

He refers at length to the action of our Grand Encampment in declining to accept the Great Priory of Canada as its peer on the representative question. "It is so far an unwise assumption of pretentious superiority that it is likely to prevent any alliance or closer intercourse between the two jurisdictions." As this is a question belonging to the Grand Encampment, it is not our province to discuss it, but we cannot avoid bringing into contrast the expression, "unwise assumption of pretentious superiority," with the idea further on expressed that the "object was to form the nucleus of a cosmopolitan chivalric fraternity, which it was hoped all English-speaking Templars would gladly join, acknowledging His Royal Highness, the Prince of Wales, as the *only* Grand Master." Our fingers itch to add something right here, but we forbear, as we have no doubt that every English-speaking Templar in the United States feels just as we do about acknowledging the authority of His Royal Highness as the *only* Grand Master.

The Great Prior endeavors to meet the point made by Grand Master Hopkins, that the Great Priory is subordinate to the Convent General, and consequently cannot be the peer of the Grand Encampment, which is a supreme body.

We refrain from comment for the like reasons given by our confrere, and because the Great Prior's address contained in our proceedings for this year fully covers our ground.

It is added that:

A scholarly and dignified report on correspondence was submitted by † George H. F. Dartnell, Provincial Prior. Owing to the space we have already occupied in giving lengthy extracts from the address of the Great Prior, we must pass this able report with a single extract from the conclusion.

Speaking of intemperance our reviewer sensibly remarks:

The evil aimed at can never be remedied by legislation, but by the development and elevation of the moral and religious natures of our members.

These are the writer's views as to the Grand Encampment: