

OTTAWA LETTER.

OTTAWA, May 28.—The government in the closing days of the Grand Trunk Pacific debate appeared to greater advantage, it is possible, than in the earlier stages of the discussion. Hon. Mr. Fielding's argument was a weak one for him, while Hon. Mr. Emmerson's explanation of his long silence was no explanation at all. What he said did not deceive the house for a moment, and his remarks drew but faint applause from the occupants of the government back benches, who have acquired the habit of banging their desks whenever a minister makes a stentorian utterance and of yelling "No" in thunder tones against every opposition amendment, irrespective of its pertinence and value to the country. The finance minister, like Hon. John Haggart, talks to the party behind him and does not always face the Speaker's chair. It rather pleases the rank and file to be personally addressed, and Fielding knows how to do it. The thing may be a trick of oratory, but it has its effect on the galleries, where very little of what is said on the floor of the house can be heard, as the occupants carry away the impression that volumes of applause only follow the making of good points.

It is freely admitted by supporters of the government, particularly from Ontario, that the opposition's platform of state ownership of railways is a strong one. If the country is to pay 50 per cent. of the cost of the construction and maintenance of the proposed transcontinental line, why not put up the other ten per cent. and run the road, instead of handing it over to a private corporation? So strong an impression has this proposition made, that the air is full of rumors of another session of the house before dissolution, but these rumors may have been purposely set afloat to lull the opposition into apathy and to then spring the campaign at short notice. But the conservatives will not be caught napping. Japan in time of peace prepared for war, so far with a very creditable measure of success.

There was an incident on May 26 that deserves wide publicity, as showing the Ministers trifle with the house and bamboozle the country. It was precipitated by the leader of the opposition, who showed up at the house on five occasions during the present session. He has been asked the government for copies of all correspondence and documents relating to the Grand Trunk Pacific. He said that as late as April 20 Sir Wilfrid Laurier had replied to his (Borden's) question everything has been brought up. This answer was in line with previous assurances this session and last session, yet that very day (May 26) the minister of finance produced a document in which he stated that the Grand Trunk had demanded a land grant as well as other aid from the government. One might have thought that Mr. Fielding had announced that the Grand Trunk had demanded a land grant as well as other aid from the government. Mr. Fielding had not the wit to refer to the document at the earlier stage, but waited till the closing hours of the debate "by permission of the Grand Trunk." Had it not been that the government thought it would be to their interest to produce the document after assuring the house that no such document existed, parliament would have gone on believing that Sir Wilfrid had been deceived, and that the Grand Trunk had not applied for a land grant. Mr. Borden's remarks, delivered with more than usual vigor, created a profound sensation.

The premier was rattled and made for him a rather lame and nasty reply. He did not propose to be lectured by Mr. Borden. He was the custodian of his own honor and when he received a letter marked "private and confidential" he did not think he was authorized in bringing it down to parliament. "Everyone which has been received of looking at these things," said Sir Wilfrid amid roars of ironical laughter. The point that tickled the opposition was the public reading of the document by Mr. Fielding. That the premier said he had protected on his honor until he obtained the permission of Mr. Hays, the master of the administration, to make public.

Dr. Sproule of East Grey is an authority on parliamentary usage and procedure. He pointed out that no document, although addressed to the premier, which applied to the country for cash, credit for lands, could possibly be considered confidential. "The first minister had made no reference in his answers to any confidential document which was an absolute infringement of the courtesy due to parliament," said Dr. Sproule. "When it answered the purpose of the government to give this confidential Grand Trunk proposition to the house it was given, but not till then."

Mr. Bell of Pictou, in implementing Dr. Sproule's remarks, declared that the course the prime minister had decided upon involved him in giving incorrect information to the house. The government was asked whether the company had applied for land grants and the reply was that it had not. Whereas the fact had just been brought out by the finance minister that they had applied for 5,000 acres per mile. There was no authority for treating such applications as confidential. They came to the government as a committee of parliament, and to treat them as confidential was giving the authors an advantage they should not possess.

Mr. Barker of Hamilton made it absolutely clear that the premier did not say he had produced everything except

papers that were confidential, but had made the house sitting without equivocation that he produced everything that bearing on the subject. Now it was discovered that a most important document had been concealed from the representatives of the people in parliament. Mr. Clancy of Bothwell remarked that the finance minister, who is very fond of chess, had not acted in a closed conspiracy against the rights of the people of Canada. He knew of no more serious crime than that of the government, though deliberators in special documents that belong to the public. Mr. Speaker rising from his seat notified Mr. Clancy that he did not think it parliamentary to say there was a deliberate concealment. Mr. Clancy suggested the words "deliberately withheld," but Mr. Speaker could not see that these words were better. Mr. Clancy promptly withdrew his remarks and then added: "I will say that the prime minister unconsciously allowed the house to act on his own will or motion, but was acting on the advice of the Grand Trunk Railway Company." No objection being raised as to the propriety of putting the case, Mr. Clancy's remarks will grace the pages of Hansard.

