

N. B. LEGISLATURE.

Continuation of the Debate on the Budget.

The Speeches of Messrs. Porter, Melanson and Copp.

FREDERICTON, March 26.—The house met at 3 o'clock. Mr. Appleby introduced a bill to renew the charter of the Woodstock and Centerville Railway Co.

Hon. Mr. Tweedie directed the attention of the house to the statement he had made last year, and reported on page 161 of the debates, that the government would oppose all railway bills unless it could be shown to the government that there was a bona fide intention and ability on the part of the company to construct the railway.

The attorney general said that he had seen the solicitor of the company recently in St. John, and was told by him that they had already expended \$30,000 on the line and had made arrangements for going on with the work at once if the charter was renewed.

The house went into committee on the bill to incorporate the Chipman Memorial Hospital, St. Stephen. Hon. Mr. Hill explained that the children of the late Zachariah Chipman had given to the town of St. Stephen their home-stead, which was one of the finest residences on the St. Croix River, to be used as a hospital.

On motion of Mr. Copp, the bill amending the act relating to the lighting and water system of Moncton was considered in committee and agreed to as amended.

The house again went into committee in consideration of a number of bills.

The bill relating to the construction and erection of buildings in Moncton was agreed to.

The bill authorizing St. Stephen to assess in aid of the Chipman Memorial Hospital was explained by Mr. Todd. He said it was committed by the town council to assess \$300, in aid of the hospital.

A bill amending the act incorporating St. Stephen was explained by Mr. Todd. Its object was to empower the town to impose a license on transient traders.

The bill authorizing the school trustees of Chatham to issue debentures was agreed to.

The bill authorizing Milltown to fix the valuation of the property of the Canadian Colored Cotton Mills Co. for assessment purposes was agreed to.

The bill providing increased fire protection for the village of Sussex was agreed to in committee. This bill authorizes the issue of an additional \$3,000 of debentures.

On motion of Mr. Allen, the bill to incorporate the Fraser Electric Company was recommitted, amended and agreed to.

On motion of Mr. Todd, the bill to incorporate J. & L. V. Knight, Limited, was omitted and agreed to as amended. This company is authorized to carry on a general lumbering business. Its capital stock is \$40,000.

View Memorial Park Board, in the city of St. John, was considered in committee and agreed to.

The bill authorizing the erection of an almshouse in the parish of St. George was considered in committee and agreed to.

On motion of Hon. Mr. Dunn, the house went into committee on the bill to authorize the issuing of debentures by the municipality of St. John for full extension. These debentures bear interest at three and one-half per cent, and the bill provides that they shall be non-assessable.

The attorney general asked why these debentures be free from taxation. To make these bonds non-assessable imposes additional burdens on persons owning real estate.

Hon. Mr. Dunn said the interest was only three and one-half per cent. The rate of taxation in St. John was one and one-half per cent, and if these bonds were taxed it would leave only two per cent. interest to the bondholders.

Hon. Mr. Hill said that he thought this provision was unobjectionable when it was confined to persons residing in the city or county where the bonds were issued.

The attorney general thought there should not be special legislation in regard to any particular bonds. They should uphold the credit of the province, the price of the bonds of which would be affected if the bonds of the municipalities were exempt from taxation.

The bill was agreed to, with the exception of the clause making the bonds non-assessable, and progress was reported.

The house in committee agreed to the bill to authorize the municipality of St. John to issue debentures to pay the cost of the isolation hospital, with the exception of the clause making the bonds non-assessable. Progress was reported.

On motion of Hon. Mr. Dunn, the bill settling the line between the city of St. John and the parish of Simonds at Drury's Cove was considered in committee and agreed to.

A bill relating to the city of Moncton was passed with amendments, as recommended by the committee on municipalities. This bill authorizes Moncton to issue debentures for \$75,000 to be applied in supplying additional water supply, in the construction of sewers, in aid of the establishment of an hospital at Moncton and in macadamizing the lower end of Main street.

