

# FEEDBACKFEEDBACKFEEDBACKFEEDBACKFEEDBACK

Continued from previous page

situation.

1) The Brunswickan, by its impartial nature (if it is to remain impartial) must present as many sides of an issue as it possibly can. To deny this would be to deny its impartiality. Are Christian students to be denied their freedom of the press?

2) The word "propaganda" implies that the favourable sides of issues serve only to suck people into the real "living hell" side, that the favourable sides are presented only to trap people, and that there are other sides to the issue which would prove its real sinister nature.

3) Sir, assuming that people (individual people with real feelings and emotions) are the basic unit of our society, then this society will reflect (generally speaking) what its individual components are. If this is the case, then the real issues of life lie with each and everyone of us. This is where we meet J.C.

If people trust their lives to the care of J.C. while they go about their daily affairs, they will be surprised to see how things work out. Masses of hungry people are no problem for him. Did he or did he not feed several thousand people with a small lunch? (If you feel this is inaccurate, check it out). The population explosion,

pollution, personal selfishness and greed, all these he would work out.

I am not saying that we should avoid the issues, but that we do what we can. However, how can you or I solve corporate problems? J.C. can, though, if we trust our lives to him. Coincidences cease to be coincidences when I look back in my own life and see how he has worked things out.

4) I am not trying to suck anybody into anything. The opportunity is there for people to try J.C. for themselves, and if they feel he has not met their needs, then to look elsewhere.

I feel that he has met my personal needs, and so I am telling others that the peace and joy and satisfaction that I have found is inextricably bound to the person of J.C. (who also claimed to be God).

Mr. St.-Marc (why don't you check out J.C. to know where Christians stand, then launch out on your attack.

Sincerely,

Russ Crosby.

Dear Sir:

Re: The article concerning the Harriet Irving Library hours, I would like to say that as a

student of UNB and a member of its Arts faculty the hours are not sufficient. I do not propose the 3:00 a.m. hours as a fellow scholar did last week but I do think some change could and should be made. Why is the library not open on Saturday evening to accommodate those who want to use it? Why not leave the top floors open until 12 p.m. instead of 11, the extra cost would be facilitated by the extra time the students received? Just to indicate to the student body exactly where the emphasis of the university lies, please note that the library closes at 11:00 (actually 10:40) while the SUB is in full swing until 2:00 a.m. Don't get me wrong, I do not wish to see the SUB hours changed but the library's. Dr. Gunn has looked into her crystal ball and seen that the extra expenses would not warrant the small number of students who would take advantage of the extra time. How does she know until it has been tried?

D.C. Arts 3

Dear Sir:

I read Mr. L. Jon St. Marc's letter to the editor in issue No. 8 of the Brunswickan (October 8, 1971), and I was frankly shocked that such a shallow, narrow attitude could be taken towards the proclaiming of the Gospel of Salvation. I can only shake my head and attribute this attitude to the perversions of this age in which we live.

Has Mr. St.-Marc lost sight of the message in his over zealous criticism of the messenger? Or is the message which Mr. Crosby is presenting all too clear? Cannot Mr. St.-Marc accept this message and examine it objectively?

I believe the answer is obvious. I quote from Mr. St.-Marc's article:

"Then you can see my purpose is twofold: to make absolutely clear what you represent. . . and secondly to present an alternative which, I assure you, Mr. Crosby, does exist."

This statement makes it all too clear that Mr. St.-Marc has already so "assuredly" rejected not only Mr. Crosby's sincerity, but also the applicability of the approach Mr. Crosby is advocating in dealing with life's entangling questions. Having his mind set on his glorious alternative (which I would kindly ask him to expound, so that we may see its saving power). Mr. St. Marc's puny cerebrum cannot conceive that "things which are made from things which do not appear." I am sure that Mr. St. Marc is aware that such St. Marc would not be presumptuous and say that he understands fully the processes by which, for instance, nuclear reactions take place. But I am also quite sure that Mr. St.-Marc is aware that such reactions have occurred and are occurring, and that atomic explosions

were and are living proof that such reactions occurred and will occur again.

Approximately 2000 years ago a Man (sent from God) came to his own people. His name was Jesus Christ. Because his teachings were unsavoury to the intellectual cultists of of the day, he bore the sins of the world on a Cross. He died—this is true—but He broke the chain of Death. He went to prepare a place for His Redeemed. Very shortly (the time IS at hand) He will return. I, Mr. St.-Marc, Mr. Crosby and every person that has ever lived WILL account for every idle word and every idle need. Every enemy SHALL be put under His foot. No man, not even Vladmie Illyich

shall escape this "opening of the books."

In conclusion, then, let me suggest that Mr. St.-Marc re-evaluate his "glorious alternative" in the Light of His Way. As any true scientist knows, the full test of his theory comes when he tries to use it to explain real phenomena. Similarly, Mr. St.-Marc and other so called Christians, should be sure to put His Way to the test before condemning it and those who expound it.

Edward C. Allen  
Science iv

"Schlage die Trommel, und furchte dich nicht!"

**If you  
don't do it  
it won't  
get done.**

**GIVE THE UNITED WAY**

**We've got bottoms  
to match our tops!**



**Red Hanger Room**

at the Royal Stores

75 YORK STREET 475-6122

## Mugwump

By EDISON STEWART Journal

Around about this time last week New Brunswick got another aged Lieutenant Governor - what his qualifications for the job were, I don't know, and why he was selected for the post is beyond me. Don't get me wrong, I'm not mad that an Acadian was selected for the job. Far be it from me to further the existing racial prejudice in this province.

What puzzles me is that a new Lieutenant Governor was selected at all.

Whoa! you say. That's not cricket.

Bull it isn't.

Lieutenant Governors don't serve any useful purpose, as far as I can see anyway, except perhaps shake hands and kiss babies. (Lord knows we've got enough civil servants already who pretend to do the same)

Some will say that he binds the province together, that people from all walks of life, whether they be black, white, pink, French, English or Polynesian can look to him for leadership and guidance on behalf of the Queen. If so, what is our ombudsmen for?

Now whether or not the Queen is necessary is another question which you've probably deduced! I have a ready answer for.

She too, like the Lieutenant Governor, uses up money without providing any service for it whatsoever. She is paid by the British parliament, however, so I'll let them worry about that.

My gripe is the continual appointment of rich old men to a post which, while using up provincial money, provides little or nothing to the people of the province.

Well, you say, why doesn't he want the abolition of the civil service as well?

Not a bad idea, he said, not a bad idea.