

Q. And how did you fix it up? Was anything allowed on it?—A. Yes; there was an allowance of six days at \$25 extra.

Q. I do not understand that?—A. It is practically allowing a retainer at \$150.

Q. As a fact, you did not allow him the retainer of \$500?—A. No.

Q. What did you allow him instead?—A. \$150.

Q. And that was allowed instead of the retainer, and did not represent actual services performed?—A. Well, it is hard to say that.

Q. You cannot say whether it represents services or not?—A. It represents the services for which any lawyer would receive a retainer.

By Mr. Gibson:

Q. How do you arrive at six days' work at \$25 a day?—A. I suppose it was arrived at by his saying he was occupied that time preparing to state the case before the jury.

By Mr. Powell:

Q. I cannot understand the services; the retainer is merely securing future services. Now, how do you come to give \$25 a day?—A. What the Solicitor General says, of course, is perfectly true. Speaking generally, no regular agent of the department is ever allowed a retainer.

Q. But this is a special case, and you allow him six days' work?—A. Yes.

Q. Retainer for trial before the petty jury and attending before the grand jury?—A. It never got to the petty jury.

By Mr. Fitzpatrick:

Q. On the 3rd of June there is an attendance before the Chief Justice as to appearing before grand jury, for which there is a charge of \$20, is there not?—A. Yes.

Q. And there was a remuneration that day of \$16 altogether?—A. Ten dollars was taken off that, apparently.

Q. The whole amount charged was \$27, and you allowed \$16?—A. \$16, yes.

Q. On the 4th of June there is an item "preparing case in office respecting issue of subpoenas and service of them," for which there is a charge of \$50, and he is allowed \$25?—A. Yes.

Q. On the 5th of June there are some more interviews, for which he charges \$50 and was allowed \$25?—A. Yes.

By Mr. Powell:

Q. What is the item; what appears in the account?—A. No; on the 4th of June there are four items here, all allowed at \$25.

By Mr. Fitzpatrick:

Q. On the 5th of June, will you say what there was?—A. \$50.

Q. On the 5th of June, how much is there?—A. \$50.

Q. Allowed at \$25?—A. Allowed at \$25.

Q. On the 6th there is attendance at court, \$50, allowed at \$25?—A. \$50, yes.

Q. Allowed at \$25?—No answer.

Q. On the 6th of June is there a charge on that day for services, \$50, allowed at \$25?—A. Yes.

Q. On the 7th of June is there a charge for attendance at court on rendering of judgment, and an interview with the Crown Prosecutor, and so forth, charged at \$50 and allowed at \$25?—A. You are taking it for granted that these taxations are all as made in the margin?