

partment \$281.60. The minister says he made a mistake and cannot give the details of where he made the mistake, he cannot give any details. In one year he makes a categorical denial that he owed a cent to the department, he says that it is a true and honest account and afterwards he gives this money back to the department. What does the minister call that? Is that embezzlement, to take your employer's money and in a brazen-faced way say that you have not his money and next year bring it back? What is that if it is not embezzlement, or theft, or obtaining money under false pretenses, or whatever you choose to call it? The minister has brought in the clerk's name; it would not have been brought in except by him.

Mr. PUGSLEY. Then why do you make the charge?

Mr. BENNETT. Because the clerk himself admitted the charge. I wanted to spare the clerk's name and did not mention it and told the minister I would give it to him privately.

Mr. PUGSLEY. You think it is better to make the general charge?

Mr. BENNETT. However, after the minister has been here a while and has gone through the crowd he will have to meet with—

Mr. PUGSLEY. You think I will get accustomed to you, do you?

Mr. BENNETT. Well, that may be.

Arts, agriculture and statistics—Archives, notwithstanding anything in the Civil Service Act, \$50,000.

Mr. BERGERON. Are the Archives in the new building?

Mr. FISHER. Yes, I am very glad to say that the archives are in the new building near Sussex street, a building of which I am very proud, and which I would ask every member of the House to visit. I will not detain the committee by going into details of this work, but only ask every member to visit the archives, inspect the building and its contents, and become familiar with the work carried on there.

Mr. ARMSTRONG. What was the total cost of the building and grounds?

Mr. FISHER. That is rather a matter for the Public Works Department. The ground belonged to the government, and my recollection is that the building cost about \$75,000.

Mr. BERGERON. I am glad of this opportunity to express my opinion that the man in charge of this branch of the public service, Dr. Doughty, is probably the best man for the position that could be found in Canada. He is a man well fitted for his duties and entirely devoted to them.

Mr. BENNETT.

Mr. FISHER. I am glad to hear the hon. member (Mr. Bergeron) speak as he does. I believe that Dr. Doughty is the right man in the right place. He is especially qualified for his work, and enthusiastic in the performance of it. I am glad I was able to secure his services, as I believe they are of exceptional value to the country.

Mr. BERGERON. What is his salary?

Mr. FISHER. It is \$3,000.

Mr. BERGERON. Is that the maximum?

Mr. FISHER. It is a special sum settled by agreement between Dr. Doughty and myself, when he was engaged about a year and a half ago. The salary is paid out of this lump vote.

Mr. BERGERON. I would not like my hon. friend (Mr. Fisher) to think that I want the salaries increased, but I am sure there is no case in which an increase might more properly be made than in the case of Dr. Doughty.

Mr. FISHER. What Dr. Doughty wants more than an increase in salary is money to carry on his work. And, if more money is wanted for this purpose, I will ask the House to grant it, as I am sure it will be well spent.

Mr. R. L. BORDEN. Dr. Doughty is a great acquisition to the service of which he is the head. He is an enthusiast in his work, and I believe, as the minister has said, that he thinks more of the progress of that work than about any personal ambition in the way of an increase of salary or otherwise.

'Patent Record,' \$17,000.

Mr. WM. WRIGHT. How many copies of the 'Patent Record' are printed?

Mr. FISHER. Twelve hundred.

Mr. R. L. BORDEN. Does this cover only the printing and paper?

Mr. FISHER. Yes.

Mr. R. L. BORDEN. It seems a good deal for twelve hundred copies.

Mr. FISHER. It is published monthly, and it includes cuts of all the articles patented. That is what costs the money.

Mr. R. L. BORDEN. Is it the same amount as last year?

Mr. FISHER. Yes.

Mr. U. WILSON. Why should not the person applying for the patent furnish cuts?

Mr. FISHER. He furnishes a drawing, but we have to get the cut made.

Mr. U. WILSON. Why should not the patentee pay that?

Mr. FISHER. This is no part of his application. This record is published for the information of the public.