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 , having aske e to the Dioce ommend him of the membe ell in Christ oniocese, this -
ngland, and me, greeting: d standing, hes space of end the said
to receive hi f which he mas
this delay in rep eceived on
the Provini plication of authority of avoid any or p's letter to se of Montre ry informatio th the draft 0 ar. Some sul nod, and bei tersigned by nod, will be, nion. To p
ont captions objections (most improbable) your Lordship's guature might be subscribed to the resolution opposite to the ynod seal.
Mr. Bethune's note to me will explain the only point on which made any observation, and I confined myself to the statement at the official return of the resolution of the Diocese of Nova otia made by its proper officer was conclusive as a matter of idence upon the Provincial Synod, although there were ver1 statements of the highest authority asserting that the officer ad incorrectly drawn it up in a form which did not express the tention of the Synod of Nova Scotia.
If such a resolution be passed, I beg leave to call your Lordip's attention to the Statute of Canada, passed on the 16th ngust, 1858, and chaptered 139, which explains and amends e former Act, as to the representation in Synod by the Laity.

I have the honor to be
Your Lordship's humble servant,
Wa. H. Draper.
flt Reverend the Lord Bishop of Fredericton,

$$
\text { Montreal, April 16th, } 1873 .
$$

My Dear Sir,-I have been prevented, from various causes, swering your note of the 1st inst. before now.
The difficulty with regard to Nova Scotia was, that instead adopting our Synod Act, they adopted the Act of the Domion which enabled them to do so; at least the documentary idence laid before us so declared and established. The Bishop
d his delegates then endeavoured to show, by verbal proof d by certain printed reports of the proceedings of the N. S. nod, that what they really intended to do was to accept our nod Act. The majority of the Provincial Synod, however, Id that the only legal evidence we could accept was the docuentary evidence submitted, which was under the seal of the rporation, and that that evidence could not be legally condicted by the other mode of proof tendered by the Bishop d his delegates.
As requested by you, I now submit for your consideration a solution which I have prepared in what I conceive to be the uguage necessary to cover the requirements of the New unswick enabling Act. If it meet with your approval you ght forward it to the Bishop, cautioning him as to the kind proof he and his delegates should come armed with, when ey eventually present themselves for admission to our Pro-
icial Synod.

> Believe me, faithfully yours,

Strachan Bethune.
P. S.-I return enclosed the Bishop's Letter.

Hon. Chief Justice Draper, C. B., Toronto, Ont.
Resolved, That whereas, by an Act of the Legislature of the minion of Canada, made and passed in the thirty-fourth year Her Majesty's Reign, chaptered 58, intituled "An Act to exd to the Province of New Brunswick, the operation of the t of the Legislature of the late Province of Canada, concern-

