Broadcasting, Films and Assistance to the Arts November 14, 1967

Mr. Macaluso: It may be standard, but at the same time you are dealing here with a different type of organization.

from provisions of the National Energy Board.

Mr. Macaluso: Perhaps we should make a closer examination of clause 26 subclause (4). Is the Department of Justice going to appear before this Committee, Mr. Chairman?

The Chairman: Mr. Gibson is here today, I believe: but whether or not you should get into the detail of that clause now is another question. Perhaps it should be left until we reach it.

Mr. Macaluso: I will leave it until later. That is the answer that I might have expected.

The Chairman: Either I have forgotten a lot of law, or the Department of Justice has to do some explaining to me, too.

Mr. Macaluso: Mr. Chairman, my point is that here we are dealing with a totally different group of people and that perhaps it might be more equitable to allow certain appeals and legal procedures. However, I accede to your wishes, and I will deal with it when we reach it.

Miss LaMarsh: I thought private broadcasters would be flattered to think that they are making so much money that they could. with ease, pay a fine of \$100,000. I think it was a one-time Canadian who said that it was a licence to print money.

Mr. Macaluso: Well, an amendment to clause 26 subclause (4) and removal of those limitations might at least allow lawyers to have greater access to them.

The Chairman: Do you have any further questions, Mr. Macaluso?

Mr. Macaluso: I have no more questions. I will deal with that matter later.

The Chairman: Mr. Stafford, you are next.

• (4:50 p.m.)

Mr. Jamieson: Mr. Chairman, I wonder if Mr. Stafford would permit a question for clarification? Miss LaMarsh made reference to the minimum fine. I think, in fairness, it should be said that in all cases the BBG has informed the magistrates that they were inadvertent and did not press, and I think

this was just a matter of routine to record the conviction.

Miss LaMarsh: I do not think there should Miss LaMarsh: He got lazy; he copied it even have been prosecutions if that were the case.

> Mr. Macaluso: Dealing with the ownership of Canadian facilities, how do you intendand I am going to the Bill-to control the multiple ownerships of the past, the present existing multiple ownerships and foreign ownerships?

> Miss LaMarsh: I am sorry but I did not hear the question.

> Mr. Macaluso: The report of the Committee and the White Paper deals with ownership of Canadian facilities and multiple ownership. How do you intend to enforce through this Bill the matter in respect of foreign ownership of Canadian facilities—I am especially thinking of the CATV situation-and the multiple ownerships that now exist.

> Miss LaMarsh: Through the instructions that the government gives the BBG.

> Mr. Macaluso: Then these will come out in the regulations right after this Bill is passed? I am told there was a clause but I have not been able to find it.

> Miss LaMarsh: We are looking it up. There is something about two years but I cannot remember what it is at the moment.

> Mr. Macaluso: Perhaps we can come back to it.

> The Chairman: Mr. Stafford, would you like to go on while Mr. Steele is checking?

> Mr. Stafford: Are you going through any definite sections?

> The Chairman: We are still questioning the Minister on her opening statement.

> Mr. Stafford: I just wanted to ask questions on a couple of things, one of which is management under section 36, which reads as follows:

36. (1) The President...has supervision over and direction of the work and the staff of the Corporation...

Does this mean that the function of management is to manage and that management must control internal administration?

Miss LaMarsh: That is the general idea.

26932-31