

6000 warheads, not more than 3600 warheads on any single leg of the triad) on existing Soviet forces?

- B. What would be the effect on existing US forces if American LRINF were included?
 - C. What would be the effect on US forces if American LRINF were excluded (closer to the US definition of strategic)?
 - D. What would be the effect if certain specified Soviet modernization were allowed?
 - E. What would be the effect if certain specified US modernization were allowed?
 - F. Making certain assumptions about the negotiability of US modernization to allow for the retention of the triad, what would be the counter-force capabilities of the respective sides after both sides had optimised their strategic forces at the 50 (60-40) lower level?
- A. The Effect of the Formula on Soviet Strategic Forces.

Table 3

<u>Current Soviet Strategic Nuclear Weapons</u>				
	<u>Launchers</u>	<u>% of Total</u>	<u>Warheads</u>	<u>% of Total</u>
ICBM	1393	(55%)	6415	(63%)
SLBM	979	(38%)	2899	(29%)
Bombers	175	(7%)	820	(8%)
	<u>2547</u>		<u>10,134</u>	
<u>After 50% cut in launchers and 6000 warheads ceiling</u>				
	<u>Launcher ceiling - 1273</u>		<u>Warhead ceiling - 6,000</u>	
	300 SS-18 (10 warheads)		3000	
	100 SS-19 (6 warheads)		<u>600</u>	
			3600	(60%)
	208 SS-N-18 (7 warheads)		1456	
	60 SS-N-20 (9 warheads)		<u>540</u>	
			1996	(33%)
	<u>100 Bombers (4 warheads)</u>		<u>400</u>	(7%)
	768		5996	