The bill to abolish the county execution creditors was re-committed, and the committee, after passing most of the sections, reported progress.

Hon. Mr. Pugsley introduced the petition of the Royal Trust Co., praying that their bill may pass and become law. The house adjourned at 11.15.

FREDERICTON, March 27.—The house met at 3 o'clock. Mr. Appleby introduced the following bills: To amend the Highways Act; to place Woodstock on the same footing with regard to assessment as the parishes of Carleton Co.; to authorize the town of Woodstock to charge interest on overdue taxes; to authorize the town of Woodstock to assess for a public hospital, and to amend the act with reference to industries in Woodstock. These bills were read a second time on the ground of urgency.

Mr. Copp introduced a bill to incorporate the Westmorland Mining Co. and a bill relating to the Moncton hospital. The latter on the ground of urgency was read a second time.

The order of the day being called continued his speech. He said he felt that, notwithstanding the remarks of the leader of the opposition, the province was in a good financial position, and there was no need for us to allow ourselves to be overcast with gloom. The leader of the opposition seemed to think that our debt was very large and that we needed more revenue. We needed also better roads and more of them; better bridges and more of them; more schools and higher paid teachers. When we hear these demands made and are told that our revenue is not sufficient we feel that we are getting in a difficult position, but the leader of the opposition has not shown us any way to get more revenue. He should inaugurate some new policy that will bring in better returns. Unless this is done it is impossible to carry out his recommendations. The chief commissioner of public works is doing everything with the limited means at his disposal for our roads and bridges. In my county there are two fine steel structures which have been recently built, and our roads are well looked after. Knowing these facts, and that a great part of our revenue is expended on roads and bridges, he felt that we must have more money. He did not know of any better way of getting it than under the better terms policy proposed by the present government, and he did not know of any better man to do that work than the attorney general. The leader of the opposition seems to suggest that the lumbermen should be treated better, which goes to show that he does not propose to get any more money out of lumber. Our territorial revenue is very important, but it is not half what it should be in amount in consequence of former governments having improvidently given away so much of our best lumber lands. He thought also that what we had left should be looked after a little better. The twenty-five years' lease system no doubt operated well in some cases, but not where speculators came in and purchased the land for the purpose of locking it up. He had failed to receive any suggestions from the leader of the opposition with regard to how we could get more revenue from our land. So that it was necessary to look to the government for any improvement.

There should be some better means of controlling our lumber lands. The province demands every dollar of territorial revenue, and there should be some regulation to prevent outsiders coming in and buying merely for speculative purposes. The same remark is true with regard to our agricultural lands. Large tracts of good land are now locked up by speculators, who should be compelled to open them up. Nothing was more necessary than the proper care of our primary schools. He thought that there should be compulsory education, and that where people are taxed to support the schools the attendance of the children should be enforced. If it was found that we had not enough money for our schools a might be paid out of the grant to the colleges. He had, however, great faith in the resources of the province, and also in the people of the province. He thought he saw indications in the United States of a desire for reciprocity with us, and he hoped that our government would do all it could to promote that end. Our prosperity would be greatly increased if we had a nearer market for our agricultural products. In conclusion he said that he had a great deal of confidence in the province and its people, and he believed that its affairs were being well administered by the present government.

