

United States Federal Power Commission is concerned and the regulations with respect to the useage of this gas, and I think your answer was that that was something which would have to be determined; now, what exactly did you intend to convey by that answer?—A. I forget just what the question was.

Q. Again, sir, I haven't got the question down verbatim, but my understanding of it was that he asked you would the fact that the Federal Power Commission would have control over the pipe lines in the United States mean that they would be able to enforce the supply of the United States customers first before Canada, and you said that that is something that would have to be determined, I think that was your answer.—A. Well, sir, you put the question, I can't remember just exactly what it was.

Q. Do you remember what that was, Mr. Green?

The WITNESS: I think I could answer your question if you put the question direct to me.

*By Mr. Higgins:*

Q. Let me put it to you this way then: would the Federal Power Commission have any decision on the question as to who was to be served first?—A. That would depend on the agreement as made at the start; they would enforce the agreement.

Q. An agreement between, who?—A. The parties in interest; for example, between the distributing company in Vancouver and the pipe line company.

Q. I see.—A. They would enforce the agreement.

Q. Then I take your answer to mean that they would not necessarily insist on your supplying the United States customers first; is that what you intended to convey by that?—A. I do not think there would be authority to do that.

Q. No, but on that particular point Mr. Connolly in this evidence stated that he was unable to give us any expert knowledge as to how the American law affects this particular proposition of yours; are you in a position yourself to give us evidence on American law and how it would cover this contract?—A. No.

Q. The only reason I was asking you that question was for the purpose, as I indicated yesterday, of having an expert on American law come and tell us that, if none of the gentlemen here are able to do so.

The CHAIRMAN: He is certainly not a lawyer and it is only a waste of time to ask him that.

Mr. HIGGINS: I am satisfied with that. Mr. Connolly has said that he cannot tell us that and obviously this witness is not in a position to, but I am still wanting to have some expert on American law tell us that.

Mr. SMITH: Oh yes.

*By Mr. Higgins:*

Q. One other matter there is this, that in the questioning this afternoon Mr. Green asked you about this matter of gas in bond, as Mr. Connolly described it yesterday, and you were telling him it was more or less a question of legal difficulties, that you were not in a position to discuss the purely legal difficulties or any purely engineering difficulties in connection with this gas in bond?—A. None whatever.

Q. As a matter of information, will you tell us how you do it?—A. You take a certain amount of gas out at one point with a guarantee that it will be returned at another point. That is the property of the person who bought it before it got into the line and the pipe line would simply be the carrier and not the owner of the gas.

Q. Just to make it a little more clear, this line, and that is the only thing in which we are interested in so far as gas in bond is concerned, your pipe line