Sir William Mulock, who was kept in the house by Mr. Pringle of Cornwall and Stormont, and others for his publication of private and confidential correspondence left in the post office by the publisher of the paper in question, made the excuse that he did not know the contents of these letters until after they had been printed, and the publication of the work of one of the officers of his department, of course with his permission. It was the old, old story, "The office boy did it."

OTTAWA, May 28.—Brief reference was made in the despatches to Dr. Daniel's effective exposure of Hon. Mr. Fielding's misrepresentation of the attitude of St. John towards the question of government ownership of railways. A fuller report contains much of interest to the people down here. Instead of handing it over to a private corporation? So strong an impression has this proposition made, that the air is full of rumors of another session of the house before dissolution, but these rumors may have been purposely set afloat to lull the opposition into apathy and to then spring the campaign at short notice. But the conservatives will not be caught napping. Japan in time of peace prepared for war, so far with a very creditable measure of success.

There was an incident on May 26 that deserves wide publicity, as showing the Ministers trifle with the house and bamboozle the country. It was precipitated by the leader of the opposition, who showed up at the house on five occasions during the present session. He has been asked the government for copies of all correspondence and documents relating to the Grand Trunk Pacific. He said that as late as April 20 Sir Wilfrid Laurier had replied to his (Borden's) question everything has been brought up. This answer was in line with previous assurances this session and last session, yet that very day (May 26) the minister of finance produced a document in which he stated that the Grand Trunk had demanded a land grant as well as other aid from the government. One might have thought that Mr. Fielding had announced that the Grand Trunk had demanded a land grant as well as other aid from the government. Mr. Fielding had not the wit to refer to the document at the earlier stage, but waited till the closing hours of the debate "by permission of the Grand Trunk." Had it not been that the government thought it would be to their interest to produce the document after assuring the house that no such document existed, parliament would have gone on believing that Sir Wilfrid had been deceived, and that the Grand Trunk had not applied for a land grant. Mr. Borden's remarks, delivered with more than usual vigor, created a profound sensation.

The premier was rattled and made for him a rather lame and nasty reply. He did not propose to be lectured by Mr. Borden. He was the custodian of his own honor and when he received a letter marked "private and confidential" he did not think he was authorized in bringing it down to parliament. "Everyone which has been received of looking at these things," said Sir Wilfrid amid roars of ironical laughter. The point that tickled the opposition was the public reading of the document by Mr. Fielding. That the premier said he had protected on his honor until he obtained the permission of Mr. Hays, the master of the administration, to make public.

Dr. Sproule of East Grey is an authority on parliamentary usage and procedure. He pointed out that no document, although addressed to the premier, which applied to the country for cash, credit for lands, could possibly be considered confidential. "The first minister had made no reference in his answers to any confidential document which was an absolute infringement of the courtesy due to parliament," said Dr. Sproule. "When it answered the purpose of the government to give this confidential Grand Trunk proposition to the house it was given, but not till then."

Mr. Bell of Pictou, in implementing Dr. Sproule's remarks, declared that the course the prime minister had decided upon involved him in giving incorrect information to the house. The government was asked whether the company had applied for land grants and the reply was that it had not. Whereas the fact had just been brought out by the finance minister that they had applied for 5,000 acres per mile. There was no authority for treating such applications as confidential. They came to the government as a committee of parliament, and to treat them as confidential was giving the authors an advantage they should not possess.

Mr. Barker of Hamilton made it absolutely clear that the premier did not say he had produced everything except

Kumfort
HEADACHE POWDER
CURE IN TEN MINUTES.
Absolutely safe, pleasant
to take, and does not hurt the
stomach. It is the only powder
that cures all kinds of headaches
and neuralgia. It is also
valuable in all cases of
migraine, and is especially
valuable in all cases of
headache, as it is so
easy to take. All sorts of
headaches, and neuralgia,
and all other ailments
that are caused by
over-exertion, or
indigestion, or
any other cause, are
cured by Kumfort.

railway or its branches, and carried by the railway for export, shall be shipped via Canadian ports. The resolution that a subsidy of this resolution be sent to the senators and members of the house of commons for the province of New Brunswick which would be to obtain a franchise which was offered, but not the one which was passed. The members of the St. John board of trade thought that it was a good idea to have the one which was passed I shall now read, and I think that hon. members will conclude that at all events there is one board of trade in the province of New Brunswick, and that the Intercolonial to the west and government ownership and operation of that railway from St. John to the west was passed as follows:

In view of the present proposition for a Grand Trunk transcontinental railway, and believing that the best interests of Canada would be conserved by the building of a government owned and managed transcontinental line; and also believing that a subsidy of cash and land grants to a corporation may result in the land passing into foreign control; and further believing it best for the government of Canada to take the land in fee simple at a fair valuation; the St. John board of trade places itself on record as favoring extension of the Intercolonial railway from St. John to the west, and the improvement of harbor facilities, particularly on the Gulf of St. Lawrence, and on the maritime province coast.

