and with a population of six millions, and with her own peculiar problems, there can be interference?

At the time of the allusion in the House of Commons by Sir Wilfrid Laurier to treaty-making powers Rt. Hon. Sir Charles Dilke, M.P.., who is no mean authority, stated publicly that not only could the treaty-making power be conferred on the Dominion of Canada but that in his view it was absolutely necessary for the maintenance of the tie which bound Canada to the Mother Country. " It only requires the leadership of a strong man and the support of a powerful party to bring about at the present time the step advocated by SirWilfrid Laurier. Canada has never had, with possibly one exception, a leader so strong and so popular as the PrimeMinister, and, moreover, he has a prestige in England which no Canadian statesman has hitherto possessed. If Sir Wilfrid undertakes to advocate as part of his public policy the acquiring of the treaty-making power on lines which do not involve separation then he will have the support of every patriotic Canadian, and that term includes pretty nearly all of them.

As far back as 1882 the "Liberal party of Canada formulated a "policy which is expressed in the following resolution moved by the Hon. David Mills in the House of Commons:-

"That it is expedient to obtain the necessary powers to enable Her Majesty Queen, through her representative the Governor-General of Canada, upon the advice of his Ministers, to appoint an agent to ne-gotiate commercial treaties with other British possessions or with foreign states, subject to the prior consent or subsequent approval of the Parliament of Canada.

Canada wants this power that she may be the better abie to secure the markets abroad that are necessary to her prosperity. Although Sir John Macdonald took the ground that such a policy was inimical to continued connection with the mother country it was voted for by Mackenzie, Blake, Ross, Laurier, Mills and others. The same "cry that treaty-making powers must lead to separation, would be raised

now, but it would not prevent Canadia from supporting and supporting strong such a policy.

As explained by Sir Wilfrid Laurier a that all that Canada asks for now is not sovereignesning treaty-making powers, but the arrang side of ment of the preliminaries and the conducentrol of the treaty, subject to the approval of the King-in-council. The Montreel Cazette an article of Dec. 23 tages the ground the treaty-making powers which would be su ject to veto by the King-in-Council won be nothing different from what we have now. The Gazette is wrong here, but th point is that after quoting Sir Wilfri Laurier's attitude and his words as expres sed in an laterview published in Londo and cabled to Canada, the Gazette agree that it is unobjectionable. The Gazett takes the ground that the preliminaries the Alaskan boundary case were arrange by the Ottawa government So far from this being the case a treaty was drawn u ready to be signed without Canada know ing anything about it. The new British ambassador at Washington' was ambition to make a name for himself out of the question, and the British government was willing to allow him to force Canada hand. It is universally conceded that Ca nada's case was given away before the hearing began and this certainly would not have been the case if Canada had ar ranged the prelimina lesand conducted al the negotiations.

Take the case of Greenland for the pur chase of which the Laurier Administration is negotiating with the Danish government If Canada wants Greenland she can best conduct the negotiations for its acquisition consulting when n cessary the British gov e nment and submitting the scheme to them for approval. Denmark is a small kingdom containing a population about the same size as that of Ontario and with arevenue about half as great as the revenue of the Dominion of Canada. It might be possible therefore, by the offer of a sum of money to purchase Greenland, whose territory ought to be included in the Dominion of

reporte

Mr. W man and s and then s