sexual orientation when it had no relationship to or did not interfere with their ability to do a job.

• (1730)

Why is it that our politicians always seem to be light years behind what the public believes and thinks? The public is not talking about special status for homosexuals. People in Canada are saying, if you can do a job you should have the right to do the job regardless of sexual orientation. Our former Prime Minister once said that the state has no place in the bedrooms of the nation. I think the current Government through the former Minister of Justice basically reinforced that very notion. This Private Member's Motion is simply to clarify the law as the majority of Canadians would support it. I urge all Members to consider it. We are not talking about special status, we are talking about equality for every Canadian across the board. I think it is a supportable Liberal principle.

Mr. Ron Stewart (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Supply and Services): Madam Speaker, I rise very unhappy and very disturbed today mostly because the equality report stemmed from the Progressive Conservative Party. I am very deeply hurt by that fact and hope that Bill C-212 will never see the light of day in this House of Commons.

I cannot be genteel when discussing particularly the amendment in subparagraph (1) in which the Hon. Member for Burnaby (Mr. Robinson) tries to purport that there would be no special rights for gays. What a joke, Madam Speaker. That is exactly what gays want. Already the paragraph covers sex. This is not an amendment on sexual orientation. This is an amendment on sexual deviation. Homosexual is anti-biological. It is anti-medical, anti-biblical, and I quote: "Go ye forth and multiply". It is anti-family and it is anti-social. It is pro-deviate and it is absolutely disgusting to most Canadians.

The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Champagne): Order, please. I would like the Hon. Member and all Hon. Members to be careful and realize that we are not debating Bill C-212 today. We are debating Motion No. 11. I know the subjects are quite similar but I think we should be careful in our debate so that we do remain closer to what Motion No. 11 is about.

Mr. Stewart: Madam Speaker, I just referred to subparagraph (1). I am putting my case forward that it does have special rights. Is the Hon. Member for Burnaby actually talking of his own sexual ideas or does he espouse the complete doctrine of the New Democratic Party, or both? It would be interesting to know.

Sexual orientation, which this is all about, or in my view sexual deviation, is learned. It is a learned response. The toleration of the so-called gay lifestyle by some people in Canada is being advanced in our public schools, through movies and TV. Is that really what we want? Do we really want an amendment such as this to give special rights to that form of lifestyle?

I know first hand only too cruelly of a case in my own riding of a school teacher who took his sexual deviation, his sexual orientation, and foisted it upon many members of his class. In my view, our children must be protected.

The New Democratic Party is calling for the elimination of smoking but it champions homosexual acts by this very amendment. You cannot get around that. It makes about as much sense as taking us out of NATO.

As I mentioned, homosexualism is anti-biological. It is committing biological suicide. It is anti-medical, it is hygienic insanity. It is a crime against humanity whether medical or moral. All of us know what is happening with AIDS, that dread disease, for which there is no cure. How is it spread? AIDS is overwhelmingly a homosexual disease in every western country in which AIDS has been reported. Gays are the first persons to be stricken. In time others become infected. AIDS is thought to have started in the U.S., and U.S. homosexuals account for 73 per cent of all AIDS victims. I could go on and on with the statistics of what we are opening up with this bombshell of so-called human rights. It is human suicide.

Disease is disproportionately experienced by homosexuals. They are 14 times more apt to have had syphilis, three times more apt to have had gonorrhea and eight times more apt to have had hepatitis. Think of all the needles of the drug users and what is happening with this scourge of AIDS. Homosexuals are five times more apt to have had scabies. With lesbians they are 19 times more apt to have had syphilis and 29 times more apt to have had an oral infection. Are these the rights that we as Canadians want? Does this go by our religious teachings?

There is a group called ISIS operated by members of the medical, business, theological and legal professions. It is chaired by Paul Cameron, Ph.D. I wish I had the time to read this paper in full because it is very enlightening. It is something that every person in the world should read. It goes on about the abnormality of homosexualism and lesbianism. If we are to have human rights, we must have human protection. Man, if he were a rational animal, would not need laws and I would not need to be standing on my feet today. Unfortunately, we are not. Homosexualism is a sickness that should not be in our society. Let me read a bit of the ISIS position paper until my time runs out:

> Viable societies are organized to sustain themselves by producing and educating succeeding generations. Each child is expected to repay society for all he has inherited by creating and nurturing a new generation.

Let me emphasize "a new generation". How does that happen in the homosexual community?

> Western society, workers generally receive sufficient income to both sustain themselves and raise a family. Parents partially satisfy their debt by teaching their children that soon it will be their turn to repay.
>
> Homosexuals renounce their social obligation and slavishly devote themselves to self-aggrandizement. They rob society to pleasure themselves. Money remaining after their basic requirements are met is regarded as additional opportunity for personal pleasure rather than a loan to be put into the service of