resumed at ten o'clock this morning, when another witness for the plaintin will be called.

studied as a nurse in August, 1963, taking a two years' course, and graduating as a general nurse. I had two attacks of pneumonia and my father
sent for me to come home. I felt that
I needed a rest."

Q.—"About how long have you known Mr. Rowley?"

A.—"I could not say just how long."

Q.—"You have called him uncle?"

A.—"I have heard him spoken of as Q.-"You saw him at Bayswater

A .- "Not very often." "When he first went up," remarked Mr. Baxter, "I suppose he did not go Q.-"When did you first meet him? A .- "As near as I can remember

net him about five years ago."

Q.-"How old is the defendant ?" A .- "I believe he is in the vicinity of eighty-four years." "Old enough to be your grand-

father ?"

serious intention of marrying?" A .- "I never thought about marri-

"Did you ever have other offers of narriage?" marriage?"
Witness—'I had had offers of marriage and declined them." "From an old man other than the de-

from a man 50 or 60 years older than "Why did you not accept one of these

offers?"
A.—"I did not wish to marry other than Mr. Rowley." "When was your most recent offer?" Witness-"My most recent offer was ome time in 1903, before I went to the

hospital."
"Would you have undertaken to care for Mr. Rowley professionally?"
A.—"Not unless he would pay me."
"You would want pretty high pay?"

A .- "I get \$21 a week." Mr. Baxter then read from the letter of the dated Nov. 20th, 1904, written by the plaintiff to defendant, in which the plaintiff wrote in effect: "You must "He was offering marriage?" not care for me too much, the reason "Yes." is I have made up my mind not to way that life would be unhappy for both. I respect and care for you, but I think marriage is something that should be held very sacred and the

Miss Gibbon after she returned to Bos-ton the last time, and appears to be Baxter said: "As the defendant was old enough to be your grandfather, did you not think you would make yourself ridiculous by marrying him

"Why were you not suited; was it or occount of his temper?" A-'I did not know much about his

"Yours would be sweet enough suppose?" The witness made a committal reply.

Q.-Why did you not wish t A.-"I did not think I could up my work."

efendant would have needed so Q.-"Do you swear you had thought of the difference in age?"

A .- "As far as I know I could i say. I do not think I thought of his What unhappiness was it goin

to cause you?"
A.—"Living with him I would no have my freedom." "That would apply in any riage," remarked Mr. Baxter.

Referring to the letter again Mr. Baxter read another extract near the end of the letter as follows:—"We will be just the same good friends. As you once in a while, I agree with you cheers a person very much."

Mr. Baxter could not get the witnes

o state what she meant by "a little next produced and read by Mr. Baxter in which the plaintiff referred to how she felt that she had no money to bu

presents with.

"I suppose," questioned Mr. Baxter.
"you thought a little hint like that
would be followed by a remittance?"

When the money came then it . complete surprise?"
A.—"Yes, I never thought of such

Mr. Baxter next read from two let ters one dated Dec. 22nd, and the other Feb. 2nd. In the former the plaintiff wrote to Mr. Rowley saying that he should not make her such return his kindness. In the latter she began by saying: "I received your letter and the money you sent me. Next Thursday is my birthday and they are going to make a birthday for me at the hospital so you see I have ome friends here. How can I repay you for all your kindness to me?"
"You are paying him now," observed Mr. Baxter, as he paused in the perus

A.—"He forced me to it."
"Did he force you to bring this suit? you to bring this suit?" sharply que tioned Mr. Baxter.

"You are not at all a dull young lady,

"Why did you say anything about it then in your letter?"

A.—Just for something to write."

"I must say it was happily chosen," remarked Mr. Baxter.

"As a matter of fact that birthday letter produced a registered letter in return. And it not?"

return, did it not?"

A.—"Yes, it did."

Mr. Baxter then read then read a letter of March 4th, and said to witness. "Perhaps you will remember that letter was



ETHEL GRACE GIBBON.

A letter dated March 10th read in part. "Your letter and money received. Your are far too kind to me. don't know how to thank you." "You are thanking him now," said Mr.

Another sentence quoted from the letter read: "I can never repay you, but God will never forget you." Mr. Baxter next read a letter of the

"He was offering marriage?" ng. Mr. Baxter read: we would be unhappy." . . . "
lives are too short to be unhappy." "It is for the best and I know you will

"He sent you some money in res to that, did he not?" asked Mr. Baxter. A.—"I don't remember."

