and they are in keeping with observations of most clinicians, who have studied such cases extensively. These facts would indicate the importance of careful treatment at the very beginning of gastric ulcer in order to secure complete healing before any of the secondary conditions have arisen, and also the necessity of eliminating all of the primary causes of the lesion in every individual case after healing has taken place, in order to prevent a possible recurrence.

This is especially important, because each successive attack is more difficult to relieve permanently. The chances for permanent relief are more and more reduced, because each time some lesion will remain, which must lessen the resistance of the tissues, or increase, at least, to a slight extent, the difficulty of emptying the stomach.

It is likely, that with proper after-treatment, especially as regards diet and general hygiene, it would be possible to reduce the number of cases of recurrence to a great extent. This would reduce the number of cases, which now properly fall into the domain of the surgeon.

Fuetterer has written most effectively upon this phase of the subject, and I am confident it is worthy of our most serious attention. This is true, primarily, because it would permanently eliminate all of the many serious sequelæ, which are now so common.

All of this would indicate that surgery of the stomach begins where internal and dietetic treatment of disease of this organ fails to give permanent relief. It also indicates that surgery, in order to be of value, must result in local rest and in the drainage of irritating contents of the stomach, in all non-malignant cases, and in the early removal of the growth in malignant cases. It seems reasonable to suppose that the most careful attention to diagnosis of non-malignant cases, and the surgical treatment of that portion of those which cannot be relieved permanently by internal treatment, must result in a vast reduction of the number of malignant cases.

At the present time some form of gastro-enterostomy seems to have given the most satisfactory results. Robson pointed out the fact, most emphatically, that the anastomosis must be located actually, and not only theoretically, at the lowest point in the stomach, in order to be safe and effective, and leave the patient free from regurgitant vomiting "Vicious circle."

Theoretically, there seem to be many arguments in favor of a posterior gastro-enterostomy, but practically the results