

The Canada Lancet

VOL. L. TORONTO, FEBRUARY, 1917 No. 6

EDITORIAL

OSTEOPATHY ONCE MORE.

One would have thought that we had said enough to have silenced this cult. With the boldness of Autolycus one or other of the ilk comes forward and wishes to be heard. The following letter is our excuse for again exposing this so-called system:

“Brandon, Canada, Jan. 15th, 1917.

“Editor of *The Canada Lancet*,

“Toronto, Canada.

“Dear Sir,—I am a regular subscriber to your journal, as I try to keep up on all sides of medical practice. Do you do as much?

“I was amused and interested in the address of Dr. Ferguson, published in your November issue. I noted his several denials, merely denials, no proof to support them, hence his view is without effect. Usually when a writer is down on a subject it is because he is not up on it.

“I am also interested in the January *Lancet*, just at hand. Your remarks editorially are noted. I quite agree with your views on chiropraxy; but, on osteopathy am mailing you, under separate covers, Nos. 4 and 6, Volume 31, of “Osteopathic Health,” for your enlightenment, *if you will honestly read the booklets*. Note the publisher’s notice, as to the competency of the author. Please honestly investigate osteopathy as here presented, and if you find therein any statement unscientific, so note it in your reply.

“Personally, I investigated the principles of osteopathic medicine several months, from every possible source, before I began the study of it. I was then connected with the University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho, as professor of chemistry and chief chemist of the experiment station. I went to the Philadelphia College of Osteopathy and Surgery, for my course; was on the faculty of the college eight years, the last five years as dean. I have been in practice fourteen years. I am licensed by the