Supply-Defence Production

as that used to establish it but in reverse, one might say. I admit the bill was passed more quietly than the bill for its establishment in 1956. However, the process was the same and legislation had to be passed. In this case action is being taken through a supplementary estimate and it seems to me the house is not getting the whole story. I am not sure I understand part of the minister's submission and I should certainly like some time in which to consider the observations he made. I would therefore call it six o'clock.

The Assistant Deputy Chairman: Shall I rise and report progress and ask leave to sit again?

Mr. Starr: I wonder whether there is a disposition on the part of the committee to sit for a while longer and pass these estimates of the Department of Defence Production in

as that used to establish it but in reverse, one might say. I admit the bill was passed more quietly than the bill for its establishment in 1956. However, the process was the same and anyway.

view of the fact that getting answers from the minister has proved to be a hopeless task. Apparently we will not get any answers anyway.

The Assistant Deputy Chairman: Is the committee in agreement with the suggestion made by the hon. member?

Mr. Peters: Mr. Chairman, taking into account your words of a few moments ago with regard to your desire to be elsewhere, I call it six o'clock.

The Assistant Deputy Chairman: Shall I rise, report progress and request leave to sit again at the next sitting of the house?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Progress reported.

At six o'clock the house adjourned, without question put, pursuant to standing order.