MR. MELANSON said the financial aspect had been so well taken up by the leader of the opposition and the member for Carleton, Mr. Fleming, that it was not necessary for him to weary the house with a criticism of our financial affairs, beyond saying that the debt is increasing while the public services are no better looked after than they were a few years ago, although the present administration is in receipt of about \$80,000 more taxes than the previous government did not have. The interest we are paying every year on the bonded debt is alarming, and if the business of a corporation or private individual were conducted in such a manner that a large amount be expended on the part of interest, the business would soon go to the wall. He felt that the roads and bridges are not being as well looked after as in former years, and that the by road money is not as well spent as it is used to be. Under Mr. Blair's administration the representatives of a county, whether supporters of the government or not, were consulted with reference to the road grant. Now this has been changed and a representative who is an opponent of the government is completely ignored. This is a great mistake. The member for Northumberland (Mr. Burchill) referred to the lumber industry the other evening, and seemed to talk as though he were bidding for votes. The Northumberland deal is a dead issue now and should not be a subject for discussion. He did not share the views held by the opposition leader, he could announce that no attempt would be made to cripple the lumber industry of the province by making the stumpage rates higher than they are now, should there be a change in the administration of affairs. It was the duty of all to encourage by the legitimate means the important industries of the country. Taking up the public printing, Mr. Melanson said that \$18,000 had been spent under this head in 1901, and he was pained to be informed that the only sum out of this amount paid to the French printers of the province was \$200, which is most unfair, because the French is speaking people number nearly one-quarter of the population of New Brunswick. It was the duty of the French representative in the government to see that his compatriots were better treated. Hon. Mr. Blair used to subsidize the Monteur Acadian to translate and publish the debates of the house. Now a paper printed in Nova Scotia does this work and he did not see how the French representative could object to this. He was a very large one. It is certainly most unfair that money which should be spent in the province is sent to Nova Scotia for work that can be no better done and which does not give as good satisfaction to the French Acadian people. This year the amount estimated for public printing is \$11,000. The French paper's share of this should be about \$4,000, and they could print the reports just as well as those who are now doing the work. He was pleased to note that the province would realize in dominion subsidies this year \$12,000 more than it did last, and this increase is due largely to the gain in the French population, which in 1891 was 61,797 out of a total of 498,000, and in 1901, 81,144 out of a total of 531,120, showing a French gain of 19,347, and a decrease in the English speaking element of 3,125. So it will be seen that the French people are not asking any more than they are entitled to, especially from a government which they have always loyally supported. In the different departments of the province, there are thirty-two officials, receiving annually an amount totalling \$56,966.29. While the present officials are no doubt capable gentlemen, he felt that an injustice had been done in not appointing French speaking citizens to fill some of the vacancies that have occurred from time to time. He much regretted this, and if the government is sustained at the next election, which he did not think would be the case, he hoped the present chief commissioner would try and remedy this state of affairs, because among his compatriots in all parts of the province there are some very able men, well qualified to fill any position in the civil service. The young man of French descent who graduated every year from St. Joseph's College, Memramcook, would be a credit to any country and to any institution.

MR. COPP said that the government had been so ably presented by the premier, attorney general and others, that he did not think it necessary at this late period of the debate to weary the house with a minute analysis of the budget, but he felt it his duty before the debate closed not to give a silent vote. He congratulated the provincial secretary on the frank, open and plain statement he had brought down and on the able manner in which he had submitted it, and he felt it was in order for him, along with the provincial secretary, to congratulate every citizen of New Brunswick on the great prosperity that prevails in all lines of industry. After hearing all of the criticisms and searching all the records he could find nothing that would justify supporters of the government in withdrawing their allegiance. To Mr. Fleming the financial critic, he could extend the same congratulations as he had tendered last year, because that gentleman had made the same speech and used the same argument as he did a year ago. His criticisms of the agricultural policy of the government have been ably met by the attorney general, and he would dwell but a moment on that subject. First, the member for Carleton expressed approval of the agricultural policy of the government but said they did not go far enough. Then in the next breath he charged them with spending too much on agriculture. But while it is easy to find fault, it is quite difficult to show where a saving could be effected and where the policy could be improved upon. If a province is on the verge of bankruptcy, as charged by the opposition, and the province is in such a financial condition, why do not the hon. gentlemen opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us how the administration of affairs could be improved upon? But being unable to find any flaw they can do nothing but criticize. The leader of the opposition and the financial critic, who are the persons opposite come forward with a remedy? Why do they not show us