This is the position which the St. John board of trade took at that time and which is now being repeated. The amendment offered by my hon. leader, a resolution which is entirely in conformity with the views of the constituency I have the honor to represent. On the question of government ownership, the minister of railways and telegraphs (Mr. Emmerson) has here a moment ago, has he gone again? This is the first time I have had the honor of a seat in this house and I therefore do not wish to be in the way. I think I have had the honor of a seat in this house and I therefore do not wish to be in the way. I think I have had the honor of a seat in this house and I therefore do not wish to be in the way.

The Awful Distress of Irritable Nerves.

CAN BE OVERCOME BY ENRICHING AND NOURISHING THE BLOOD, THEREBY REBUILDING THE NERVE CELLS. Any failure of the nervous system to do its work properly inevitably causes other troubles. Weakened vitality, loss of energy, depression, gloom and depression. Life loses its attractiveness, worry and care quickly furlow the brow of the sufferer who keeps on trying to get on as usual. Nervous wrecks are very sad, pitiful indeed, when a certain cure like Ferreroze is almost sure to be brought to the sufferer. The success of this great nerve restorer has been demonstrated in many cases where other treatments completely failed, so sufferers can have unbounded confidence rely on a lasting recovery if Ferreroze is used.

Hosts of well known people have found health through Ferreroze. Among these might be mentioned Mrs. E. D. Emmerson of Centreville, who says: "I am glad to think that there is at least one remedy for nervous people. No one can imagine what I suffered with my nerves, and I sometimes wonder at the number of useless prescriptions and medicines I took. But Ferreroze acted differently from all the rest. It built up my system and gradually the irritability left my nerves and I got well. Ferreroze cured me by removing the cause of my trouble, and by giving me enough additional strength to overthrow the attack of nervousness. I can recommend the use of Ferreroze to all who are suffering with my nerves. No expectations are too high to be fulfilled if Ferreroze is used. Many others have been cured of troubles of the nervous system by the use of Ferreroze. It completely rebuilds the nervous system and establishes a healthy condition throughout the entire body."

Dr. J. B. Ferreroze, who has been in Japan for the last fifteen years and gave an interesting address. Those who are suffering with my nerves, I recommend the use of Ferreroze. It completely rebuilds the nervous system and establishes a healthy condition throughout the entire body. Dr. J. B. Ferreroze, who has been in Japan for the last fifteen years and gave an interesting address. Those who are suffering with my nerves, I recommend the use of Ferreroze. It completely rebuilds the nervous system and establishes a healthy condition throughout the entire body.

be elected to these seats in a bold and fashion and not necessarily because they represent different parts of the country. But the very fact that we are elected to represent different parts of the country, goes to show that each one should represent the particular constituency to which he was elected. Therefore I think no representative is called upon to apologize for representing the constituency which elected him, and the charge of selfishness hardly lies against those who are endeavoring to save the country a less expenditure. In order to make this line as short as possible and with easy grades, the only way is to bring it down the valley of the St. John river to the city of St. John. In that way you would get the shortest possible line. The minister of finance gave as one of the reasons why this line should be built that it would be very far from the border and consequently more valuable from a military point of view. The fact that the only church gentleman's attention to the fact that the liberal party in this country opposed the building of the Intercolonial railway, and that the Intercolonial was selected was that it would suit military purposes. Then these hon. gentlemen sneered at that contention, but the fact that the Intercolonial was selected in support of this scheme of the government. But the fact that, in so far as any information we have been able to obtain goes, will be difficult to find any route across northern New Brunswick, if the road is to go across to Moncton, which will be a better route or by which freight can be carried any better than by the Intercolonial. At all events, I give as my authority the report of Sir Sandford Fleming, which was published in the sessional papers a good many years ago, at a time when he was endeavoring to find the very best route for the Intercolonial. He was then the minister of finance attributed the removal of the Allan line from St. John to Halifax to the fact that there was one line of railway to St. John, I looked over to the minister of railways and wondered if he would allow such a statement to go uncorrected. Surely the minister of railways will recognize the fact that there are two ways going to St. John, one of them being the railway over which he presides. I think that was a mistake which the minister of finance should hardly have made, especially under the circumstances.

Because, if these Allan line boats were to take freight at Halifax, that freight would have to be carried to them by the Intercolonial railway, and it could have been carried just as well to St. John and so much cheaper. The fact is that, as to the taking away of the Allan line from St. John to Halifax, it was really rather in the interest of the Intercolonial railway. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which the minister presiding over the department finds his highest expression of patriotism in the summary dismissal of some poor unfortunate laborer on the railway, or filling up the different places with political hacks. I think the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Russell) objects to government ownership. We find cited as a reason for objecting to it the expense that this country has had with the Intercolonial. Well, of course, I sympathize with that view. But the idea we have in our minds on this side the idea I have is, at all events, under which