

result therefrom. Such an amount if invested, would yield an annual revenue of some \$6,400, a sum sufficient to maintain *sixteen* additional Missionaries at the present rate of \$600 per annum to each, supposing, as your Lordship generally expects, that their missions paid one third of their salaries; or it would greatly aid in the important object of forming a Diocesan Endowment Fund, for the purpose of giving some security to the incomes of the Clergy as they attained maturity in their work.

4. And now, in concluding this long letter, forgive me, my Lord, if, being one of your senior presbyters, I implore you with all respect, but with all Christian earnestness, deeply to consider, or ever you finally gave your sanction to the new College in question, the grievous effect it would needs have upon the *unity*, the inward unity of the Church at large in this colony,—that unity of His people so dear to the heart of our common Master! Yea, even though it should be only a Theological Institution that is at present intended; especially, as seeing the magnificent scale upon which it is attempted, men will think that it is designed, at least by some parties, to be ultimately an University. What, my Lord, after the bitter controversy concerning Trinity College, and the arguments openly adduced by Archdeacon Hellmuth, and his friends, for establishing a new one, * endorsed as such sentiments would then be, by your Lordship and your Synod, what I ask, would the establishing of such a Diocesan College be, but the placing of the rest of the Church in Canada, on the ground that she is unsound in the faith, under the *ban* of the Diocese of Huron? Do we start at the bare idea of such fearful presumption? Then, my Lord,—for as it appears to me, matters have gone too far for any middle course—the College as advocated by the Archdeacon, *must be abandoned*; otherwise I tremble with fear lest the sin of a terrible, a causeless, breach in the *unity* of the Church of the living God, drawn together as she is by the bands of the love of Christ, as with “the cords of a man,” should rest upon our unhappy Diocese. May God forbid!

Again, trusting that your Lordship will pardon my urgent, though, as I hope, not disrespectful appeal,—for “is there not a cause?”

I remain, my dear Lord Bishop,

Faithfully, your obedient servant,

ADAM TOWNLEY, D. D.,

Incumbent, Paris, C. W.

* The following is a specimen of what it is surely right to call the *unchristian*, and therefore strife prompting, presumption of the Archdeacon in judging his Brethren of the Canadian Church generally, when publicly advocating his project for a new College:—

“He could not hide it, he must speak out the truth, that Evangelical men are at a very great discount in those colonies generally.”

“He trusted as this is the very first effort of the kind to establish a thoroughly Protestant Theological College in the colony, Evangelical men will effectually help this good cause.”
Speech of Archdeacon Hellmuth at the Clerical Meeting at Islington.—*Record*, Jan 22, 1862.

Is it possible then, that those who would sincerely follow the Apostolic injunction to “seek peace and insure it,” can support an institution whose very basis is thus an open violation of the charity that “hopeth all things,” and “thinketh no evil?”