

The Hon. Member for Nepean-Carleton (Mr. Baker) had placed it on the notice paper. The Hon. Member for St. John's East is moving in his place.

—except with the general consent of the House.

The argument made by the Hon. Member for Yukon in which he quoted Beauséne is addressed here:

Merely formal motions for the adoption of reports or for certain papers to which there is no objection, are frequently permitted to be made, but all motions involving discussion—

That is the key word.

—must be proposed by the Member in whose name they appear on the paper.

This is confirmed in Citation 402 of Beauséne in the French which the Hon. Member said was clear to him. It is also clear to me and I shall read it in French:

402. La motion de pure forme tendant à l'adoption d'un rapport ou à la production de documents ne faisant l'objet d'aucune contestation peut généralement être présentée en l'absence du député qui l'a inscrite au *Feuilleton*.

“De pure forme”, or merely formal!

That is to say, a formal motion to adopt a report may be made by someone else but a motion as described by Bourinot which involves discussion must be proposed by the Member in whose name it appears on the Order Paper. The English version of Beauséne is also quite clear. Therefore, I must maintain my decision that there was no unanimous consent; and therefore, I cannot accept that motion.

\* \* \*

[Translation]

#### QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

**Mr. John Evans (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council):** Madam Speaker, the following questions will be answered today: Nos. 4,972 and 4,991.

[Text]

#### LOTO CANADA INC. BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Question No. 4,972—**Mr. Orlikow:**

1. What is the composition of the Board of Directors of Loto Canada Inc. and what is the address and occupation of each individual?
2. What is the compensation and what are all the benefits paid to the chairperson, directors, president and vice-presidents of Loto Canada Inc.?

**Hon. Céline Hervieux-Payette (Minister of State (Fitness and Amateur Sport)):** 1. The Board of Directors of Loto Canada Inc. consists of the following three people: Mrs. Dorothy Pratt, Ottawa, Ontario, (Homemaker); Miss Danielle Desbiens, Montreal, Quebec, (Assistant Dean of the University of Québec); Mr. Gordon Kritsch, Gloucester, Ontario, (Public Servant).

2. Both Mrs. Pratt and Miss Desbiens receive the standard remuneration of \$250 per diem for attendance at Board of Directors' meetings, which, on average, are held 12 times a

#### Order Paper Questions

year. Mr. Kritsch, because he is a public servant, does not receive any remuneration as a Director.

At their meetings, the Directors of Loto Canada rotate as chairperson. There is no president. The most senior executive is a senior vice-president on contract, at \$550 per day, paid on average for 10 days a month. Other than expenses as provided in the by-laws of the Corporation, and the previously mentioned fees, there are no other benefits awarded to either the directors of Loto Canada Inc. or the senior vice-president.

#### CBC—REVENUES FROM COMMERCIAL ADVERTISING

Question No. 4,991—**Mr. Hawkes:**

1. What amount did the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation receive from commercial advertising in the fiscal year 1982-83?
2. What proportion of that amount came from Crown corporations?

**Hon. Francis Fox (Minister of Communications):** I am informed by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation as follows: 1. \$154.2 million, 2. 1.6 per cent (\$2.4 million).

\* \* \*

[Translation]

#### QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURNS

**Mr. John Evans (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council):** Madam Speaker, if questions Nos. 4,886 and 4,928 could be made orders for returns, these returns would be tabled immediately.

**Madam Speaker:** The questions enumerated by the Parliamentary Secretary have been answered. Is it the pleasure of the House that questions Nos. 4886 and 4928 be deemed to have been made orders for returns.

**Some Hon. Members:** Agreed.

[Text]

#### CAPE BRETON DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Question No. 4,886—**Mr. Waddell:**

1. For each fiscal year from March 31, 1968 to March 31, 1983, what were the losses in the coal division of the Cape Breton Development Corporation?
2. In each of the fiscal years, what were the contributions made by social costs inherited from the previous owners, such as pension costs for employees and pre-retirement costs, to these annual losses?
3. (a) What were the total direct and indirect costs, including coal cleaning, for each of the fiscal years (b) what was a breakdown of each category included in direct and indirect costs and their percentage of total costs for (i) 1968 (ii) 1973 (iii) 1978 (iv) 1983?
4. For (a) 1968 (b) 1973 (c) 1978 (d) 1983, what were the numbers employed underground, surface and administrative in the coal division?
5. For (a) 1968 (b) 1973 (c) 1978 (d) 1983, what was the total compensation paid to the category of (i) underground (ii) surface (iii) administrative workers?
6. (a) For each year since 1968, what were the total expenditures made on the development side of the Devco Corporation (b) how were the expenditures divided as between wages and salaries and other expenditures (c) how many new