

*Criminal Code*

Now, Mr. Speaker, we have repeated this often in the house, if we want to fight abortion effectively, if we want to raise the status of our families, as well as the condition of the father of family, we must, first of all, amend our laws, provide better housing, ensure an education for our young people and, finally, secure for them a good future.

When we look at the thousands of young Canadians who, in a month's time, will leave the schools and universities, we are wondering what the future holds in store for them. They have no future. Look at the number of talented young men, students, engineers who have graduated in Canada and who are going to the United States to organize their future. Why? Because we have no future to offer them in Canada. Moreover, we cannot house them.

Mr. Speaker, we are investing an average of \$55,000 to transplant a heart in a man who, even if he survives, will never be useful to our society. Why not invest \$200 when a baby is born as a reward, and in order to help the mother meet her needs at the time of birth.

The Minister of Manpower and Immigration is also spending hundreds of dollars at present to bring in immigrants, and to get them adjusted in Canada. Why not spend that amount to enable a native-born citizen to live and earn a living?

Parliament is here, Mr. Speaker. We are in this house to protect the weak. We are here to protect honest people against bandits and hooligans. We are here to protect workers against trouble-makers. We are here to protect foetuses against the egoism of adults.

Well, Mr. Speaker, in Canada there is no problem of over-crowding and when we sang throughout Canada in 1967 the Expo theme song which told about our country with its wide-open spaces, were we sincere, since Canada is empty? If our country is empty, why pass a bill on abortion to empty it even more, because the population will be thinned out, as it is in Japan at the present time. In Japan, an abortion costs \$2.75. In 50 years from now, as reported in an article published on April 20 in *Dimanche Matin*, the Japanese people will be exterminated. Why copy some legislation which has proved unsuccessful elsewhere in the world?

We have fought against that hateful bill which is not defended by moralists, sociologists or scientists. It is regrettable to note that the government, in its stubbornness, is unfortunately ready in the future to do away with more than 50 per cent of the population. Such

is the meaning of the legislation on abortion. I do not think that Canada is ready to indulge not in a luxury but in a hateful slaughter of part of our future generation. We need our population and our scientists as well as the brains of our future generations.

If, regretfully, we had to accept abortion because pregnancy is a cause of nervousness or neurosis, it would be a catastrophe.

There are reasons other than pregnancy why some people are neurotic in Canada. For instance, there are the taxes of the Liberal and other governments. To avoid cases of neurosis in Canada, before legalizing abortion we should abolish taxes, because this way we would be striking at the root of the evil.

The Prime Minister is a bachelor. As for me, I have seven children. We would be very unhappy if the Reaper took one of them from us.

We should also think about the childless couples who have the charitable intention of taking children in adoption. If, regretfully, we must allow abortion on request, even the couples who have no children of their own could not adopt any a few years from now.

There is only one reason for abortion laws, Mr. Speaker, a financial one. If, instead of being a financial burden for the parents, a newborn child brought joy to the family, and the country, we would not be debating in the house a bill as immoral and illogical as this one.

If the federal government offered \$200 at the birth of every new Canadian, and the government of the province where the child is born gave \$100, we would not have to talk about abortion anymore in the house.

Always for the sake of money we are getting ready to legalize the most dastardly form of crime there is. I am speaking about abortion, the worst crime Canada has ever known.

I have voted against this bill until now and I shall continue to do so.

I have a few more words to say. I shall also vote against homosexuality. In my view, homosexuality is a sickness that must be treated like any other. As for those whose homosexuality is a vice—there are two kinds of homosexuals, and, apparently, the Liberals have not consulted any medical works on the matter—whips are still being sold.

Mr. Speaker, it is unfortunate that we should want to give Canada such an immoral law, one that goes so much against the feelings of the majority of Canadians. We are