## The Herald.

## DEVOTED TO PRONUNCIATION AND AMENDED SPELING.

Vol. II, 56. Токонто, Canada, Jan.-Mar., 1916. N. 106.

## SLURD PRONUNCIATION

Planks 9 and 11 in our Platform ar clear, even emfatic, that Orthografy shud reflect formal or liturgic, distinct from slovnly, speech. Ther has sprung up a clas of linguistic students hu treat coloquial speech as alone real, tabuing all other as artificial. The London Times, a mouthpiece of general conservatism, recently favord formal speech as being the language. It was in comment on Dr Robert Bridges becoming poet laureat in succession tu two Alfreds, Tennyson and Austin. Our language is, shame tu tel, best studid in Germany and America. Tu start tu remuve this stigma the English Society was formd with a Scotish branch (calld Scotish Dialects comittee, Wm Grant, M. A., Aberdeen, convener). The Society puts out an annual volume (Studies and Essays, Clarendon Pres). The first, for 1910, has Bridges' essay "On the Present State of English Pronunciation." Among other slurs Bridges givs:

"First, blurring and running tugether weak a. e. i, o, u [and y as in martyr] intu one indeterminat sound, er in d inger denja, in unstrest pronunciations of and, the, t., but, must, in a for intu, prinauns pronounce, tabi or not tabi, fram from, tato.

"Next, 'palatization,' change of t tu ch before u sounds, Nature is nevcher and can hardly be sived; don't you, dontshew; Tuesday, Choozdy; tune, chiune. And d in the same position is thretend, as in immediatly; aujins for audience.

"Other mispronunciations: om b.and, im fact, im vain, ar comon; r dropt before a consonant (sword and sawd, Lord land, arms aims, mourning morning, ar now identical), and even before vowels in a yunger generation (as faa away, faw ever ev<sup>4</sup>, pawing

EXPLANATION: OMIT useles letters; GHANGE (if sounded so) d tu t. ph or gh tu f; o tu u in to, do, who, prove, move, behove, shoe, canoe.

For fuller explanation and Platform see cover of Annual of New Speling (postpaid, 10 c.)

rain for *pouring*). Ad bogus pronunciations due tu unfonetic speling and habit of 'swalloing' words, ther is litl dout that speech of educated clases is undergoing serios changes."

Thus The Times, which proceeds:—

"These changes ar regrettabl. Shal they be alowd tu work their way unimpeded? Conservativs in language and they hu wish tu exercise a consios care over its beuty and integrity find themselvs oposed by a group of sientific linguists hu regard atempts tu preserv so-calld 'purity' of speech as pedantic or futil. History has taut them that the ideal of a fixt language is a vain and foolish dream; they hav studid past changes of pronunciation, the result of irresistibl forces, and believ we might as wel try to control the blood's circulation or planetary muvement. 'Beuty.' 'decay,' 'degradation,' 'vulgarism,' hav no meaning for them, for 'no difrence or superiority can be recognized between speech in a vilage alehouse and that of the Bench of Bishops."

Having reacht this "irreconcilable difrence" what ar we to do about it? If we take the course downward we shal go down, down, (plank 9) until, in words of the Chicago Dial, itself conservativ:—

"wil the language, by cliping and slurring, be reduced to a system of monosylabic grunts, help out by gesture and facial expresion?"

The Times says farther:—

"It is useles tu try tu defeat sience with the bludgeons and blunderbusses of ignorance and prejudice; our conservativs must arm themselvs with wepons more modern. Fortunatly, Bridges combines with the sensitivnes of a man of letters thoro acquaintance with new-noledge methods.... With him the question is aesthetic as well as sientific; that it is no fancy tu see beuty in human speech and wish tu preserv it. Belief that fonetic decay can not be chekt, consios reform imposibl, he thinks a doctrinair notion, for successful eforts hav ocurd before now."

## PLEA FOR ONE NEW LETTER

THE HERALD apears at 72 Howard Park av., Toronto, Canada. Subscribe and Distribute in letters, in scools, privatly in a hunderd ways