made to British Columbia, the piedges made in reference to won't catch the most credulons. It won't catch the most the future of this Dominion will be carried out under the unsuspicious. No one of common sense, no man who can auspices of a Conservative Government, and with the sapnuapices of a Conservative Government, and with the sup-port of a Conservative party. That road will be constructed, and, notwithstanding all the wiles of the Opposition and the filmsy arrangement which has been concocted, the road is going to be built and proceeded with vigorously, continu-ously, systematically and successfully to a completion, and the fate of Canada will then, as a Dominion, be sealed. Then will the fate of Canada will then as a pominion, be sealed. will the fate of Canada, as one great body, be fixed. Then the possibility of hon. gentlemen to unsettle. The emigrant from Europe will find here a happy and comfortable home in the great West, by the exortion of the Conservative party. But then, Sir, comes the interjection. After the arrangements have been made and the Government had made a contract that hon, gentlemen opposite three or four years ago would have laughed at and bragged and boasted of as a wounderful proof, of their superior administrative ability we now have the assertion that the contract was made without due authority. As long as Mordeeni sits at the King's gate he will protest. We have had to take part in this discussion with gentlemen who are accomplished actors—my hon, friends opposite. These hor, gentlemen are perfectly trained histrionics. But, Sir, the best actor is not always successful. We have had tragedy, comedy and farce from the other side. Sir, it commenced with tragedy. The contract was declared oppressive, and the amount of money to be given was enormous. We were giving away the whole lands of the North-West. Not an acre was to be loft for the free and independent foot of the free and independent sottler. There was to be a monopoly handed over to this Company. We had painted the tyranny of this Company that was to over-ride the people by raising a high tariff, and the tyranny of a great monopoly which was to keep in their control a large area of lands—out of which they expect to build this railway-for some hundreds of years, in order that through the exertions of others the value of their acroage might be increased, and this was the tragedy; and hon. gentlemen opposite played it so well, that if they lid not affect the whole audience, we could see tents of pity and sorrow tricklag down the checks of gentlemen sitting on that side of the House. Then, Sir, we had the comedy. The comedy was that when every one of the speeches of these hon, gentlemen were read to them, it was proved that last year or the year before, and in previous years, they had thought one way, and that now they spoke in another way. Then it was the most amusing and comic thing in the world. Every hon. gentlemen got up and said, "I am not bound by that. It is true that I said son year ngo, or it is true that I said so two years ago, but civcamstances alter cases, circumstances are changed in two years, or one year, or in eight months in one case, but what I said eight months ago I am not bound now." This was very comic. It amused us all, It amused the Hoase, and the whole country chuckled on a broad grin. These hon. gentlemen said it is true, we were fools eight months ago, and two years ago; but, because we side, Sir, but it is too thin. It is in vain for the fowler to were fools in the past you have no right, being Ministers, to spread the net in the eyes of a bird. We must be blind as be fools too; you have no right to advocate the follies we bats, and the country must be blind as owls in the decented then when now we assert ease of wieldow of we have no we have no we have no right to advocate the follies we bats, and the country must be blind as owls in the dvocated then, when now we assort acts of wisdom on our day, if they do not see that there is a net, and part. This was the councedy. Now, Sir. the last thing that came they will uvoid the net. They will not be caught was the farce. We had the farce laid on the Table to day, by it. No web in the net is going to catch the The tragedy and cometty were pretty successful; but the farce I am afraid, with an impartial audience, in theatrical phrase, will be damued. It is a furce, but still whether it will be farcical in its consequence, I do not know. I do not .hink, for my part, that it will be farcical in its consequences, because the greatest punishment that a farce writer or a farce actor can get when he has played his farce, is that his far to is unsuccessful, and the audience hisses the act, and this will be the consequence of this charming farce. It has been played to change the metaphor, Mr. Speaker,

and the original treaty will be carried out. The pledge may say it is too thin. It won't catch the blindest. It made to British Columbia, the pledges made in reference to won't catch the most credulous. It won't catch the most say two and two make four will be caught for one moment by this filmsy scheme, Mr. Speaker. It was concocted here. It was concocted in Ottawa. It was concocted here. It was concocted in Ottawa. It was concocted as a political ongine, the reason of it was this: Well, the present Government have committed themselves under their hand and seal, and here is the seal of the Minister of Railways, and here is the Order in Council, and here is the contract signed, sealed and delivered. The Government are pledged to it. They cannot get out of it, and we are quite safe. We can make any offer. We can make an offer to build the road for \$1,000 a mile. We are quite safe. We can get all these gentlemen to sign, and I think, although I was not here at the time, that my hon, friend from Niagara showed that the incorporators and petitioners themselves, who make the offer, under this precious document, seven or six---

## Mr. PLUMB. The number is seven.

Sir JOHN A. MACDONALD. Seven of them were disappointed and defeated candidates at former elections. I need not go over their namos. I read the speech of my hon. friend, and I find that it is a political plot. I would ask my hon. friend, the member for the West Riding of Durham, if John Walker is not a rather remarkable politician. I would ask my hon. friend if II. H. Cook is not also a I would ask my hon. friend if II. H. Cook is not also a remarkable politician, and so on, Mr. Spéaker. It is a political plot got up here. It was quite easy, oh, so easy to make an offer knowing that the Govern-ment cannot, or ought not, or will not accept it. We made a hargain with a company in good faith, and we premised that it shall be a compact between the Dominion of Canada and them, provided that the Parliament ratides it. We aver bennet to submit the accement to Parliament We were bound to submit the agreement to Parliament for ratification, and there it was argued. The Op-position say to themselves: "The Government cannot in honor, cannot in decency, if their policy is defeated, remain in office, and, therefore, we will get in, and we will take care of our friends, of these seven or eight political gentlemen and their confrores. We will make things easy for them, and so arrange it that, even if their offer was accepted by us when we go into office, we can let them out of it." I say that that document shows on its face that, it was drawn up here, and for the purpose of removing from these gentlemen apprehension that by any possibility they could get into any scrape, because, on the face of the papers, there is a series of clauses providing that the Government can let them ont of it. These hon, gentlemen have made up their minds that we must go, they have made up their minds that we could not respectably remain in office. They said: "Well, our sentiments are known, everybody knows what we think about the construction of the Canadian Pacific Railway, and what we will do, and what our policy is, and what, when we got in, we will carry out, and that is, to let you out of building all the difficult parts of the rond." It is a game all on one intelligent commanity with these papers before them. Sir, these hon, gentlemen had better have dealt with this matter in general; they could have said other people are ready to make a contract. If they had said in their places, they incu of their own knowledge that certain people were ready to come forward and build the railway for a small sum; then, Sir, some persons, who would not see that it was morely a flank movement to obstruct the formation of the Company, and to obstruct the organization of the Company, and to delay and postpone the construction of the