

Order Paper Questions

Bombardier Ltd
Quebec Region
Offers Made and Accepted
From 1969 to October 31, 1980

Location	Date Accepted	Product	Type of Project	Eligible Costs	Amount of Incentives	Direct Jobs
*La Pocatière	16/09/74	Transportation equipment	Expansion for a new product	\$ 4,404,359	*\$ 1,385,698	690
Valcourt	23/06/80	Snowmobiles and motorcycles	Expansion	\$ 9,821,000	\$ 1,964,200	320
La Pocatière	27/06/80	Transportation equipment	Expansion	\$11,600,000	\$ 2,320,000	580
Montréal	27/06/80	Locomotives and diesel motors	Expansion and modernization	\$16,192,000	\$ 3,238,400	159
Total:	4			\$42,017,359	\$ 8,908,298	1,749

* This project having been completed, the amount represents total payments by DREE. This amount is higher by \$277,139 than the original offer made to the company.

DND—PYROTECHNICAL EQUIPMENT CONTRACT

Question No. 1,670—Mr. McKinnon:

Did the Department of National Defence order, from Canadian Arsenals Ltd., \$7,064,016 worth of pyrotechnical equipment under contract number MA40.3689205 and, if so (a) what exactly is included under the general term "pyrotechnics" (b) for what purpose?

Mrs. Ursula Appolloni (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of National Defence): Yes. In response to the Department of National Defence contract demand 3689205, Supply and Services Canada entered into a contract with Canadian Arsenals Limited for the supply and delivery of pyrotechnical equipment to the Department of National Defence.

(a) 120,000 handfired parachute flares. This contract also includes the purchase from the Swiss manufacturer of the manufacturing rights and special production tooling by Canadian Arsenals on behalf of the government.

(b) This item is used by the land forces as illuminating device.

DREE EXPENDITURES

Question No. 1,728—Mr. Stewart:

1. Since its inception, by year and by province, what is the total disbursement for the DREE program?

2. For each year what were the percentages of total grants received by each province?

3. What percentage of the program is in administrative over-head costs?

4. Is the annual cost of administration (a) increasing (b) decreasing?

Hon. Pierre De Bané (Minister of Regional Economic Expansion): The reply for the Department of Regional Economic Expansion is as follows:

1. See list below.

2. See list below.

3. 1979-80: 8 per cent (1979-80 is the first year administrative costs were analysed on this basis).

4. Comparisons are not yet available. See reply to part 3 above.

Regional Development Incentives Program
Disbursements of Authorized Development Incentives

\$Millions

	Nfld.	P.E.I.	N.S.	N.B.	Que.	Ont.	Man.	Sask.	Alta.	B.C.	Yukon	Total
1970/71	—	.1	.5	5.5	4.0	.6	1.0	.2	.8	.2		12.9
1971/72	.4	.8	3.1	4.6	16.8	2.4	3.1	2.7	1.1	1.3		36.3
1972/73	3.1	.2	4.4	4.6	23.0	9.6	12.2	.8	2.0	.8		60.7
1973/74	.8	1.3	8.7	6.3	36.5	5.9	2.9	1.3	11.8	.3		75.8
1974/75	1.6	.3	9.0	5.8	36.2	7.0	5.6	1.7	1.9	.8		69.9
1975/76	3.2	.8	9.6	7.0	33.0	13.6	5.3	4.7	5.7	.9		83.8
1976/77	1.4	2.0	9.4	11.3	33.3	7.8	9.5	2.2	4.7	.1		81.7
1977/78	1.2	3.1	7.0	5.8	28.9	6.8	6.1	3.4	.7	.1		63.1
1978/79	4.0	1.3	4.9	3.3	33.2	6.7	6.4	3.3	1.6	2.1	.1	66.9
1979/80	5.5	2.1	10.9	11.8	53.4	8.5	11.1	2.9	.8	1.6		108.6
1980/81 (to 30-09-80)	1.3	.5	2.7	2.8	18.9	1.8	2.6	.7	.2	.7		32.2
Total	22.5	12.5	70.2	68.8	317.2	70.7	65.8	23.9	31.3	8.9	.1	691.9

Percentages for above

1970/71	—	1	4	43	31	5	8	1	6	1		100
1971/72	1	2	9	13	46	7	8	7	3	4		100
1972/73	5	1	7	8	38	16	20	1	3	1		100
1973/74	1	2	11	8	48	8	4	2	16	—		100