think it expedient to call for their opinion upon the point. This, however, is certain, that one very illustrious Judge,"who had himself been of counsel for the supposed libeller, Mr. Amherst, was fo far from being clear in this circumstance, that he declared to their Lordships upon the occasion, " should the like point be ever mooted " before him, he would, he was refolv'd, call " in all the other Judges of England, and have " it most solemnly argued, and take all their " opinions thereupon, as upon a thing of confe-" quence that ought to be fettled:" and, yet his memory is fo extensive, and his attention so great, to matters of political concern, that I make no doubt but his Lordship still retains all the precedents he formerly gathered, and very well knows of what import they are. What rendered this new parliamentary resolution still more necessary, was the misconstruction put by all the Judges of the Common Pleas (the only Court before which the same had ever come) upon the words of the former resolutions of parliament with regard to privilege. This Common Bench had confidered the cases where surety of the Peace could be required as cases always of actual breach of the Peace, which they did not conceive a Libel to be, whatever its tendency was, or effect might be, upon other people, that is, upon those who were not the authors or publishers. But, they are now fet right in this matter, with regard to Parliament-men at least, for no Member of either House (as I apprehend) is at prefent intitled to privilege from imprisonment of his person for refusing to furnish securties for his good behaviour, when charged with uttering a Upor Manfield

 $\operatorname{Lib}$ mo and upo arg Lo que vice con as t rela Pee ing. tion con righ füb of i by  $\mathbf{Crc}$ Att Inf on the prif ven bat mig

and of figure

But

by

we