But where do we stand to-day? Have the fogs all lifted and do we now see clearly? Unfortunately not. Investigators to-day are not numbered by tens but by hundreds, pursuing many diverse threads of thought, and giving to the world their conclusions, fully formed or immature, probable for fantastic, relevant or irrelevant.

The search for the causes of disease still continues as actively as ever, but disappointments are far more numerous than successes. Concerning sarcomata, Stimson, in this month's *Annals of Surgery*, says: "We are absolutely in the dark as to etiology, and no further advanced in prognosis and treatment than were our colleagues a quarter of a century ago."

Dr. Snow, Chief of the London Cancer Research Committee, has come to almost identical conclusions regarding carcinoma. As regards these two classes of diseases, we are, therefore, forced to be content, at present, with increased ability to diagnose them, and have to thank the surgeon largely for the

groundwork of this advance.

In 1882 Koch proved tuberculosis to be due to a specific bacillus, and in 1890 startled the world with the announcement of a cure. We all remember the reaction, the tremendous disappointment, felt not only by the laity, but even more keenly by ourselves, when slowly, unwillingly, we were forced to admit that our expectations were not realized. Early in 1903, Behring delivered a lecture before the Vienna Mcdical Society, detailing his experiments on animals with his own special serum, and speaking very hopefully as to the future. Perhaps he, who with Roux, discovered in diphtheritic antitoxin the greatest remedial agent of recent times, will unravel the puzzle.

More recently, Marmorek, of Paris, has staked his great reputation by giving to the world the results of his labors in a new serum, and we can only trust that time will prove that it possesses some definite value. Later still, that our professionally agnostic brethren may not starve for want of food, an Italian professor has enunciated that Koch's tubercle bacillus is not the cause of phthisis, but rather an uncouth octapoid micro-organism of his own finding. Well may the general practitioner raise

his hands in despair and wonder what he can believe.

But experience has shown that in tuberculosis, as in other things, prevention is better and surer than cure. Statistics are piling up year by year, adding proof where now none is needed, that, recognizing tuberculosis as an infectious disease and treating it accordingly, a definite gain can be recorded. Education of the public has already advanced so far that more positive steps should be enforced. Compulsory notification, as in other infectious diseases, proper disposal of infected excreta, disinfection of infected dwellings, etc., should be rigidly carried