

prejudice, and I am certain it is a misfortune that those who, like myself, are very largely engaged in work strictly limited to a department, can never communicate as successfully the results of their experience as can those who are engaged in teaching. I regret, therefore, that I must pass over without mention the important field of new work which has been opened up within the last few years in the surgical treatment of the liver, spleen, kidney, and intestines. I cannot even stop to speak of many other less striking, but no less important subjects, such as the treatment of pelvic abscesses by abdominal section and drainage, though all these are of less importance, in so far that they excite but little hostility; and what I have to say further to you I propose to limit to a brief discussion of a proposal made by Dr. Battey for the production, artificially, of the menopause for the purpose of indirectly benefiting patients from conditions more or less neurotic, the symptoms of which are apparently influenced by the recurrence of menstruation. It must be perfectly clear to the most casual observer that this is a field of an extremely ill-defined character—one which, at first sight, offers very intangible prospects of success, and in which the indications even of success must be very vague and indefinite. There can be no doubt that a large number of women suffer in such a way as to make it perfectly clear that if they were relieved from recurrent menstruation they would be improved materially, but there can be as little doubt that the application of this idea—in itself a brilliant one—requires the utmost care. I have no sympathy with stupid obstructionists who, because they scent danger in the air, would absolutely prohibit its application; but I have sufficient regard for the expression of every kind of professional opinion to recognize the necessity for the full exercise of caution. When the proposal was first made, I recognized this so fully that I selected for whatever experiments I should make in this direction a disease concerning the reality of which there could be no doubt whatever: I mean epilepsy. It is a perfectly easy thing to recognize by two facts alone any case of genuine epilepsy from mere hysterical imitation. It was, I think, Dr. John Hughes Bennett who clearly established the facts that none but the true epileptics ever seriously hurt themselves during the attacks, and that after the fits are over the epilep-

tic is always somnolent. It is certainly the case that in a large number of cases of epilepsy in women the incidence of the disease is concurrent with menstruation. It is also true that every epileptic woman, certainly whose case I have investigated, is worse during the menstrual week than at any other time. In some cases the epilepsy is absolutely limited to those days of the month during which the menstrual flow is in existence. It was, therefore, a perfectly easy thing to select a number of cases in which the experiment of Battey's operation seemed capable of justification. For the purpose of trying the experiment I selected six cases, and to these I have absolutely limited its application, though from the number of cases who have been sent to me for the specific purpose of having the operation performed, I suppose I might have been able by this time to have placed several series of attempts on record. The reason of my careful restriction has been that I did not care to prejudice the results of my other work by complicating it with what seemed to me a doubtful kind of proceeding, but all my care has been to some extent fruitless, for I have been persistently charged by a certain class of writers with having performed a large number of useless and unnecessary operations in removing normal ovaries from women suffering from nervous disorders. Indeed, so late as July 5th last, Sir Spencer Wells wrote the following sentences which, though they may have been intended for some one else, I cannot but suspect were levelled at me. They are as follows: "Just now something more than a word of caution against rash, dangerous and unnecessary operations is called for. We are startled by the reports of the removal of normal ovaries of young women suffering from nervous disorders, which may be exaggerated or imaginary; and it is to be feared that our professional honour is at stake, and that abdominal surgery in its latest developments is open to the denunciation hurled against the earlier ovariologists, and that with more reason than in 1850. Lawrence's question must be repeated, whether such operations can be encouraged and continued without danger to the character of the profession, and West's assertion that the fundamental principle of medical morality is outraged, cannot now be satisfactorily refuted."

Though I am fairly familiar with the literature of abdominal surgery during the last ten