"Mr. Borden's scheme of Canadian help for a united "navy ought to be no more inconsistent with Canadian "autonomy than was Sir Wilfrid Laurier's project of a "separate Canadian Navy."

(*Bristol Times, July* 17, 1912.)

"There should be no talk of an English or Aus- "tralian, or South African or Canadian Navy, there is "only place for a British Navy, just as every man bear- "ing His Majesty's Commission is a British soldier, no "matter what the exact colour of his coat or the facings "on his breast."

(*Financial News, July* 18, 1912.)

"While British sea supremacy was unchallengeable, "and was provided by the people of these islands alone, "it might endure. Now that we can only maintain our "position with the aid of the Dominions beyond the Seas, "the old relationships of guardianship and dependence "are an anachronism."

(*North Star, July* 18, 1912.)

"By herself the United Kingdom cannot hope to "cope for ever in men or resources with her greatest "rivals. But in co-operation with the younger nations "she will be able to guard the common heritage of all "against attack."

(*Morning Post, July* 18, 1912.)

"The next ten or twenty years, as Mr. Borden says, "may be decisive of the fate of the British Empire."

(*London Standard, July* 18, 1912.)

"Apparently from one end to the other of Canada "there is a strong desire to assume a share in the burden "of naval armaments, and a clear recognition that in so "doing she is at once serving her own interests and the "interests of the Empire."

(*Broad Arrow, July* 19, 1912.)