

connection with the requirements of our Graduate Faculty, is considered by many to be primarily a question for the department concerned. Should the regulations contain anything beyond the provision that the desires of the department in this matter should be submitted for report to an Executive Committee, and then for approval to the Faculty?

(3) Is it not true that the relative importance of theses and other requirements, is necessarily different in the several departments? Should the regulations contain anything beyond the provision that the desires of the department in this matter should be submitted for report to an Executive Committee, and then for approval to the Faculty? (It is assumed that each department will be required to publish in detail in the Bulletin its local regulations as approved by the Executive Committee).

(4) Is it not true that the formal insistence on the conclusion of all general examinations at the end of the Second Year of the Ph. D. work has led to unsatisfactory conditions in some departments? How can this be remedied and yet preserve the necessary emphasis on the research? Should the regulations contain anything beyond the provision that the desires of the department in this matter should be submitted for report to an Executive Committee, and then for approval to the Faculty?

(5) Is it not true that the work required in the "Minor" subject, chosen because of its bearing on the "Major", should be outlined by the department in which the major is given, subject to the advice and agreement of the department in which the minor is taken, rather than the converse as at present? In this connection is it not desirable that the "Minor" work should be made up merely of enumerated courses, and that it should not be designated by a general title, such as Chemistry or Physics, which might be expected to imply a broader general knowledge of the minor subject than can be obtained appropriately in the time available? Should the regulations contain anything beyond the provision that the desires of the department in this matter should be submitted for report to an Executive Committee, and then for approval to the Faculty?

(II) General questions.

(1) Should it not be a matter of fixed policy to reject all restrictive regulations about matters in which the various departments already have power to exert such restrictions if they desire? Is it not true that we are burdened with several restrictive regulations designed originally to deal with some special cases, and since leading to restrictions in directions that had not been contemplated?

(2) Should not the idea of autonomy for the departments in matters pertaining to the curriculum of the graduate work, be pursued to the utmost limits, - enacting only such regulations as /