They are. And with very good reason, for Italians get better treatment in many cases than Britishers.

Surely it is about time that the Canadian Immigration authorities faced the truth, and preached greater tolerance at home. Well might the Bishop of London, speaking recently at Aylmer, on Lake Erie, appeal to the Canadian farmers "to be good to the English immigrants that come out." Let the Canadian try to tone down his air of superiority, and recollect that, whatever we have done in the past, we do now send out some of our best material. Only the other day I was perusing correspondence passing on the new and most praiseworthy scheme of settling English public-school boys in the Dominion, and frankly the Canadian tone of calm assumption of inferiority on the part of these lads was mortifying; and, be it recollected, the writers were men holding high ministerial and educational posts. Here are two extracts:

"Past experience with young men who have come out to Canada 'to learn to farm' has not been the most encouraging, not because of any fault in the idea or in any principle involved, but because the men we have had in the past as farm students have in too many instances belonged to the 'molly-coddle' class. When 'Mamma's pet' comes out to Canada to learn to farm he is usually a source of more annoyance than satisfaction to the farmer and his wife on whom he inflicts himself.

"The headmasters must understand that they also on their side must exercise discretion in selecting the right materials, and must not send us out remittance-men and similar persons, but boys who mean to work and who have come out here for their

own good rather than for England's."

These writers, who give the general idea of the scheme cordial support, clearly could hardly avoid assuming the Canadian frame of mind on things and