of that committee, said to me that of course there had been cases of this sort, but none for something like half a century, I think he said, although I have forgotten exactly. I am afraid I took a slightly naughty pleasure in saying, "Oh no, I can't accept that statement, Senator Gouin, because the last case was one in which disallowance was recommended and carried out on these very grounds as a result of a report in very strong terms by your distinguished father."

That is one reason why the MacNeil case remains firmly entrenched in my memory. So there have been exercises of this in what are for me relatively recent years, though I suppose for some of the younger members of this chamber they are almost prehistoric.

I did not rise to say that I entirely agree with the whole case Senator van Roggen made out. I did rise to say that I think there is very great merit in having the subject

ventilated, and I think his case deserves very serious consideration by this chamber.

On motion of Senator Goldenberg, debate adjourned.

BUSINESS OF THE SENATE

Hon. Paul Martin: Before the Senate adjourns, may I remind honourable senators that the Standing Senate Committee on Banking, Trade and Commerce will be sitting now to consider the National Parks bill. Also, the Standing Senate Committee on Transport and Communications is already meeting to consider Bill S-9, to enable Canada to comply with a Convention on the International Recognition of Rights in Aircraft.

Hon. Mr. Grosart: The Standing Committee on Internal Economy, Budgets and Administration will also meet.

Hon. Mr. Martin: Senator Grosart reminds us that that committee will meet as soon as the Senate rises.

The Senate adjourned until tomorrow at 2 p.m.