Looking over a letter of July 14th Mr. Baxter read: "You ask me not to be cross at you, and I must refuse

"He made another offer?" questioned A .- "He must have."

Glancing over the letter Mr. Baxter read the conclusion, which runs as fol-ows: "I have taken up nursing for a What did you think of the proposa

eing repeated?" asked Mr. Baxter in continuing his cross-examination. ust the same." "But you still thought marriage with

him would be unsuitable?" A.-"Yes." "Why did you not intend to marry him?"

A.- "Because of my profession." "You wrote that you did not love him. Did you feel that you would be

age if you married him?" 'What took place from July 14th t A.—"He had been so good to me."

"That was not a new thing."
A.—"I had not thought of it before Was the amount of his money mer ioned in your letters?"

A .- "I don't remember." "When you came home in November was it talked of when he met you at

destroy your letters so that no could say anything. Had you conset ed up to that period to marry him?" "You went to Adelaide road from

oat; was it talked of there?" When did you next meet him?" Which day was the promise made

-"It was by letter. I promised to let him know when I went away whether I would or not when I wrote "Is it a fact that your answer was t this letter of 19th October?" A .- "Yes, I wrote him I would marry im under conditions stated in that

I came home." "Did you see him on Wednesday?" 'Was the date of marriage fixed o

letter. I made another promise after

A .- "No." "Did you see him Friday?" A .- "No, I saw him Saturday Was the date of marriage fixed Thursday that you spoke of the money A.-"Yes."

A .- "Mr. Rowley. It was fixed fo Chursday."

HE LOVED ME BETTER THAN ANYONE ELSE."

"You would not call it a position?"
A.—"Yes, I would call it a calling."
"How long were you in the house

"How long were you in the house with Mr. Rowley?"

A.—"I spent three or four hours in the house with Mr. Rowley on Thursday, 16th. My sister, Mrs. Baxter, was about the house all the time."

"The money and house was talked of in her presence?"

"I day" thirt I could I received and the time." "I don't think I said I would not live in Carleton."

"Did he not say to you you should have said that before?"

A.—"I don't recollect that he did." "Was it about this time you got the gold chain out of him?" A .- "I got that the following Satur-

"When was the talk of taking money out of the savings bank?" A .- "On Saturday. He wanted to put the money in my name, and I declined it. I told him he could draw the money out of the bank and give it to me. "Did you not want that done first before you would marry him?"

A .- "Yes. I wanted that done." "And until it was done you would him?" also contained the information that I did not say that. As to she knew the defendant had been lying not marry him ?" A.—"No. I did not say that. As to she knew the defendant had been lying Witness told of going out Mahogany the house in Carleton, I told him he to her to fool her and ruin her charroad with plaintiff for a drive, and her could do as he wished with that. "What preparations did you make

A .- "Preparations usually made" know what the usual preparations were and wished the witness to explain more fully.
"How much did you spend?"

A .- "I spent more than \$50 on cloth-"Did you buy a jacket ?" A.-"Yes." "What did it cost ?"

Witness-\$10.
"Would you have bought it if you had not been going to be married?"

To this question witness admitted Judge McLeod—"Had you bought what is ordinarily called a wedding

outfit ? A .- "I had partly purchased one, but SATURDAY MORNING'S SESSION. not altogether."
Mr. Baxter, continuing, asked what

Mr. Baxter—"For all you know, Mr. reserved leave.
Rowley might have been a corpse. - Mr. Curry.—"Do you know defen-Rowley might have been a corpse. You made no inquiry, and a corpse could not come over, could it?"
"When he did not come over on

"Why did you not get your clother

A .- "I had things ready enough "What stopped you from buying? A.—"His neglect in not coming over on Tuesday. I did not know the hour." "Would the kind of clothes depend

on the hour?" A.-"He told me he was "The marriage on Thursday would depend on whether he came over

"He promised to come over on Tue day and bring the money." "The marriage depended on his ing Tuesday with the money, did it or A .- "I would have been worried or

Thursday just the same if he had come on Thursday." "His coming over on Tuesday did not make any difference with the marriage, what did it make a difference with?"

A .- "He said he was a man of his arrangements about the hour and held in?"
bring the money."

