deavour to come to a final determination in the matter without granting a new trial. This rule applies most strongly where the suggestion is that the verdict is against the weight of the evidence. When the proper tribunal has been called in by the parties, and they have done their best or worst before it, and have got the decision of that tribunal, that decision must not be set aside except on very weighty, almost imperative, grounds. The formula, which has often been stated here, applies-namely, that, if a verdict is under all the circumstances, one which twelve reasonable men might fairly find, the Court will not set it aside on the ground that it is against the weight of the evidence. Each case, of course, must depend upon its own particular circumstances, but it is enough for me to say that the Court will be very strict to follow the formula I have stated, and that where the question turns upon the credibility of the witnesses on either side it will be almost impossible to set aside the verdict of a jury, unless some fact is incontestably established, which makes it impossible that the verdict can be right or so improbable that the Court cannot accept it. If the party seeking for a new trial can carry his case the length of showing that some established fact is inconsistent with the case of the party who has obtained the verdict of the jury, and is consistent with that of the party seeking to set the verdict aside, there the Court may interfere with the verdict. I do not say that this is the only case—there are, no doubt, others; but unless some such case is made out, it will be very difficult to induce the Court to say that the verdict is so wrong that it must be set aside.

LINDLEY, L.J., and LOPES, L.J., concurred.

Appeal dismissed.

INSOLVENT NOTICES, ETC.

Quebec Official Gazette, Dec. 18.

Judicial Abandonments.

Edward R. Bellerose, trader, Sorel, December 8. Eugène Bourassa, hotel-keeper, Montreal, Dec. 8. François Miville Déchène, dry-goods, Quebec, Dec. 11. Henry Fairfield, Sweetsburg, Nov. 28. John Johnson & Co., hotel-keepers, Montreal, Dec. 6. Edmond Lajoie, trader, St. Hyacinthe, Dec. 5.

Jean Evangéliste Turgeon, trader, Sherbrooke,
Dec. 1.

Curators appointed.

Re Arpin & Frère.—C. Desmarteau. Montreal, curator, Dec. 3.

Re Edouard R. Bellerose, Sorel.—L. G. G. Béliveau, Montreal, curator, Dec. 9.

Re Eugène Bourassa.—C. Desmarteau, Montreal, curator, Dec. 10.

Re Henry Fairfield.-W. L. Smith, Pike River, curator, Dec. 5.

Re Z. Garneau, trader, Quebec.—H. A. Bedard, Quebec, curator, Dec. 5.

Re Gendron & Gauthier, Megantic.—M. B. McAulay, Scotstown, curator, Dec. 10.

Re N. H. Madden.—C. Desmarteau, Montreal, curator, Dec. 10.

Re Riopel & Hétu.—C. Desmarteau, Montreal, curator, Dec. 6.

Re F. B. Smith, Montreal.—Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint-curator, Dec. 10.

Dividende.

Re Amédée Bayard.—First and final dividend, payable Dec. 28, J. M. Marcotte and P. E. E. de Lorimier, curators.

Re A. G. Elliott.—Interim dividend, payable Jan. 5, 1891, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint-curator.

Re Fred. Moor & Co., Windsor Mills.—First and final dividend, payable Dec. 29, J. MeD. Hains, Montreal, curator.

Re Robert Neill, Sheffington.—Second and final dividend, payable Dec. 30, A. W. Stevenson, Montreal, curator.

Re Damase Pageot, trader, St. Sylvestre.—First dividend, payable Dec. 29, H. A. Bedard, Quebec, curator.

Re George Robitaille, Quebec.—First and final divident, payable Dec. 22, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint-curator.

Separation as to property.

Olivine Lessard vs. Stanislas Payette, trader, Montreal. Dec. 9.

Tharse Fournier dite Préfontaine vs. Magloire G. Pausé, trader, Montreal, Dec. 5.

Eléonore Sinclair vs. Daniel Angevine, clerk, Montreal, Nov. 4.

Separation from bed and board.

Angélina Dugrenier vs. Louis Bousquet, farmer, township of Ely, Nov. 21.

COLLECTION OF TAXES.—Sir James Mackintosh, who spent ten years in India, knew a rajah, a man of great acquirements and polished manners, who, when he was disappointed in the collection of his taxes of the sum he expected, ordered a pound of eyes to be brought him of those who had refused to pay the taxes.