

And the consequence of their, in this instance, proper, and indeed noble, conduct (we will not be too nice in prying into their motives) has been, that they have themselves been turned out of office, in consummation, which was often and long before, devoutly to be wished.

To conclude this subject, for the present, I add part of a letter, addressed to me, as editor of the Scribber, which belongs more properly to this work.

Mr. Editor, — After much tergiversation and trucking, a certain gentleman of the long robe, has received the reward aimed at by his obsequiousness. Old squire McCord and Mr. Mondelt, whatever their faults, in other respects, may be, have, by the unjust and arbitrary treatment they have received from a certain puissant seigneur, become objects of public sympathy, if not of respect, for their resolute conduct, which led to their dismissal from office. These gentlemen, for maintaining, in a dignified manner, the rights that were vested in their office, find because they opposed their indignation and respectful remonstrance, against the placing of a Scotch serjeant, as one of their officers, who is alike ignorant of the French language, the laws of the country, and the duties attached to the situation, hitherto filled by a Canadian gentleman, with equal credits to himself, and benefit to the country, were scouted out their business: it being an established maxim at the great chateau, that right and decency should both be set aside, though due to the Canadian, when they clash with the ambition or interests of any hanger-on of the Scotch party.

(Remainder of this letter in the Scribber.)

Want of space, compels me to break off here, and to postpone a variety of matters, but I trust that both in this and my succeeding numbers the public will see that, in the words of my motto, the FREEL PRESS preserves the character with which it set out, and will be consistent to the last.

LEWIS LANE McCULLOCH

I must, however, say that, certain in one instance, recorded in the public papers, and probably in others, he has acted inconsistently with the duty of a high constable. He is stated to have actually headed a party of constables, in arresting a man! A high constable, I repeat it, ought in no case to act as a constable. It compromises his dignity, and destroys the efficacy of that part of his duty which consists in keeping the constables in check, and preventing, listening to, and remedying, complaints against them. Hence no ought never to descend to be their companion.

I strongly doubt their right to appoint a high constable. See No 46. I have understood Mr. McCulloch to be a half-pay commissioned officer, if so, being a gentleman by profession, he may not make a bad high constable. But a non-commissioned officer could scarcely, in the nature of things, be fit for the station.

The further correspondence of CATON LE FEVRE is solicited.

Printed at ROUSSEAU'S PRESS, CHANPLAIN, Sixto, of NEW YORK.
By and for, S. H. WILCOCKE,
and published at his office No 4, St. Jean Baptiste Street, MONTREAL, C.