Ans.—"7 "Had you required this promise A.-"He promised to bring ft

if I wanted it. I did not say I would "You did not expect George Rowley would come on Thursday?' A .- "I expected either he or

would come." "You were not very anxious?" A .- "It was not my place to

extent. I suspected Mrs. Gibbons was influencing him against me." "You and your brother-in-law visited him the next night. You looked in the window before going in?"

A .- "We did not know the he told him he should not be alone there I told him he should have someon with him."

A.—"Under the circumstances it would not be right for me to stay with The house was in apparent disorder, was it not, and would you think there would be any great impropriety in

not stay there. I would have taken care of him had I married him." "You looked in the windows on leavleft. I suspected he was only acting."
"In this bridal week it was only on

A .- "Saturday also." "You said you would never occupy the same rooms as he did at night in a letter dated Oct. 26th. You doubtess recognize that expression ?

A.—"I hoped he would change his nind and come and marry me."

Mr. Baxter next directed Miss Gibons' attention to a letter dated Nov.

There is only one kind of marriage and the contract as in any other contract the parties must be id iden. There is only one kind of marriage and the contract the parties must be identified by the contract the parties must be identified by the contract the parties must be identified by the contract as in any other contract the parties must be identified by the contract as in any other contract the parties must be identified by the contract as in any other contract the parties must be identified by the contract as in any other contract as in any other contract the parties must be identified by the contract as in any other contract the parties must be identified by the contract as in any other contract the parties must be identified by the contract as in any other contract as in any other contract the parties must be identified by the contract as in any other contract the parties must be identified by the contract as in any other contract the parties must be identified by the contract as in any other contract the parties must be identified by the contract as in any other contract the parties of the contract as in any other contract the parties of the contract as in any other contract the contract as in any other contract

A letter of the 3rd of December which
Mr. Baxter perused reads as follows:
"I am going away Thursday and I
leave that chain you gave me with
"Lot," to give back to you. I would
not wear your old chain. You are too
contemptible to live. Now you can
would proceed with the other the
marriage may be annulled. There is
no doubt about this proposition."
The judge reserved leave, saying that
he had already these points in his
mind.

Mr. Baxter said that before he
would proceed with

A.—"I wanted him to come over. I factory to her. Mr. Rowley was still wanted him to tell me why he had on the stand when the paper went to A letter of the 29th Dec. was read by Mr. Baxter, in which plaintin writes for her letters and photos. This letter ditions upon which plaintin was to accept his mariage proposal was that he

them."

Continuing the reading of the letter the defence. Mr. Baxter came to a sentence in which the writer says there is a just God called on rebuttal but was refused. who will judge us all, which brought forth the remark from Mr. Baxter that there is a lie in one part of the that there is a lie in one part of the letter and in another the writer is calling upon God.

"You had no thought of bringing a write at that time?" letter and in another the writer is calling upon God.

This closed the cross-examination. To Mr. Currey the witness stated that the sum total of all Mr. Rowley's gifts of money and presents would not

suit at that time?"

On the opening of the County Court stopped the wedding preparations, to this morning, Dr. Curry for the plainwhich the witness replied:

"Mr. Rowley not coming over. He of the plaintiff who gave evidence of promised to come over on Tuesday." the conversation between the parties, To the judge witness said: "The when the plaintiff is alleged to have money was to be drawn and the hour given her consent. This closed the money was to be drawn and the hour siven her consent. This closed the fixed and the minister chosen on Tues- case for the plaintiff, and Mr. Baxter then moved for a non-sult. The judge

dant, Mr. Rowley?" Ans.—"Yes."

plaintiff and defendant anyw Ans.—"At my sister's on Duke St."
"What time?" Ans .- "In the afternoon."

"They were both in the house?" Ans.-"Yes." "What conversation did you hear re ating to marriage?"

Ans.—"I heard Mr. Rowley ask marry him."

"What did she say?" Ans.-"No. I have to go back to my duties in the hospital." "Is that all that was said about mar-

riage?" Ans.-"Yes." "Next morning did you see them?" Ans.-"Yes." In morning quite What did you hear concerning the

His Honor .- "What did he say? Ans.-"He asked her to marry him." His Honor,-"Use his words." rv me."

Ethel.-"I cannot leave my work." "Was that all that was said about "Ans .- "Yes."

