This becomes more generally apparent, in practice, in large sticks with considerable heart-wood cut from old trees in which the heart has begun to decay or been wind-shaken. Beams cut from such material frequently season-check along middle of beam and fail by longitudinal shearing.

10. Top logs are not as strong as butt-logs, provided the latter have sound timber.

11. The results of compression tests are more uniform and vary less for one species of timber than any other kind of test; hence, if only one kind of test can be made, it would seem that a compressive test will furnish the most reliable comparative results.

12. Long timber columns generally fail by lateral deflection or "buckling," when the length exceeds the least cross-sectional dimension of the stick by 20, in other words the column is longer than 20 diameters. In practice the unit stress for all columns over 15 diameters should be reduced in accordance with the various rules and formulæ established for long columns.

13. Uneven end-bearings and eccentric loading of columns produce more serious disturbances than usually assumed.

14. The tests of full-size long compound columns composed of several stick: bolted and fastened together at intervals, show essentially the same ultimate unit resistance for the compound column as each component stick would have, if considered as a column by itself.

15. More attention should be given in practice to the proper proportioning of bearing areas, in other words the compressive bearing resistance of timber with and across grain, especially the latter, owing to the tendency of an excessive crushing stress across grain to indent the timber, thereby destroying the fibre and increasing the liability to speedy decay, especially when exposed to the weather and the continual working produced by moving loads.

The aim of your committee has been to examine the conflicting test data at hand, attributing the proper degree of importance to the various results and recommendations, and then to establish a set of units that can be accepted as fair average values, as far as known today, for the ordinary quality of each species of timber, and corresponding to the usual conditions and sizes of timbers encountered in practice. The difficulties of executing such a task successfully cannot be overrated, owing to the meagreness and frequently the indefiniteness of the available test data, and especially the great range of physical properties in different sticks of the same general species, not only due to the locality where it is grown, but also to the condition of the timber as regards the percentage of moisture, degree of seasoning, physical characteristics, grain, texture, proportion of hard and soft fibres, presence of knots, etc., all of which affect the question of strength.

Your committee recommends, upon the basis of the test data at hand at the present time, the average units for the ultimate breaking stresses of the principal timbers used in bridge and trestle constructions shown in the accompanying table.

In addition to the units given in the table, attention should be called to the latest formulæ for lor.g timber columns, mentioned more particularly in the appendix to this report, which formulæ are based upon the results of the more recent full-size timber column tests, and hence should be considered more valuable than the older formulæ derived from a limited number of smallsize tests. These new formulæ are Professor Burr's, App. I.; Professo. Ely's, App. J.; Professor Stanwood's, App. K.; and A. L. Johnson's, App. V.: while C. Shaler Smith's formulæ will be better understood after examining the explanatory notes contained in App. L.

Attention should also be called to the necessity of examining the resistance of a beam to longitudinal shearing along the neutral axis, as beams under transverse loading frequently fail by longitudinal shearing in place of transverse rupture.

In addition to the Ultimate Breaking Unit Stress, the designer of a timber structure has to establish the Safe Allowable Unit Stress for the species of timber to be used. This will vary for each particular class of structures and individual conditions. The selection of the proper "Factor-of-Safety" is largely a question of personal judgment and experience, and offers the best opportunity for the display of analytical and practical ability on the part of the designer. It is difficult to give specific rules. The following are some of the controlling questions to be considered.

The class of structure, whether temporary or permanent, and the nature of the loading, whether dead or live. If live, then whether the application of the load is accompanied by severe dynamic shocks and pounding of the structure. Whether the assumed loading for calculations is the abenlute maximum rarely to be applied in practice, or a p sibility that may frequently take place. Prolonged heavy, steady loading, and also alternate tensile and compressive stresses in the same place will call for lower averages. Information as to whether the assumed breaking stresses are based on full-size or small-size tests, or only on interpolated values, averaged from tests of similar species of timber, is valuable in order to attribute the proper degree of importance to recommended average values, the class of timber to be used and its condition and quality. Finally, the particular kind of strain the stick is to be subjected to, and its position in the structure with regard to its importance and the possible damage that might be caused by its failure.

In order to present something definite on this subject, your committee presents the accompanying table showing the average safe allowable working unit stresses for the principal bridge and trestle timbers, prepared to meet the average conditions existing in railroad timber structures, the units being based upon the ultimate breaking unit stresses recommended by your committee, and the following factors of safety, viz.:

Cension, with and across grain	Ten. Five.
" across grain	Four.
" modulus of elasticity	Two.
Shearing, with and across grain	Four

In conclusion, your committee desires to emphasize the importance and great value to the railroad companies of the country of the experimental work on the strength of American timbers being conducted by the Forestry Division of the United States Department of Agriculture, and to suggest that the American Association of Railway Superintendents of Bridges and Buildings endorse this view by official action, and lend its aid in every way possible to encourage the vigorous continuance of this series of government tests, which bids fair to become the most reliable and useful work on the subject of strength of American timbers ever under-taken. With additional and reliable information on this subject, far reaching economies in the designing of timber structures can be introduced, resulting not only in a great pecuniary saving to the railroad companies, but also offering a partial check to the enormous consumption of timber, and the gradual diminution of our struc-tural timber supply. Walter G. Berg, chairman; J. H. Cummin, John Foreman, H. L. Fry.

1. 2017