What room was the conversation Ans.-"The kitchen."

"Did you see the plaintiff and defendant together last September?" Ans.—"At my father's place at Bays "What did you hear about marriage

Ans.-(hesitatingly) "Ethel, stay nome and marry me and make me happy. He said he liked no one else she had to go back to work."

"Last November dod you see together anywhere?" A .- "At my sister's." riage at that time."

A.-"It was in the dining ro said he was glad she came home.' Witness gave her evidence slowly and vas evidently suffering from nervousness through she stated she was not. After close questioning by the defendant's lawyer and his honor the witless was coaxed into saying, she heard them speak of marriage.

His Honor (impatiently)-"What was Mr. Currey-"please tell" A .- "Under the conditions I would His Honor (finally)-"Will you swer the question or not?"

No answer. After a while witness said, "He asked her to marry him and she said she is right again and I know Doctor hit J. B. M. Baxter then began the

"Were you in the building long?"
A.—"Yes." "Were you in the dining room Mr. Rowley and Ethel?" A.—"No. I was in the kitchen."
"You was not paying particular attention to what they said were you?

Just a word now and then?

bons' attention to a letter dated Nov. 30th, in which she wrote as follows:
"I heard from someone that you were shamming sick and that you had never cared for me."

"Was that true?" asked Mr. Baxter.

A.—"I wrote things that were not true; that was one of them."

A letter of the 3rd of December which Mr. Baxter perused reads as follows:

"I am going away Thursday and I leave that chain you gave me with leave that chain you gave me with

contemptible to live. Now you can give the chain to Aunt Mary, as I would not wear it."

All. Baxter said that before he would proceed with the defence George Rowley, the aged defendant, then took the stand. Examined by would not wear it."
On Dec. 15th a letter from plaintiff to defendant read: "I am only going to write you a few words to tell you to come over to Mrs. Baxter's and get the chain and something else I think you will be glad to get."

"What did way words." ou will be glad to get."

give the money to her the day after the wedding, but this was not satis-

would move over to Portland to live, acter."

refusing to marry him on that occa"What lies had he told anyone about sion. He then told her that he wanted A.—"I told you before I wrote things that were not true and that is one of them."

Mr. Rowley was the only witness for

Blow, and Died Early in the

school meeting in Selma on Monday night developed a tragedy to add to the already large list placed on the ecords of Hants country's fair fame. A young man of twenty years of age named Frank McDuffle, engaged a quarrel with a seventeen-year-old boy named Stanley Weldon, with the re-sult that the latter's funeral occurred yesterday afternoon, and tonight Mc-Duffle was taken by the Midland train

to Windsor jail, charged with having caused his death. The participants in this tragedy em-ployed themselves during the school meeting Monday night throwing various articles at each other, ink from bottles forming part of their horse play. At the end of the meeting Mc-Duffle attacked Weldon ontside, and both started to fight it out. Weldon suffered a staggering blow, but he went home apparently all right. Tuesday night he was taken with convulsions and died early in the morning. Coroner Creelman performed an autopsy and at the inquest held late last night the jury found a verdict of death from concussion of the brain, caused by a blow delivered by McDuffie. The fun eral of the deceased yesterday after-noon was attended by a large concourse of people, amongst whom was McDuffie and his parents. Weldon's parents are well to do. The father is

for many years.

a stone mason and has been engaged in his occupation in and about Selma

CLEVER DOCTOR Cured a 20 Years Trouble Without

A.—"At my sister's."

A. wise Indiana physician cured 20

A.—"At my sister's."

"Tell what was said about the mar-medicine as his patient tells: tried allopathic medicines, patent medi-cines and all the simple remedies sug gested by my friends but grew worse

"Finally a doctor who is the m prominent physician in this part of the state told me medicine would do me no good only irritating my stomach and making it worse—that I must look to diet and quit drinking coffee. "I cried out in alaram, 'Quit drinking

"'Try Postum,' said the doctor, 'I drink it and you will like it when it is made according to directions, with cream, for it is delicious and has none of the bad effects coffee has."

"Well that was two years ago and I am still drinking Postum. My stomach the nail on the head when he decided

trial of l'osium la place of coffee work

wonders There's a reason.

Look in pkgs, for the famous little

I only wish I had qu't it gears ago and

given by Postum Co., Battle Creek